arXiv:1305.1024v1 [math.AG] 5 May 2013

CONSTRUCTION OF ABELIAN VARIETIES WITH GIVEN MONODROMY

OLIVER BÜLTEL

Abstract. Let ρ be a finite dimensional faithful representation of a semisimple algebraic group G. By means of a deformation argument we show that there exists a family of abelian varieties over a smooth projective curve $S/\mathbb{F}_p^{ac}$ with ℓ-adic monodromy group covering G and ℓ-adic monodromy representation containing ρ.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. sym-Structures 9
2.1. Windows and Frames 9
2.2. Formal sym-structures 12
2.3. Results of Dwork 17
3. $Y^{(k)}$ in Characteristic 0 22
3.1. Hodge Structure of $Y^{(k)}$ and Results of Blasius 22
3.2. The Categories of Fontaine 28
4. $Y^{(k)}$ in Characteristic p 36
4.1. Displays and their Crystals 36
4.2. Exterior powers of formal groups 40
4.3. An Extension Theorem 43
4.4. Action of $g^{(k)}$ on Quasi-Isogenies 46
5. Endomorphisms 51
5.1. Isoclinal Points 53
5.2. Lifts in characteristic p 55
6. Proof of Main Theorem 57
6.1. Choice of sym-Structure 57
6.2. Choice of Shimura Variety 58
6.3. Choice of Point 59
6.4. Choice of Curve 61
Appendix A. Lemmas for finding mock structures 63

Mathematisches Institut der Universität Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 288, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany, bueltel@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de, Subject Classification(2000): 14L05, 14K10, 14C25, 14C30.

Appendix B. Lemmas for finding monodromy groups 63
References 67

1. Introduction

Recall that a complex abelian variety $Y/\mathbb{C}$ has a period lattice TY which one might introduce to be the kernel of the universal covering map $\exp : \operatorname{Lie} Y \to Y$. As TY is discrete and cocompact in $\operatorname{Lie} Y$ this means that the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $\mathbb{R} \otimes TY$, being $\operatorname{Lie} Y$, has in fact a $\mathbb{C}$-structure, consequently we obtain a subgroup

$$\mathbb{C}^\times \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R} \otimes TY), \tag{1}$$

which just arises by multiplying elements in $\operatorname{Lie} Y$ by elements in $\mathbb{C}^\times$. Now there exists a smallest $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic subgroup $H \subset \mathrm{GL}(VY/\mathbb{Q})$, where $VY = \mathbb{Q} \otimes TY$, whose group of $\mathbb{R}$-valued points contains the above subgroup $\mathbb{C}^\times$, here we write $\mathrm{GL}(VY/\mathbb{Q})$ for the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic group whose Q-points are $\mathrm{GL}_Q(Q \otimes VY)$ = set of Q-linear bijections on $Q \otimes VY$. This connected, reductive subgroup is called the Hodge group, it measures the individuality of Y, for example H is abelian if and only if there is a semisimple commutative subalgebra in $\operatorname{End}^0(Y)$ that has degree $2 \dim Y/\mathbb{C} = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} VY$, i.e. if and only if Y "has complex multiplication". It is folklore that the subgroup (1) gives a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to H \times \mathbb{C}$, which in $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C} \otimes TY)$ is conjugated to $z \mapsto (z, \dots, z, 1, \dots, 1)$, moreover there is no $\mathbb{Q}$-simple factor of H in which μ is trivial.

The significance of the Hodge group stems from its relation to the monodromy group of a family of complex abelian varieties: Let Y be an abelian scheme over a smooth connected complex algebraic variety $S/\mathbb{C}$. Let ξ be a base point on S and let $Y_\xi/\mathbb{C}$ be the fibre over ξ. As Y is a fibration there is an operation of $\pi_1(S, \xi)$ on TY_ξ and VY_ξ. One simply defines the monodromy group G_ξ of Y to be the Zariski closure of the image of $\pi_1(S, \xi)$. By results of Y. André [1, Lemma 4/Theorem 1] there is a pathwise connected subset $S^\circ \subset S$ with meagre complement such that:

- the Hodge group H_ξ of Y_ξ is locally constant on S°,
- for all $\xi \in S^\circ$ the neutral component G_ξ° of the monodromy group is a normal subgroup of H_ξ^{der},

where the first statement has to be interpreted by locally trivializing the locally constant sheaf VY_ξ, of course G_ξ is locally constant with no restriction whatsoever. In addition we have a natural map:

$$\rho_\xi : G_\xi \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(VY_\xi/\mathbb{Q}),$$

which we want to call the monodromy representation. The whole scenario puts very strong limitations on the structure of the groups H_ξ and G_ξ°, leading to Deligne's well-known A_l, B_l, C_l, $D_l^{\mathbb{R}}$, $D_l^{\mathbb{H}}$ classification.

The purpose of this work is to show that over bases of positive characteristic p the analogous ℓ-adic monodromy groups G_ℓ, where $\ell \neq p$, have a markedly different behaviour: If Y is an abelian scheme over an algebraic variety S over say the algebraic closure $\mathbb{F}_p^{ac}$ of the prime field, then the fibre $Y_\xi/\mathbb{F}_p^{ac}$ over a geometric point $\xi : \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{F}_p^{ac} \to S$ can be used to define integral and rational Tate modules $T_\ell Y_\xi$ and $V_\ell Y_\xi$ over $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ and $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$. On these there is an operation of $\pi_1^{et}(S,\xi)$, and the ℓ-adic monodromy group $G_{\xi,\ell} \subset \mathrm{GL}(V_\ell Y_\xi/\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is defined to be the Zariski closure of it, clearly independent of ξ. We define the ℓ-adic monodromy representation to be the map

$$\rho_{\xi,\ell} : G_{\xi,\ell} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_\ell Y_\xi/\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

with which $G_{\xi,\ell}$ is equipped by definition. By Deligne's theory of pure sheaves $G_{\xi,\ell}$ has a semisimple neutral component, [9, Corollaire 1.3.9]. By [27, Theorem 4.1] the pair $(G_{\xi,\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C}, \rho_{\xi,\ell} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mathbb{C})$ is independent of ℓ, for imbeddings $\mathbb{Q}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Except for these fundamental results not much seems to be known. I am grateful to Prof. R. Pink for posing the stimulating question to me, on whether there were any necessary or sufficient criteria for groups with faithful group representation $(G_{\xi,\ell}, \rho_{\xi,\ell})$ to arise from abelian schemes over varieties in characteristic p. One should certainly expect that one has more possibilities for $(G_{\xi,\ell}, \rho_{\xi,\ell})$ than in characteristic 0, but it is not so obvious how to construct examples where $G_{\xi,\ell}$ has a simple factor of exceptional type G_2.

Before we can state a result in this direction, we want to remark, that it is often easier to merely consider the projections of $G_{\xi,\ell}$ onto certain subspaces of $V_\ell Y_\xi$. Suppose a subfield $L \subset \mathrm{End}^0(Y)$ is given, and suppose $\mathfrak{r}|\ell$ is a prime of $\mathcal{O}_L$. Then it is traditional to consider the $L_{\mathfrak{r}}$-vector space $V_{\mathfrak{r}}Y_\xi = \mathbb{Q}\otimes T_{\mathfrak{r}}Y_\xi$, where $T_{\mathfrak{r}}Y_\xi$ is the module $\lim\limits_{\leftarrow N} Y_\xi[\mathfrak{r}^N]$ which has rank $\frac{2\dim Y/S}{[L:\mathbb{Q}]}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}}$. Again we write $\rho_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}} : G_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_{\mathfrak{r}}Y_\xi/L_{\mathfrak{r}})$ for the smallest $L_{\mathfrak{r}}$-algebraic subgroup containing the projection of $G_{\xi,\ell}$ onto the direct summand $V_{\mathfrak{r}}Y_\xi$, or equivalently, containing the image of $\pi_1^{et}(S,\xi)$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}}(V_{\mathfrak{r}}Y_\xi)$.

Furthermore we need a word on representations with pairing: Let G^+

be a semisimple algebraic group over a field L^+. By a unitary representation of G^+ we mean a triple $(B, (.,..), \rho^+)$ having the following properties:

- B is a free L-module of finite rank, where L is a semisimple L^+-algebra of rank two.
- $(.,..)$ is a non-degenerate sesquilinear pairing on B, where the underlying involution is $x^* = \mathrm{tr}_{L/L^+}(x) - x$.
- $\rho^+ : G^+ \to \mathrm{U}(B/L)$ is a homomorphism of algebraic groups over L^+ with $\mathrm{U}(B/L)$ being the group of unitary isometries of $(B, (.,..))$

If L is understood we denote the scalar extension $G^+ \times_{L^+} L$ by the symbol G. Observe that every unitary representation of G^+ determines a usual one of G as $\mathrm{U}(B/L) \times_{L^+} L$ is $\mathrm{GL}(B/L)$, we denote this representation by the symbol (B, ρ). By a homomorphism between unitary representations $(B_1, (.,..), \rho_1^+)$ and $(B_2, (.,..), \rho_2^+)$ we mean a G-homomorphism between (B_1, ρ_1) and (B_2, ρ_2), i.e. we forget the pairing. However, every element $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_G(B_1, B_2)$ has a transpose $f^* \in \mathrm{Hom}_G(B_2, B_1)$ defined by $(f(x_1), x_2) = (x_1, f^*(x_2))$ and f is called an isometry (resp. similarity) if $f^* \circ f$ and $f \circ f^*$ are the identities (resp. homotheties) of B_1 and B_2. Let us call $(B, (.,..))$ positive definite if L is a CM field and (x, x) is a totally positive element of L^+ for all $x \in B - \{0\}$. Clearly every positive definite representation is isometric to an orthogonal direct sum of irreducible ones. Notice that a faithful positive definite representation of G^+ exists if and only if it is $L^+_{\mathbb{R}}$-anisotropic, in which case the adjoint representation $(\mathrm{Lie}\, G, (.,..), \mathrm{Ad})$ is positive definite, where $(x, y) = -\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Ad}(x) \circ \mathrm{Ad}(y^*))$. We need a technical condition on such objects:

Definition 1.1. *Assume that our fixed CM field L has degree* $2r$ *over* $\mathbb{Q}$ *and is unramified at a fixed odd prime* p*. Assume further that* p *is inert in* L^+ *and that it splits in* L*. Write* $\mathfrak{q}$, $\mathfrak{q}^*$, *and* $\mathfrak{q}^+ = \mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{q}^*$ *for the three primes of* L *and* L^+ *over* p*, each of them has norm* p^r*. Let* v *be an element of* $L^\times$ *with* $v^* = -v$*. Assume further that the number of* $\mathbb{Q}_p$*-linear embeddings* $\iota : L_{\mathfrak{q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^{ac}$ *with* $\Im(\iota(v)) > 0$*. (resp.* $\Im(\iota(v)) < 0$*) is equal to* a *(resp.* $r - a$*), where "*$\Im(z)$*" denotes the imaginary part of* z *with respect to a choice of embedding* $\mathbb{Q}_p^{ac} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$*. This is independent of the choice, provided only one decrees* $a \leq r - a$*.*
Now we call a positive definite representation B/L *of* G^+/L^+ v*-*$\mathfrak{q}$*-flexible if:*

(X.1) *The dimension of* B *is strictly smaller than* $\frac{r}{a}$*.*

(X.2) *There is an element* $u \in G(L_{\mathfrak{q}})$ *that satisfies* $0 = (\rho(u) - 1)^{a+1}$ *and is not killed by any of the projections onto the* L^+*-simple factors.*

In this work the following result is proved:

Theorem 1.2. *Fix* L/L^+*,* v *and* $\mathfrak{q}$ *with properties as above and let* B/L *be a faithful unitary representation of the semisimple group* G^+/L^+*. Suppose that there exists a* v*-*$\mathfrak{q}$*-flexible representation* B'/L *of* G^+/L^+ *such that* B' *contains all of* B*,* $\operatorname{Lie} G$*, and a copy of the trivial representation.*
Then there exists a polarized abelian scheme (Y, λ) *over a projective and smooth curve* $S/\mathbb{F}_p^{ac}$*, together with a Rosati invariant map* $L \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}^0(Y)$*, such that there is an isometry of unitary representations:*

$$(G_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}^+}, \rho_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}^+}, \frac{\Psi_\lambda(.,..)}{v}) \cong (G^+ \times_{L^+} L^+_{\mathfrak{r}^+}, \rho^+ \times_{L^+} L^+_{\mathfrak{r}^+}, (.,..)) \tag{2}$$

for all primes $\mathfrak{r}^+|\ell \neq p$ *of* $\mathcal{O}_{L^+}$ *and for all geometric points* ξ *of* S*. Here it is understood that* $\operatorname{tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}} \Psi_\lambda = \psi_\lambda : V_{\mathfrak{r}^+} Y_\xi \times V_{\mathfrak{r}^+} Y_\xi \to \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)$ *is a multiple of the associated Weil-pairing.*

Comments and Examples: Suppose, for instance that G^+/L^+ is any $L^+_{\mathbb{R}}$-anisotropic, $L_{\mathfrak{q}}$-isotropic, L^+-simple group of adjoint type. Then the representation $B' := L \oplus \operatorname{Lie} G$ is v-$\mathfrak{q}$-flexible if v and $\mathfrak{q}$ have the parameter $a = 2$ (choose u in the longest root eigenspace) and $r = 2 \dim_L G + 3$. Hence our theorem predicts a family where the $\mathfrak{r}^+$-adic monodromy $G_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}^+} \subset \operatorname{GL}(V_{\mathfrak{r}^+} Y_\xi / L^+_{\mathfrak{r}^+})$ looks like $G^+ \subset \operatorname{GL}(\operatorname{Lie} G/L^+)$ regarded over $L^+_{\mathfrak{r}^+}$. In fact, for many representations the assumption "$B' \supset L \oplus \operatorname{Lie} G$" is not needed. For example this is the case if B is the 7-dimensional standard representation of (a $L_{\mathfrak{q}}$-isotropic, $L^+_{\mathbb{R}}$-compact L^+-form of) the G_2-group, so the statement of the theorem simply holds if $B' = B$ is v-$\mathfrak{q}$-flexible. In particular, there exists an abelian $7 \times (1 \times 7 + 1) = 56$-fold with this kind of additional structure (as $a = 1$).
Despite appearance of the contrary the CM extension L is important in all this. In particular our methods cannot decide whether there exist abelian varieties with multiplication by a real field L^+ and such that the $\mathfrak{r}^+$-adic monodromy is the group $\operatorname{SL}_2 \times L^+_{\mathfrak{r}^+} \subset \operatorname{GL}(\operatorname{sym}^3 / L^+_{\mathfrak{r}^+})$. Although "$\operatorname{sym}^3 \oplus \operatorname{sym}^3$" can be achieved by the means of the theorem 1.2, the result tends to have too large an arithmetic monodromy to be cut into two parts.

The tools of our proof: First of all it is clear that when starting to look for families Y/S one should invoke an integral model $\mathcal{M}^{(1)}$ of some

PEL-Shimura variety $M^{(1)}$ of which the special fibre $\overline{M}^{(1)}$ accomodates triples $(Y, \lambda, \dots)$ over characteristic p varieties $S/\mathbb{F}_p^{ac}$, regardless of whether they satisfy something to the effect of $\rho_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}^+} \cong \rho^+ \times_{L^+} L^+_{\mathfrak{r}^+}$ or not. The PEL Shimura varieties of this kind are associated to groups of unitary similitudes of a skew-Hermitian L-vector space, however an important technical point of our theory is that there is a certain flexibility of picking up the Kottwitz determinant condition in the definition of $M^{(1)}$, hence in the definition of the corresponding unitary group. For the precise description of the group, which we prefer to choose, we refer to section 6.2. The corresponding symmetric Hermitian domain $X^{(1)}$ is a product of $w = an$ copies of the $n-1$-dimensional complex ball, where in fact $n = \dim_L B'$. In terms of the skew-Hermitian form, with respect to which one forms the congruence subgroup of unitary similitudes, this condition reads equivalently: there are w real places of L^+ at which the form has one of the signatures $(n-1, 1)$ or $(1, n-1)$, while at all the other real places it is positive definite or negative definite.

The whole point lies now in the very simple observation that unitary groups of forms with this particular signature, i.e. $(0, n)$, $(1, n-1)$, $(n-1, 1)$ or $(n, 0)$, have more than one symplectic representation with Hodge weight in the set $\{(-1, 0), (0, -1)\}$, amongst them there is the standard representation that we denote by $g^{(1)}$, but there are further more exotic ones which will be denoted by $g^{(0)}$, …, $g^{(n)}$. These maps are needed in a very essential way in our argument and it is for this reason that we pick the particular Kottwitz condition alluded to above. The representations $g^{(k)}$ give highly non-trivial maps from a certain auxiliary covering PEL Shimura variety $M^{(0\times 1)} \to M^{(1)}$ to Siegel space, see [6, Introduction] or section 3.1 of this work. In zero characteristic the map $g^{(k)}$ is obtained by applying the standard functoriality properties of canonical models, but in positive characteristic it is difficult to get and its construction occupies the bulk of chapter 4.

Once integral versions, and hence reductions $\pmod p$ of $g^{(k)}$ have been established, there are applications for finding upper bounds for the connected Zariski closures $G^{\circ}_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}}$ of the $\mathfrak{r}$-adic image of the $\pi_1^{et}(S, \xi)$-operation that corresponds to some given $S \to \overline{M}^{(0\times 1)}$, as we shall see shortly. Each $g^{(k)}$ goes with an abelian scheme $Y^{(k)}$ on $\mathcal{M}^{(0\times 1)}$, obtained by pull-back of the universal one on Siegel space. Each $Y^{(k)}$ determines a $\pi_1^{et}(S, \xi)$-representation on the $L_{\mathfrak{r}}$-vector space $V_{\mathfrak{r}} Y^{(k)}_{\xi}$ = rational Tate module of $Y^{(k)}_{\xi}$. A slight variant of the result [6, Lemma 2.2] shows the existence of a $\pi_1(S,\xi)$-equivariant isomorphism

$$T_{\mathfrak{r}}Y_\xi^{(k)} \cong T_{\mathfrak{r}}Y_\xi^{(0)^{\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}}}1-k}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}}} \bigwedge_{\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}}}^{k} T_{\mathfrak{r}}Y_\xi^{(1)}.$$

Suppose for a while we could prove that there exists a semisimple $L_{\mathfrak{r}}$-algebraic subgroup $H_{\mathfrak{r}} \subset \mathrm{GL}(V_{\mathfrak{r}}Y_\xi^{(1)}/L_{\mathfrak{r}})$, which satisfies:

$$L_{\mathfrak{r}} \otimes_L \mathrm{End}_L^0(Y^{(k)} \times_{\mathcal{M}^{(0\times1)}} S) = \mathrm{End}_{H_{\mathfrak{r}}} V_{\mathfrak{r}}Y_\xi^{(k)}, \tag{3}$$

a representation theoretic lemma shows that the semisimple group $G^\circ_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}}$ is (almost always, see lemma B.1) contained in $H_{\mathfrak{r}}$. Let us call (3) a mock $H_{\mathfrak{r}}$-structure over the pointed variety S. Observe that (3) would not be very sensible unless the $\mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(k)})$'s are already quite large. Actually the base point $\xi \in S$ that our theory comes up with is lying in the "most supersingular locus" of the moduli space $\overline{M}^{(0\times1)}$. Such points give rise to the largest possible endomorphism algebras $\mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(k)}) \cong \mathrm{Mat}(\binom{n}{k}, L)$, which gives a lot of room for adjustment when trying to build up S.

The next tool which our proof uses is the deformation theory of a "most supersingular" $\mathbb{F}_p^{ac}$-valued point ξ, say over the scheme $S = \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{F}_p^{ac}[[t]]$. Ironically, the concept of ℓ-adic monodromy is completely meaningless in this context because $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{F}_p^{ac}[[t]]$ is simply connected. However (3) is meaningful over arbitrary bases. Furthermore, as the functors $S \mapsto \mathrm{End}_L(Y^{(k)} \times_{\mathcal{M}^{(0\times1)}} S)$ are representable by schemes locally of finite type over $\mathcal{M}^{(0\times1)}$, any mock $H_{\mathfrak{r}}$-structure over $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{F}_p^{ac}[[t]]$ descends to a mock $H_{\mathfrak{r}}$-structure over some, possibly very large, finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_p^{ac}$-algebra contained in $\mathbb{F}_p^{ac}[[t]]$, and over this basis ℓ-adic monodromy does have a lot of content.

To make this project work a lot of compatibilities have to be checked. Most of them are rather straightforward and can be deduced with a close analysis of certain exotic Hodge cycles in the style Blasius is doing in [2], but one of these compatibilities is more intricate: As $\mathrm{Mat}(\binom{n}{k}, L)$ acts on the $\binom{n}{k}$-dimensional $L_{\mathfrak{r}}$-vector space $V_{\mathfrak{r}}Y_\xi^{(k)}$ we get, by Morita equivalence, an induced L-structure up to scalars in $L_{\mathfrak{r}}^\times$ on it. For our purposes it turns out to be significant that the above isomorphism between $V_{\mathfrak{r}}Y_\xi^{(k)}$ and $V_{\mathfrak{r}}Y_\xi^{(0)^{\otimes_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}}1-k}} \otimes_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}} \bigwedge_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}}^k V_{\mathfrak{r}}Y_\xi^{(k)}$, respects this L-structure up to scalars in $L_{\mathfrak{r}}^\times$, see lemma 5.2. Therefore we have canonical identifications of $\mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(k)})$ with $\mathrm{End}_L(\bigwedge_L^k B)$, where B is an appropriatly chosen L-vector space, allowing us to free the left hand side of condition (3) from the $L_{\mathfrak{r}}$-coefficients. Hence the task consists now of exhibiting a map of pointed $\mathbb{F}_p^{ac}$-schemes $S \to \overline{M}^{(0\times 1)}$ with:

$$\mathrm{End}_L(\bigwedge_L^k B) = \mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(k)}) \supset \mathrm{End}_L^0(Y^{(k)} \times_{\mathcal{M}^{(0\times 1)}} S) = \mathrm{End}_G(\bigwedge_L^k B) \tag{4}$$

We sketched above that we want to use a $S = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_p^{ac}[[t]]$-valued point over which the subalgebras

$$\mathrm{End}_L^0(\tilde{Y}_\xi^{(k)}) = \mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(k)}) \cap (\mathrm{End}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}^0(\tilde{Y}_\xi^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^\infty]) \oplus \mathrm{End}_{L_{\mathfrak{q}^*}}^0(\tilde{Y}_\xi^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}])) \tag{5}$$

coincide with the ones on the right hand side of (4), where $Y^{(k)} \times_{\mathcal{M}^{(0\times 1)}} \mathbb{F}_p^{ac}[[t]] = \tilde{Y}_\xi^{(k)}$. It goes without saying that we want to produce these deformations by means of the Serre-Tate theorem, but how do we finess the requested p-divisible groups $\tilde{Y}_\xi^{(1)}[\mathfrak{q}^\infty]$ over $\mathbb{F}_p^{ac}[[t]]$? This is cumbersome to achieve, and it is this part of our theory where the very unpleasant condition (X.2) appears, see chapter 2 and in particular subsection 2.2.1 for details.

Observe once more that it is necessary to exercise a lot of care when computing the intersection in (5). The reason is that to a deformation $\tilde{Y}_\xi^{(1)}[\mathfrak{q}^\infty]$ of $Y_\xi^{(1)}[\mathfrak{q}^\infty]$ one calculates easily the $L_\mathfrak{q}$-algebra $\mathrm{End}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}^0(\tilde{Y}_\xi^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^\infty])$ for all k, but difficulties show up when one wants to understand which elements of $\mathrm{End}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}^0(\tilde{Y}_\xi^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^\infty]) \oplus \mathrm{End}_{L_{\mathfrak{q}^*}}^0(\tilde{Y}_\xi^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}])$ are in $\mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(k)})$. To accomplish this we need to know a crystalline version of lemma 5.2: The L-structure up to $K(\mathbb{F}_p^{ac})^\times$-scalars of certain eigenspaces of the Dieudonné modules of $Y_\xi^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^\infty]$ are compatible.

Related results: Let ψ be a non-trivial ℓ-adic additive character of $\mathbb{F}_p$, and let χ be the Legendre symbol. By push-out there are associated sheaves $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(t)}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(t)}$ on the affine t-line $\mathbb{A}^1$ over say $\mathbb{F}_p^{ac}$ and on $\mathbb{G}_m \overset{j}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{A}^1$. Then the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} = NFT_\psi(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(t^7)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{ac}} j_*\mathcal{L}_{\chi(t)})$ on $\mathbb{A}^1$ is lisse and pure of rank seven and weight one. By a fascinating result of Katz its geometric monodromy group is G_2, provided that $p \notin \{2, 3, 7, 13\}$, cf. [22, Chapter 9],[23, section 4]. Here observe that the pendant of our field L is $\mathbb{Q}[\exp \frac{2i\pi}{p}]$. Hence the abelian scheme over $\mathbb{A}^1$ corresponding to $\mathcal{F}$ has dimension $7 \times \frac{p-1}{2}$.

Oort and van der Put succeeded in constructing 5-dimensional abelian varieties $Y/\mathbb{F}_p^{ac}((t))$, such that the endomorphism algebra of $Y \times_{\mathbb{F}_p^{ac}((t))} \mathbb{F}_p^{ac}((t))^{sep}$ is equal to the quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ which is non-split only at p and ∞, again a phenomenon which does not exist in characteristic 0, cf.[33, Example 1.5.1]. In fact their method of construction inspired much of this work intuitively: We start out from a base point in $\overline{M}^{(0\times 1)}$ that is contained in the closed subset that plays the role of the "most supersingular locus", the basic points in the sense of [34, Proposition 1.12]. Oort and van der Put start from a base point too, however they use a multiplicatively degenerated abelian variety instead. We also adopt Oort and van der Put's use of deformation theoretic methods over bases like $\mathbb{F}_p^{ac}[[t]]$ and $\mathbb{F}_p^{ac}((t))$ to manufacture families with a prescribed "degree of individuality", in their case provided by a clever use of the Mumford-Faltings-Chai construction and in this work provided by the Serre-Tate theorem.

Acknowledgements: A major part of this work was written up during a visit to the university of Rennes in spring 2002. I thank Prof. P. Berthelot very heartily for the providing of this opportunity. Further thanks go to: Prof. R. Coleman, K. Buzzard, M. Dettweiler, Prof. S. Edixhoven, Prof. E. Freitag, Prof. N. Katz, Prof. M. Kisin, Prof. W. Kohnen, Prof. P. Lochak, A. Miller, Prof. R. Noot, F. Paugam, Prof. R. Taylor, Prof. O. Venjakob, M. Volkov, T. Wedhorn, Prof. R. Weissauer, and S. Wortmann for general interest and comments. I also thank the audience of my talk in the Oberwolfach-workshop 'Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry' in 2004, in which I announced the corollary 6.2.

2. sym-STRUCTURES

In this chapter we introduce certain p-divisible groups which, as we will prove much later, are essentially the p-divisible groups of the abelian varieties in theorem 1.2. We study first properties of an equicharacteristic deformation, with additional structure of a very particular kind, which we call a sufficient deformation of a sym-structure.

2.1. **Windows and Frames.**

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p. Let $W(k)$ be its Wittring, let $K(k)$ be its fraction field, and denote the absolute Frobenius on these by τ.

2.1.1. *Graded slopes.* By an isocrystal we mean a finite-dimensional $K(k)$-vector space M together with a τ-linear bijection $\phi : M \to M$. Let us briefly recall slopes: There exists a positive integer d and a basis x_i of $K(k^{ac}) \otimes_{K(k)} M$ such that $\phi^d(x_i) = p^{dz_i} x_i$ with certain uniquely determined rationals $z_i \in \frac{1}{d}\mathbb{Z}$ which are called the slopes of M. If M has only one single slope it is called isoclinal, if that slope is 0 it is called étale.

By an effective isocrystal we mean a free $W(k)$-module M with map ϕ, such that $\mathbb{Q} \otimes M$ is an isocrystal. A Dieudonné module is by definition an effective isocrystal that satisfies $pM \subset \phi M$, or equivalently one that has an additional τ^{-1}-linear operator ψ with $\psi \circ \phi = \phi \circ \psi = p$. The slopes of an effective isocrystal are always non-negative. The slopes of a Dieudonné module are always in the interval $[0, 1]$ and if 1 is omitted the Dieudonné module is called unipotent. If $M = \mathbb{D}^*(\overline{G})$ is the contravariant Dieudonné module of a p-divisible group $\overline{G}/k$ as explained in section 3.2.1 below, then the slopes of M are just the slopes of $\overline{G}$ in the usual sense.

Let r be a positive integer. A $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-grading on an isocrystal, effective isocrystal, or Dieudonné-module M is a decomposition as a direct sum $M = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}} M_\sigma$ with $\phi M_\sigma \subset M_{\sigma+1}$. Notice that the functor: $\{\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded isocrystals$\} \to \{$finite-dimensional $K(k)$-vector spaces with a τ^r-linear bijection$\}$ that takes a pair $(\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}} M_\sigma, \phi)$ to $(M', \phi') = (M_0, \phi^r)$ is an equivalence of categories. In the other direction we start with (M', ϕ') and we define:

$$M_\sigma = K(k) \otimes_{\tau^{\tilde{\sigma}}, K(k)} M',$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}$ is the representative of σ in $\{0, \dots, r-1\}$, and putting:

$$\phi(\alpha \otimes_{\tau^{\tilde{\sigma}}, K(k)} x) = \begin{cases} \tau(\alpha) \otimes_{\tau^{\tilde{\sigma}+1}, K(k)} x & \sigma \neq -1 \\ \tau(\alpha)\phi'(x) & \sigma = -1 \end{cases}.$$

gives M_σ the structure of a graded isocrystal. Observe however, that effective graded isocrystals cannot be recovered from the degree 0 submodule because the maps $\phi : M_\sigma \to M_{\sigma+1}$ might not be surjective.

If M is graded then the multiplicities $m_1, m_2, m_3, \dots$ of the slopes $z_1, z_2, \dots$ are all divisible by r. We call $\frac{m_1}{r} \times rz_1, \frac{m_2}{r} \times rz_2, \frac{m_3}{r} \times \dots$ the graded slopes of M, equivalently the graded slopes rz_i are just the p-adic valuations of the eigenvalues of the operator ϕ' on M', counted with multiplicity. The graded slopes of a graded (unipotent) Dieudonné module are always in the interval $[0, r]$ ($[0, r[$).

If k is algebraically closed and if all the graded slopes of an isocrystal M_σ are equal to some integer z, then the following recipe will be used time and again: Consider the $K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$-vector space ("Li's skeleton"):

$$I = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes M_0 | \phi^r(x) = p^z x\}.$$

The functor $M_\sigma \mapsto I$ defines again an equivalence of categories $\{\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded isocrystals of graded slope $z\} \to \{$finite-dimensional $K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$-vector spaces$\}$. In particular the graded endomorphism algebra of a M_σ that involves integral graded slopes only is a product of Matrixalgebras over $K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$ then. For non-integral graded slopes this does not hold.

2.1.2. *Generalities.* For the following we have to fix a frame A in the sense of [38], the precise definition is irrelevant to us, as we only have to know that the following are examples of frames:

- $A = W(k)[[t]]$
- $A = W(k)((t))\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{Z}_p$
- $A = W(k)$

Write R for A/pA being one of $k[[t]]$, $k((t))$, or k. Observe that the absolute Frobenius on R has a natural lift τ to each of the above three frames by sending t to t^p. We also need to consider a notion of grading in Zink's category of A-windows, as introduced in [38]:

Definition 2.1. *A $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded A-window is a finitely generated projective graded A-module $\bigoplus_{\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}} M_\sigma$, together with a graded submodule $M_1 = \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}} M_{\sigma,1}$, and a τ-linear operator $\phi : M_\sigma \to M_{\sigma+1}$ such that*

(W.1) *$pM_\sigma \subset M_{\sigma,1}$ and the R-module $M_\sigma/M_{\sigma,1}$ is projective,*
(W.2) *$\phi M_{\sigma,1} \subset pM_{\sigma+1}$,*
(W.3) *the A-module $M_{\sigma+1}$ is generated by $\frac{1}{p}\phi M_{\sigma,1}$,*
(W.4) *the operator $\psi^\sharp : M_\sigma \to A\otimes_{\tau,A} M_{\sigma-1}$ described below is nilpotent* (mod p)*.*

The τ-linear map $M_1 \ni x \mapsto \frac{1}{p}\phi(x) \in M$ will be denoted by ϕ_1. By A-linearization of ϕ and ϕ_1 we obtain $\phi^\sharp : A \otimes_{\tau,A} M \to M$, and $\phi_1^\sharp : A \otimes_{\tau,A} M_1 \to M$. By a graded normal decomposition we mean lifts $L_\sigma \subset M_\sigma$ of $M_{\sigma,1}$ together with complements $T_\sigma \subset M_\sigma$ to L_σ. To any graded normal decomposition one obtains an isomorphism $U^\sharp : A\otimes_{\tau,A} M_\sigma \to M_{\sigma+1}$, where U is defined by $L_\sigma \oplus T_\sigma \ni l+t \mapsto \phi_1(l)+\phi(t)$. In loc.cit. Zink defines the operator $\psi^\sharp$ to be $U^{\sharp-1}$ followed by the map $l+t \mapsto l+pt$. It satisfies $\psi^\sharp \circ \phi^\sharp = \phi^\sharp \circ \psi^\sharp = p$ and does not depend on the choice of the (graded) normal decomposition. If M is graded then $\psi^\sharp$ is homogeneous of degree -1, i.e. maps $M_{\sigma+1}$ to $A \otimes_{\tau,A} M_\sigma$.

Remark 2.2. A standard technique allows to deform a $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded Dieudonné module M_σ as follows: Write $\tilde{M}_\sigma$ for $W(k)[[t]] \otimes_{W(k)} M_\sigma$ and pick arbitrary $W(k)[[t]]$-linear maps $u_\sigma : \tilde{M}_\sigma \to \tilde{M}_\sigma$ with $u_\sigma \equiv 1_{M_\sigma}$ (mod t). Define a τ-linear homogeneous map $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{M}_{\sigma-1} \to \tilde{M}_\sigma$ by $x \mapsto u_\sigma(\phi(x))$. Corresponding to our Frobenius lift τ there exists a unique homomorphism $\delta : W(k)[[t]] \to W(W(k)[[t]])$, called the Cartier map, such that an element α is sent to a Witt vector of which the nth ghost coordinate is equal to $\tau^n(\alpha)$. The element t, for instance, is sent to its Teichmuller lift $[t] = (t, 0, 0, \dots)$. Write:

$$\varkappa : W(k)[[t]] \to W(k[[t]]). \tag{6}$$

for the composition of δ with the map to $W(k[[t]])$ which is $\pmod p$-reduction of the Witt components. By the theory in [21, section (2.2)] the object $W(k[[t]]^{perf}) \otimes_{\varkappa,W(k)[[t]]} \tilde{M}_\sigma$, that one gets after extension of scalars to $k[[t]]^{perf}$, describes a "locally free finite rank Frobenius crystal on $k[[t]]^{perf}$", in our case with $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-grading.
The theory of Zink allows an improvement: Suppose that decreeing $\tilde{M}_{\sigma,1} := W(k)[[t]] \otimes_{W(k)} M_{\sigma,1}$, where $M_{\sigma,1} := \{x \in M_\sigma | \phi(x) \in pM_{\sigma+1}\} = \psi M_{\sigma+1}$, makes the structure $(\tilde{M}_\sigma, \tilde{M}_{\sigma,1}, \tilde{\phi})$ into a graded $W(k)[[t]]$-window. This boils down to the nilpotence condition (W.4), which is satisfied if and only if the Dieudonné module $W(k((t))^{perf}) \otimes_{\varkappa,W(k)[[t]]} \tilde{M}_\sigma$ is unipotent. Now one can use $(\tilde{M}_\sigma, \tilde{M}_{\sigma,1}, \tilde{\phi})$ to describe a "locally free finite rank $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded Frobenius crystal on the ring $k[[t]]$", not merely on the perfection. This is an important aspect as passage from $k[[t]]$ to $k[[t]]^{perf}$ destroys many interesting properties of the "locally free finite rank Frobenius crystals".

2.2. **Formal** sym**-structures.** We need the following integral version of a special case of an "additional structure" on a window. In the setting of isocrystals this concept has already been studied in much greater generality, see [34] for example. Fix once and for all a finite sequence $b_1, \dots, b_c$ of non-negative integers, not all of which are zero.

Definition 2.3. *A $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded A-window M_σ is endowed with a formal* sym*-structure if a tuple $(N_\sigma, N_{i,\sigma}, \zeta_\sigma)$, where $i \in \{1, \dots, c\}$, is given such that:*

- (S.1) *N_σ is a $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded A-window with* $\operatorname{rank}_A N_\sigma = 2$.
- (S.2) *$N_{1,\sigma}, \dots, N_{c,\sigma}$ are some further auxiliary $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded effective isocrystals which have* $\operatorname{rank}_{W(k)} N_{i,\sigma} = 1$.
- (S.3) $\zeta_\sigma : \mathbb{Q} \otimes M_\sigma \to \mathbb{Q} \otimes \bigoplus_{i=1}^c N_{i,\sigma} \otimes_{W(k)} \operatorname{sym}_A^{b_i} N_\sigma$ *is a $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-homogeneous quasi-isogeny of A-modules with ϕ-operation.*
- (S.4) *For all $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ with* $\operatorname{rank}_R N_\sigma/N_{\sigma,1} = 1$ *the A-module $\zeta_\sigma(M_\sigma)$ is equal to* $\bigoplus_{i=1}^c N_{i,\sigma} \otimes_{W(k)} \operatorname{sym}_A^{b_i} N_\sigma$.

If N_σ (resp. $N_{i,\sigma}$) is as in (S.1) (resp. (S.2)) we write z (resp. z_i) for the graded slope of $\bigoplus_\sigma \det(N_\sigma)$ (resp. $\bigoplus_\sigma N_{i,\sigma}$). We denote the set $\{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} | \operatorname{rank}_R N_\sigma/N_{\sigma,1} = 1\}$ by Σ, its cardinality satisfies $0 \leq z - \operatorname{Card}(\Sigma) \equiv 0 \pmod 2$.
Observe that any $W(k)[[t]]$-window gives rise to a $W(k)((t))\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{Z}_p$-and a $W(k)$-one by extension of scalars, these will be referred to as the generic and the special fibre. It is clear that a sym-structure on some graded $W(k)[[t]]$-window is inherited by its generic and special fibre.

2.2.1. *Examples of formal* sym-*structures.* For the rest of this section the frame is $A = W(k)$, we begin with a numerical condition which guarantees the existence of an abundance of examples of formal sym-structures. We fix $z, r \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $a \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ all positive and subject to:

- $n := \sum_{i=1}^{c} 1 + b_i < \frac{r}{a}$
- $\max\{b_i | i = 1, \dots, c\} \leq \frac{2a}{z}$
- $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, if z is even and $2a \equiv b_1 \equiv \dots \equiv b_c \pmod 2$, if z is odd

If the above holds it is possible to choose the following:

(I) for every index $i \in \{1, \dots, c\}$ a representation of $a - b_i z/2$ as an arbitrary sum $\sum_{j=1}^{z} f_{i,j}$, where the $f_{i,j}$ are non-negative integers,
(II) integers $\sigma_1 < \dots < \sigma_z < \sigma_1 + r$, and a proper subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ such that its intersection with each of the intervals $\{\sigma_j, \dots, \sigma_{j+1} - 1\}$ (along with $\{\sigma_z, \dots, \sigma_1 + r - 1\}$ of course) contains exactly w_j many elements where

$$w_j = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{c}(b_i + 1)(b_i + 2f_{i,j}).$$

Let w be $\sum_{j=1}^{z} w_j$. Observe that $\mathrm{Card}(\Omega) = w = an < r$. We want to start with a graded Dieudonné module N_σ, such that

$$\dim_k N_\sigma/N_{\sigma,1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \sigma \equiv \sigma_j \pmod r \\ 2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$\mathrm{rank}_{W(k)} N_\sigma = 2,$$

where, as usual, $N_{\sigma,1} = \{x \in N_\sigma | \phi(x) \in pN_{\sigma+1}\}$. Notice that these conditions imply $\Sigma = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_z\}$, in particular $\mathrm{Card}(\Sigma) = z$. Let $N_{\sigma_j} = L_j \oplus T_j$ $(j = 1, \dots, z)$ be a graded normal decomposition. Let us endow the graded $W(k)$-modules $N_{i,\sigma} = W(k)$ with the structure of an effective isocrystal by the following formula:

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} p^{f_{i,j}}\tau(x) & \sigma \equiv \sigma_j \pmod r \\ \tau(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where x is to be regarded in $N_{i,\sigma}$, and $\phi(x)$ is to be regarded in $N_{i,\sigma+1}$. We next want to establish a graded Dieudonné module $M_\sigma \subset \bigoplus_{i=1}^{c} N_{i,\sigma} \otimes_{W(k)} \mathrm{sym}_{W(k)}^{b_i} N_\sigma$. Moreover, we want M_σ to satisfy:

$$\dim_k M_\sigma/M_{\sigma,1} = \begin{cases} n - 1 & \sigma \in \Omega \\ n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$\mathrm{rank}_{W(k)} M_\sigma = n$$

We start with an element $\sigma_j \in \Sigma$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\sigma_j} &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^{c} N_{i,\sigma_j} \otimes_{W(k)} \operatorname{sym}_{W(k)}^{b_i} N_{\sigma_j} \\ &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^{c} N_{i,\sigma_j} \otimes_{W(k)} (\bigoplus_{b=0}^{b_i} L_j^{\otimes b} \otimes_{W(k)} T_j^{\otimes b_i - b}). \end{aligned}$$

The lattice $M_{\sigma'}$ for some $\sigma' \in \{\sigma_j, \dots, \sigma_{j+1}\}$ will be manufactured from

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{c} N_{i,\sigma_j} \otimes_{W(k)} (\bigoplus_{b=0}^{b_i} p^{-e_{b,i}} L_j^{\otimes b} \otimes_{W(k)} T_j^{\otimes b_i - b})$$

by applying $\phi^{\sigma' - \sigma_j}$ with a choice of integers $e_{b,i}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq e_{b,i} \leq b + f_{i,j} \\ e_{b,i} \leq e_{b+1,i} \leq e_{b,i} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

We proceed inductively starting with $e_{0,i} = \dots = e_{b_i,i} = 0$ at $\sigma' = \sigma_j$. If $\sigma' - 1$ is not in Ω, we keep all of $e_{0,i}, \dots, e_{b_i,i}$, and otherwise we increase any of $e_{0,i}, \dots, e_{b_i,i}$ by one. If we specialize the construction to $\sigma' = \sigma_{j+1}$ we find that the integers $e_{b,i}$ reach the maximal value $b + f_{i,j}$, due to $\sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{b=0}^{b_i} b + f_{i,j} = w_j$ and (II). The result is that $M_{\sigma_{j+1}}$ is the image under $\phi^{\sigma_{j+1} - \sigma_j}$ of the lattice

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{c} p^{-f_{i,j}} N_{i,\sigma_j} \otimes_{W(k)} (\bigoplus_{b=0}^{b_i} (p^{-1} L_j)^{\otimes b} \otimes_{W(k)} T_j^{\otimes b_i - b}).$$

In order to guarantee the consistency of the construction we have to check that $M_{\sigma_{j+1}}$ agrees with $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{c} N_{i,\sigma_{j+1}} \otimes_{W(k)} (\operatorname{sym}_{W(k)}^{b_i} N_{\sigma_{j+1}})$. This follows easily from $\phi(p^{-1} L_j \oplus T_j) = M_{\sigma_j + 1}$, and $\phi(p^{-f_{i,j}} N_{i,\sigma_j}) = N_{i,\sigma_j + 1}$, together with the fact that ϕ induces bijections $N_{\sigma'} \to N_{\sigma' + 1}$ and $N_{i,\sigma'} \to N_{i,\sigma'+1}$, whenever those degrees σ' stay in the range from $\sigma_j + 1$ to $\sigma_{j+1} - 1$, i.e. outside Σ.

Remark 2.4. Let N_σ be as above and let $\{z', z''\}$ be the graded slopes of it. According to [34, Theorem 4.2] they satisfy:

(N.1) $z' + z'' = z$,
(N.2) $z', z'' \in \{\frac{z}{2}\} \cup \{0, 1, \dots, z\}$.

Moreover, for fixed Σ every $\{z', z''\}$ with the above properties arises from some N_σ, see [25, Theorem 5.1] for a more general result.

We finish this subsection with a lemma on slopes:

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that M_σ has a* sym*-structure as above, and let $\bigoplus_\sigma N_\sigma$ have the graded slopes $\{z', z''\}$. Then $\bigoplus_\sigma M_\sigma$ has graded slopes $z_i + bz' + (b_i - b)z''$ where i runs through $\{1, \ldots, c\}$, and b runs through $\{0, \ldots, b_i\}$. The $W(k)$-rank of M_σ is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^c 1 + b_i$.*

Proof. We can assume that k is algebraically closed. Let $\mathbb{Q} \otimes N_0$, and $\mathbb{Q} \otimes N_{i,0}$ have bases $\{x', x''\}$ and $\{x_i\}$, with $\phi^{rd}x' = p^{z'd}x'$, $\phi^{rd}x'' = p^{z''d}x''$, and $\phi^{rd}x_i = p^{z_i d}x_i$. Now just note that $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \bigoplus_{i=1}^c N_{i,0} \otimes_{W(k)} \mathrm{sym}_{W(k)}^{b_i} N_0$ has a basis consisting of the elements $\{x_i x'^b x''^{b_i - b}\}$ and that $\phi^r(x_i)\phi^r(x')^b\phi^r(x'')^{b_i-b}$ is $\phi^r(x_i x'^b x''^{b_i-b})$. We get a $\sum_{i=1}^c 1 + b_i$-element basis of $\mathbb{Q} \otimes M_0$ on which, by (S.3), ϕ^{rd} has eigenvalues with the valuations $d(z_i + bz' + (b_i - b)z'')$. ☐

Remark 2.6. Assume that $\bigoplus_\sigma M_\sigma$ has pure graded slope a. Then $\bigoplus_\sigma N_\sigma$ is isoclinal too, and necessarily has pure graded slope $z/2$, by (N.1). Consequently the integers z_i have to be equal to $a - b_i z/2$. In particular a must always be in $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and moreover one has:

- $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, if z is even
- $2a \equiv b_1 \equiv \cdots \equiv b_c \pmod 2$, if z is odd.

Notice that in the first case all graded slopes are integral.

2.2.2. *Results of Wedhorn.* Let M_σ be a $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded Dieudonné module equipped with a sym-structure $(N_\sigma, N_{i,\sigma}, \zeta_\sigma)$. We want to exhibit lifts to non-isotrivial $W(k)[[t]]$-windows which preserve the sym-structure. We begin with a description of a lift of N_σ where we follow work of Wedhorn. If

- $\dim_k N_\sigma / N_{\sigma,1} \geq 1$,
- and all graded slopes of N_σ are $\neq 0$,

then, by [37, Proposition 4.1.4], there exists a deformation sequence. This is a family of elements $e_\sigma \in N_\sigma - N_{\sigma,1}$ such that for every σ one and only one of

- $\phi(e_{\sigma-1}) \equiv e_\sigma \pmod p$
- $\phi(e_{\sigma-1}) \in N_{\sigma,1}$

holds. Observe that if the second alternative holds then necessarily $\sigma \in \Sigma$, and $\{e_\sigma, \phi(e_{\sigma-1})\}$ is a basis of the $W(k)$-module N_σ. Now define $W(k)$-linear maps $v_\sigma : N_\sigma \to N_\sigma$ by:

- if $\phi(e_{\sigma-1}) \equiv e_\sigma \pmod p$, then $v_\sigma = 0$
- if $\phi(e_{\sigma-1}) \in N_{\sigma,1}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} v_\sigma(\phi(e_{\sigma-1})) = \ & e_\sigma \\ v_\sigma(e_\sigma) = \ & 0 \end{aligned}$$

We proceed as follows: The $W(k)[[t]]$-modules $\tilde{M}_\sigma$, $\tilde{M}_{\sigma,1}$, $\tilde{N}_\sigma$, and $\tilde{N}_{\sigma,1}$ are obtained by scalar extension, as in remark 2.2. Now let x be an element in one of $\tilde{N}_{\sigma-1}$ or $\tilde{M}_{\sigma-1}$. To define its image $\tilde{\phi}(x)$ under the τ-linear operator, we distinguish two cases. If $\sigma \in \Sigma$ we set:

$$\tilde{\phi}(x) = u_\sigma(\phi(x)),$$

where u_σ shall act $W(k)[[t]]$-linearly on $\tilde{N}_\sigma$ according to the formula $u_\sigma = 1_{\tilde{N}_\sigma} + t \otimes_{W(k)} v_\sigma$, with v_σ as above, and u_σ shall act on $\tilde{M}_\sigma$ according to

$$u_\sigma(\zeta_\sigma^{-1}(x_i {x'}^b {x''}^{b_i-b})) = \zeta_\sigma^{-1}(x_i u_\sigma(x')^b u_\sigma(x'')^{b_i-b})$$

for any bases $\{x', x''\}$ of N_σ and $x_i \in N_{i,\sigma} - pN_{i,\sigma}$. This is meaningful because of condition (S.4). If, however, x is in one of $\tilde{N}_{\sigma-1}$ or $\tilde{M}_{\sigma-1}$ with $\sigma \notin \Sigma$ we set:

$$\tilde{\phi}(x) = \phi(x).$$

In so doing we obtain a very particular deformation of the graded Dieudonné module M_σ, which we will refer to as a sufficient deformation with sym-structure ζ_σ. Let us state thoroughly what this shows:

Lemma 2.7. *Let* $(N_\sigma, N_{i,\sigma}, \zeta_\sigma)$ *be a* sym-*structure on a* $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-*graded Dieudonné module* M_σ. *Assume* $\dim_k N_\sigma/N_{\sigma,1} \geq 1$ *for all* σ, *and assume that the graded slopes of* $\bigoplus_\sigma N_\sigma$ *are non-zero. Then one has:*

(i) *If* $\bigoplus_\sigma \tilde{N}_\sigma$ *is a deformation corresponding to a deformation sequence, then the graded slopes of its generic fibre are* $\{0, z\}$.

(ii) *If there exists a* σ_0 *with* $M_{\sigma_0,1} = pM_{\sigma_0}$ *then the sufficient deformation* $\tilde{M}_\sigma$, *that corresponds to (i) satisfies the nilpotence condition (W.4) and is therefore a graded* $W(k)[[t]]$-*window with* sym-*structure in the sense of definition 2.3.*

Proof. In the generic fibre of $\bigoplus_\sigma \tilde{N}_\sigma$ the graded slope 0 must occur, due to [37, Proposition 4.1.5] (the image of e_σ in $\tilde{N}_\sigma/\tilde{N}_{\sigma,1}$ is an eigenvector of $\tilde{\phi}^r$ with eigenvalue equal to t to the power of number of occurences of $\phi(e_{\sigma-1}) \in N_{\sigma,1}$). By refering to (N.1), we find that the other graded slope has to be z. This shows (i).

In order to justify (ii) notice that the construction of the underlying $W(k)[[t]]$-modules $\tilde{M}_\sigma$ and $\tilde{M}_{\sigma,1}$ shows $\tilde{M}_{\sigma_0,1} = p\tilde{M}_{\sigma_0}$. This means that $\psi^\sharp : \tilde{M}_{\sigma_0+1} \to W(k)[[t]] \otimes_{\tau, W(k)[[t]]} \tilde{M}_{\sigma_0}$ is divisible by p, implying the nilpotence condition (W.4). □

Remark 2.8. Assume that $\bigoplus_\sigma M_\sigma$ has pure graded slope a. Then, the graded slope of $\bigoplus_\sigma N_{i,\sigma}$ is $z_i = a - b_i z/2$, according to remark 2.6. As the slopes of the generic fibre of $\bigoplus_\sigma \tilde{N}_\sigma$ are $\{0, z\}$ we can use lemma 2.5 to deduce that the slopes of the generic fibre of $\bigoplus_\sigma \tilde{M}_\sigma$ are the numbers $a - b_i z/2, \ldots, a + b_i z/2$ where i runs through $\{1, \ldots, c\}$. Observe that this implies the inequality

$$\max\{b_i | i = 1, \ldots, c\} \leq \frac{2\min\{a, r-a\}}{z},$$

which was also present in subsection 2.2.1.

Therefore we get examples of sym-structures on $W(k)[[t]]$-windows with non-constant Newton polygons. Observe that the non-constancy of the Newton polygon implies that the window is not isotrivial. In fact, the converse holds also, provided only that the special fibre is isoclinal, see [21, Theorem 2.7.1] for a proof of this in the language of "locally free finite rank Frobenius crystals over $k[[t]]$". In the next section we give a precise measure for the non-isotriviality of $\tilde{M}_\sigma$.

2.3. **Results of Dwork.** In order to state the main result of this subsection we employ connections. Let A be one of the frames $W(k)[[t]]$ or $W(k)((t))\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{Z}_p$. If M is a finitely generated projective A-module, then a quasi-connection is a $K(k)$-linear map $\nabla : \mathbb{Q} \otimes M \to \mathbb{Q} \otimes M$ that satisfies

$$\nabla(\alpha x) = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} x + \alpha \nabla(x), \tag{7}$$

and ∇ is called a connection if it preserves M. Recall that the sets of quasi-connections and connections form principal homogeneous spaces under $\mathrm{End}^0_A(M)$ and $\mathrm{End}_A(M)$. Recall also that a connection is called nilpotent if some power kills M/pM. The following result seems to be well known, for lack of reference we provide a proof:

Lemma 2.9. *Let (M, M_1, ϕ) be a A-window. Then there exists one and only one quasi-connection ∇ with*

$$\nabla(\phi(x)) = pt^{p-1}\phi(\nabla(x)), \tag{8}$$

moreover ∇ is a connection, it is nilpotent and, if the A-window has a $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-grading, then ∇ preserves this.

Proof. Suppose that ∇ is just any quasi-connection. Let us define a quasi-connection ∇^τ on the A-module $A \otimes_{\tau,A} M$ by the formula

$$\nabla^\tau(\alpha \otimes_{\tau,A} x) = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \otimes_{\tau,A} x + pt^{p-1}\alpha \otimes_{\tau,A} \nabla(x).$$

Now we use $\phi^\sharp : A \otimes_{\tau,A} M \to M$ to get a quasi-connection $F(\nabla)$ on M by transport of structure, i.e. $F(\nabla) = \phi^\sharp \circ \nabla^\tau \circ {\phi^\sharp}^{-1}$, here notice that the existence of $\psi^\sharp$ implies that ${\phi^\sharp}^{-1}$, is a well-defined map from $\mathbb{Q} \otimes M$ to $\mathbb{Q} \otimes A \otimes_{\tau,A} M$. It is easy to see that the Leibniz-formula (7) holds for $F(\nabla)$. We claim that $F(\nabla)$ preserves M, provided that ∇ preserves it, i.e. if ∇ is in fact a connection: indeed by (W.3) it is enough to verify this for elements of the form $\alpha\phi_1(x)$, where $\alpha \in A$ and $x \in M_1$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi^\sharp \circ \nabla^\tau \circ {\phi^\sharp}^{-1}(\alpha\phi_1(x)) = \\
&\phi^\sharp \circ \nabla^\tau(\alpha \otimes_{\tau,A} \frac{x}{p}) = \\
&\phi^\sharp(\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial t} \otimes_{\tau,A} \frac{x}{p} + \alpha t^{p-1} \otimes_{\tau,A} \nabla(x)) = \\
&\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial t}\phi_1(x) + \alpha t^{p-1}\phi(\nabla(x)),
\end{aligned}$$

showing $F(\nabla)(M) \subset M$. Observe finally that for a A-linear map $u : \mathbb{Q} \otimes M \to \mathbb{Q} \otimes M$ we get $F(\nabla + u) = F(\nabla) + \phi^\sharp \circ t^{p-1} \otimes_{\tau,A} u \circ \psi^\sharp$. We deduce that F is a contractive map for the p-adic topology, because $\psi^\sharp$ is p-adically nilpotent. As $\mathrm{End}^0_A(M)$ and $\mathrm{End}_A(M)$ are both p-adically complete, it follows that in the set of quasi-connections and connections F has a unique fixed point.

The nilpotency of ∇ follows from $\nabla^p(M) \subset A\phi M$, and $\nabla^p(A\phi M) \subset pM$ ☐

We call the connection on a A-window fulfilling equation (8) the Dieudonné connection.

2.3.1. *Base change to $K(k)\{\{t\}\}$.* We next invoke Dwork's trivialisation of a graded window $\tilde{M}_\sigma$, to this end we introduce the $W(k)[[t]][\frac{1}{p}]$-algebra

$$K(k)\{\{t\}\} = \{\sum_i a_i t^i | a_i \in K(k), |a_i|_p C^i \to 0 \,\forall C < 1\}.$$

We need the following:

Lemma 2.10. *The ring $K(k)\{\{t\}\}$ is faithfully flat over $W(k)[[t]][\frac{1}{p}]$.*

Proof. By Weierstrass preparation [26, Chapter 5, Theorem 11.2], every non-zero ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $W(k)[[t]][\frac{1}{p}]$ is generated by a polynomial of the form $t^d + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i t^i$ with $a_0, \dots, a_{d-1} \in pW(k)$. Such polynomials are not units in $K(k)\{\{t\}\}$, because all of their zeros have p-adic absolute value strictly less than 1. It follows that $\mathfrak{a}K(k)\{\{t\}\} \neq K(k)\{\{t\}\}$ for all $\mathfrak{a} \neq W(k)[[t]][\frac{1}{p}]$, hence the faithfulness follows.

Observe that $W(k)[[t]][\frac{1}{p}]$ is a principal ideal domain. So $K(k)\{\{t\}\}$ is flat if and only if it is torsion-free. However, this can be deduced from $K(k)[[t]] \supset K(k)\{\{t\}\}$. $\square$

Now let $(\tilde{M}_\sigma, \tilde{M}_{\sigma,1}, \tilde{\phi})$ be a $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded $W(k)[[t]]$-window. Let us assume that the special fibre $(M_\sigma, M_{\sigma,1}, \phi)$ is isoclinal with integral graded slope a. The $\sigma = 0$ eigenspace can be written as

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes M_0 \cong I \otimes_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} K(k), \tag{9}$$

where I is the skeleton, as in subsection 2.1.1. The lemma of Dwork [20, Proposition 3.1] reads: There is a unique isomorphism of $K(k)\{\{t\}\}$-modules:

$$\tilde{M}_0 \otimes_{W(k)[[t]]} K(k)\{\{t\}\} \cong I \otimes_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} K(k)\{\{t\}\}$$

such that

- the Dieudonné connection ∇ on the left matches the $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$-operator on the right
- reducing $\pmod t$ gives one back the isomorphism (9)

We will call this isomorphism the Dwork trivialization. It is given by Taylor's formula

$$\tilde{M}_0 \otimes_{W(k)[[t]]} K(k)\{\{t\}\} \ni x \mapsto \Theta(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \nabla^i(x)|_{t=0} \frac{t^i}{i!},$$

where $|_{t=0}$ means the reduction $\pmod t$. This formula implies readily $\Theta(\tilde{\phi}^r(x)) = \phi^r(\Theta(x))$. Consider the ring

$$\mathcal{Q}(k) = K(k)\{\{t\}\} \otimes_{W(k)[[t]][\frac{1}{p}]} K(k)\{\{t\}\}$$

and let $\theta \in \mathrm{GL}(I/K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}))(\mathcal{Q}(k))$ be the isomorphism making the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{M}_0 \otimes_{W(k)[[t]]} \mathcal{Q}(k) \arrow[r, "\Theta_1"] & I \otimes_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} \mathcal{Q}(k) \\
\tilde{M}_0 \otimes_{W(k)[[t]]} \mathcal{Q}(k) \arrow[u, "="] \arrow[r, "\Theta_2"] & I \otimes_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} \mathcal{Q}(k) \arrow[u, "\theta"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutative, where $\Theta_i = \Theta \otimes_{K(k)\{\{t\}\}, q_i} 1_{\mathcal{Q}(k)}$ are obtained from the Dwork trivialization via scalar extensions

$$q_1 : K(k)\{\{t\}\} \to \mathcal{Q}(k); \alpha \mapsto \alpha \otimes_{K(k)\{\{t\}\}} 1 \tag{10}$$

$$q_2 : K(k)\{\{t\}\} \to \mathcal{Q}(k); \alpha \mapsto 1 \otimes_{K(k)\{\{t\}\}} \alpha. \tag{11}$$

It is important to note that the trivial deformation satisfies $\theta = 1$. Observe that we also have $\theta(\tau^r(x)) = \tau^r(\theta(x))$. Now we are in a position to give a partial justification for the term "sym-structure":

Lemma 2.11. *Assume* $p \neq 2$ *and let* $(\tilde{M}_\sigma, \tilde{N}_\sigma, N_{i,\sigma}, \zeta_\sigma)$ *be as in part (ii) of lemma 2.7. Assume that* $\bigoplus_\sigma M_\sigma$, $\bigoplus_\sigma N_\sigma$, $\bigoplus_\sigma N_{i,\sigma}$ *are isoclinal with integral graded slopes, and write* I, J, J_i *for their skeletons. Let* $\theta_{\tilde{M}}$ *and* $\theta_{\tilde{N}}$ *be the Dwork descent data as above. Then:*

- (i) $\theta_{\tilde{N}} \in \mathrm{SL}(J/K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}))(\mathcal{Q}(k))$ *and no smaller* $K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$*-algebraic subgroup of* $\mathrm{SL}(J/K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}))$ *contains* $\theta_{\tilde{N}}$.
- (ii) *Let* π *denote the representation of* $\mathrm{SL}(J/K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}))$ *on the* $K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$*-vector space* I*, that comes from the natural isomorphism*

$$\zeta_0 : I \overset{\cong}{\to} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{c} J_i \otimes_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} \mathrm{sym}^{b_i}_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} J.$$

Then we have $\pi(\theta_{\tilde{N}}) = \theta_{\tilde{M}}$.

Proof. Recall that the Frobenius operator $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{N}_{\sigma-1} \to \tilde{N}_\sigma$ is of the form $u_\sigma \circ \phi$. Here the automorphisms u_σ arise from a choice of deformation sequence, and $(\tilde{N}_\sigma, \phi)$ is the trivial deformation of (N_σ, ϕ). As $\det(u_\sigma) = 1$ it follows that the Dwork trivializations of the windows $(\det(\tilde{N}_\sigma), \det(\tilde{\phi}))$ and $(\det(\tilde{N}_\sigma), \det(\phi))$ agree, clearly leading to $\det(\theta_{\tilde{N}}) = 1$.

Consider a maximal proper $K(k)$-algebraic subgroup $H \subset \mathrm{SL}(J/K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}))$ with $\theta_{\tilde{N}} \in H(\mathcal{Q}(k))$. In case H is the Borel subgroup stabilizing a line R in J we get immediately an induced descent datum $\theta : R \otimes_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} \mathcal{Q}(k) \overset{\cong}{\to} R \otimes_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} \mathcal{Q}(k)$, of which the cocycle condition follows from the one of $\theta_{\tilde{N}}$. Hence we obtain a submodule

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{V} = \{&x \in R \otimes_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} K(k)\{\{t\}\}| \\ &\theta(x \otimes_{K(k)\{\{t\}\},q_2} 1_{\mathcal{Q}(k)}) = x \otimes_{K(k)\{\{t\}\},q_1} 1_{\mathcal{Q}(k)}\},\end{aligned}$$

of $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \tilde{N}_0$ that is projective of rank 1 over $W(k)[[t]][\frac{1}{p}]$. Analogously we have a factor module $\tilde{V}' = \mathbb{Q} \otimes \tilde{N}_0/\tilde{V}$. The equation $\theta_{\tilde{N}}(\tau^r(x)) = \tau^r(\theta_{\tilde{N}}(x))$ implies that $\tilde{V}$ and $\tilde{V}'$ are stable under the operator $\tilde{\phi}^r = p^{z/2}\tau^r$. This means that their graded slopes must be constant contradicting lemma 2.7, (i).

If H is not a Borel subgroup then $\theta_{\tilde{N}}$ is a similitude with respect to some non-degenerate $K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$-valued symmetric pairing $(.,..)$, i.e. $(x, y) = \theta^{-1}(\theta_{\tilde{N}}(x), \theta_{\tilde{N}}(y))$ for some $\theta \in \mathcal{Q}(k)^\times$. Again this implies a cocycle condition for θ, giving rise to a $W(k)[[t]][\frac{1}{p}]$-module

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{V} = \{&x \in K(k)\{\{t\}\}| \\ &\theta(x \otimes_{K(k)\{\{t\}\},q_2} 1_{\mathcal{Q}(k)}) = x \otimes_{K(k)\{\{t\}\},q_1} 1_{\mathcal{Q}(k)}\},\end{aligned}$$

which inherits a $\tilde{\phi}^r$-operation from the map $p^z\tau^r$ on $K(k)\{\{t\}\}$. Moreover, the $K(k)\{\{t\}\}$-linear extension of $(.,..)$ defines a $\tilde{\phi}^r$-equivariant pairing $\mathbb{Q}\otimes\tilde{N}_0\times\mathbb{Q}\otimes\tilde{N}_0\to\tilde{V}$. By passing to the determinant of the pairing we find that $\mathbb{Q}\otimes\det(\tilde{N}_0)^{\otimes 2}$ regarded as a $W(k)[[t]][\frac{1}{p}]$-module with $\tilde{\phi}^r$-operation is isomorphic to $\tilde{V}^{\otimes 2}$. If we fix a generator w of $\tilde{V}$, and write $p^z\tau^r(w)=\alpha w$ for some $\alpha\in W(k)[[t]][\frac{1}{p}]$, this means that α^2 is in fact equal to $p^{2z}\frac{\tau^r(x)}{x}$ where $x\in 1+tW(k)[[t]]$. Hence $\alpha=\pm p^z\frac{\tau^r(\sqrt{x})}{\sqrt{x}}$. After adjusting w with a p^r-1th root of -1 we get an isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}\otimes\det(\tilde{N}_0)\cong\tilde{V}$ of $W(k)[[t]][\frac{1}{p}]$-modules with $\tilde{\phi}^r$-operation, implying $\theta=1$. Hence $(\theta_{\tilde{N}}x,y)=(x,(\mathrm{tr}(\theta_{\tilde{N}})-\theta_{\tilde{N}})y)$ for all $x,y\in J\otimes_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}\mathcal{Q}(k)$, due to $\det(\theta_{\tilde{N}})=1$ and $\dim_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}J=2$. As the algebra $\{f\in\mathrm{End}_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}(J)|(fx,y)=(x,(\mathrm{tr}(f)-f)y)\}$ is a quadratic extension of $K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$, all of its elements commute with $\theta_{\tilde{N}}$ and thus give rise to endomorphisms of $\mathbb{Q}\otimes\tilde{N}_0$. This is again a contradiction because due to slope considerations such endomorphisms can not exist.

Let $\Theta_{\tilde{M}}$ and $\Theta_{\tilde{N}}$ be the Dwork trivializations of $\tilde{M}_\sigma$ and $\tilde{N}_\sigma$. It will not cause confusion to write $\pi(\Theta_{\tilde{N}})$ for the isomorphism from $\tilde{M}_0\otimes_{W(k)[[t]]}K(k)\{\{t\}\}$ to $I\otimes_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}K(k)\{\{t\}\}$ that is induced by the isomorphism

$$\Theta_{\tilde{N}}:\tilde{N}_0\otimes_{W(k)[[t]]}K(k)\{\{t\}\}\to J\otimes_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}K(k)\{\{t\}\}$$

Clearly we have to show that $\pi(\Theta_{\tilde{N}})=\Theta_{\tilde{M}}$, as $\theta_{\tilde{M}}$ is

$$(\Theta_{\tilde{M}}\otimes_{K(k)\{\{t\}\},q_1}1_{\mathcal{Q}(k)})\circ(\Theta_{\tilde{M}}\otimes_{K(k)\{\{t\}\},q_2}1_{\mathcal{Q}(k)})^{-1},$$

and $\theta_{\tilde{N}}$ is

$$(\Theta_{\tilde{N}}\otimes_{K(k)\{\{t\}\},q_1}1_{\mathcal{Q}(k)})\circ(\Theta_{\tilde{N}}\otimes_{K(k)\{\{t\}\},q_2}1_{\mathcal{Q}(k)})^{-1}.$$

Let ∇ be the Dieudonné connection on the graded window $\tilde{N}_\sigma$. This induces immediately a connection on $\bigoplus_{i=1}^c N_{i,\sigma}\otimes_{W(k)}\mathrm{sym}^{b_i}_{W(k)[[t]]}\tilde{N}_\sigma$, by the formula

$$\nabla(x_ix'^bx''^{b_i-b})=x_i(b\nabla(x')x'^{b-1}x''^{b_i-b}+(b_i-b)\nabla(x'')x'^bx''^{b_i-b-1}).$$

By transport of structure we get a quasi-connection on $\tilde{M}_\sigma$ whichis easily verified to satisfy (8), so that it agrees with the Dieudonné connection on $\tilde{M}_\sigma$, by lemma 2.9. It follows that $\pi(\Theta_{\tilde{N}})$ is horizontal and reduces to (9) $\pmod t$, which is all we want. $\square$

3. $Y^{(k)}$ IN CHARACTERISTIC 0

In this chapter we introduce the kth exterior power of an abelian variety of $\mathrm{U}(n-1,1)$ type. We start with an elementary discussion of the complex Hodge structure of $Y^{(k)}$. Then we sum up which properties of the p-adic Tate module can be deduced from it using methods of p-adic Hodge theory of Blasius, Faltings, Fontaine, Lafaille and Messing.

3.1. **Hodge Structure of $Y^{(k)}$ and Results of Blasius.** Let us recall objects and concepts from [6, section 2] we fix:

- torsion free, finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_L$-modules $V^{(k)}$ $(k=0,\dots,n)$, each equipped with a $\mathbb{Q}$-valued $(L,*)$-skew-Hermitian form $\psi^{(k)}$ which one can write as

$$\psi^{(k)}(x,y)=\mathrm{tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}\,\Psi^{(k)}(x,y) \tag{12}$$

  for a unique form $\Psi^{(k)}$ with $\Psi^{(k)}(\alpha x,y)=\Psi^{(k)}(x,\alpha^* y)=\alpha\Psi^{(k)}(x,y)$, for all $x,y\in V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(k)}$. We require that $(V^{(k)},\Psi^{(k)})$ is gotten from $V^{(0)}$, $V^{(1)}$, $\Psi^{(0)}$, and $\Psi^{(1)}$ according to the assignments

$$V^{(k)}=V^{(0)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L}1-k}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L}\bigwedge_{\mathcal{O}_L}^{k}V^{(1)}, \tag{13}$$

$$\Psi^{(k)}(x_0^{1-k}x_1\wedge\dots\wedge x_k,y_0^{1-k}y_1\wedge\dots\wedge y_k)= \tag{14}$$

$$(\Psi^{(0)}(x_0,y_0))^{1-k}\det\begin{pmatrix}\Psi^{(1)}(x_1,y_1)&\dots&\Psi^{(1)}(x_1,y_k)\\ \vdots&\ddots&\vdots\\ \Psi^{(1)}(x_k,y_1)&\dots&\Psi^{(1)}(x_k,y_k)\end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

  where $x_1,\dots,x_k,y_1,\dots,y_k\in V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(1)}$, and $x_0,y_0\in V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(0)}$,
- We need certain group homomorphisms $g^{(k)}:G^{(0\times1)}\to G^{(k)}$, where the target reductive $\mathbb{Q}$-groups $G^{(k)}$ represent the functors:

$$Q\mapsto\{(\gamma,\mu)\in\mathrm{End}_{L\otimes Q}^{\times}(V_Q^{(k)})\times Q^\times|\psi^{(k)}(\gamma x,\gamma y)=\mu\psi^{(k)}(x,y)\}$$

  on the category of all $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras Q, and where the source is the reductive $\mathbb{Q}$-group $G^{(0\times1)}$ making

$$\begin{tikzcd} G^{(0\times1)} \arrow[r, "g^{(1)}"] \arrow[d, "g^{(0)}"'] & G^{(1)} \arrow[d, "c^{(1)}"'] \\ G^{(0)} \arrow[r, "c^{(0)}"] & \mathbb{G}_m \end{tikzcd}$$

  into a cartesian diagram. Here notice that the variable μ gives natural characters c^δ on each of the groups G^δ, where δ stands for a symbol in $\{(0\times1),(0),\dots,(n)\}$. We define $g^{(k)}$ on $G^{(0\times1)}$

by sending say $(\gamma^{(0)}, \gamma^{(1)}, \mu)$ in $G^{(0\times 1)}(Q)$ to $(\gamma^{(k)}, \mu) \in G^{(k)}(Q)$, where the action of $\gamma^{(k)}$ on $V_Q^{(k)}$ is defined by

$$\gamma^{(k)}(x_0^{1-k}x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k) = \gamma^{(0)}(x_0)^{1-k}\gamma^{(1)}(x_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma^{(1)}(x_k).$$

Notice that $c^{(k)} \circ g^{(k)} = c^{(0\times 1)}$. Throughout the whole article we prefer to work with specific connected, smooth $\mathbb{Z}$-models $G_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\delta}$ of the groups G^{δ}: They are obtained by taking the schematic closure in the group $\mathbb{Z}$-schemes $\mathrm{GL}(V^{\delta}/\mathbb{Z})$, where we write $V^{(0\times 1)}$ for $V^{(0)} \oplus V^{(1)}$. It is easy to see that our homomorphisms $g^{(k)}$ give rise to integral maps $G_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(0\times 1)} \to G_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(k)}$ and the same is true for c^{δ}.

- We need a specific conjugacy class $X^{(0\times 1)}$ of homomorphisms $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \to G^{(0\times 1)}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $(G^{(0\times 1)}, X^{(0\times 1)})$ and $(G^{(k)}, X^{(k)})$ are both Shimura data, in fact of PEL type, where $X^{(k)}$ denotes the $G^{(k)}(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class containing $g^{(k)}(X^{(0\times 1)})$, for every $k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$. To this end we require that $X^{(0\times 1)}$ is chosen such that some $h^{(0)}$ in $X^{(0)}$ and $h^{(1)}$ in $X^{(1)}$ satisfy the following properties:
  Firstly the forms $\psi^{(1)}(x, h^{(1)}(i)y)$ and $\psi^{(0)}(x, h^{(0)}(i)y)$ are positive definite, and secondly the Hodge structures $V^{(0)}$ and $V^{(1)}$ are of type $\{(-1,0),(0,-1)\}$ and satisfy:

$$\mathrm{tr}(x|_{V^{(0)-1,0}}) = \Phi^{(0)}(x) \tag{16}$$

$$\mathrm{tr}(x|_{V^{(1)-1,0}}) = (n-1)\Phi^{(0)}(x) + \Phi^{(n)}(x), \tag{17}$$

for all $x \in L$, where $\Phi^{(0)}$ and $\Phi^{(n)}$ are CM traces arising from CM-types $|\Phi^{(0)}|, |\Phi^{(n)}| \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(L, \mathbb{C})$. Under these assumptions it turns out that firstly $\psi^{(k)}(x, h^{(k)}(i)y)$ is positive definite for every k, and that secondly the Hodge structure $V^{(k)}$ is of type $\{(-1,0),(0,-1)\}$ as well. Moreover, the action of L on its tangent space is given by

$$\mathrm{tr}(x|_{V^{(k)-1,0}}) = \Phi^{(k)}(x) = \binom{n-1}{k}\Phi^{(0)}(x) + \binom{n-1}{k-1}\Phi^{(n)}(x).$$

Finally let us denote by E the subfield of $\mathbb{C}$ that is generated by all embeddings $L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. It contains the reflex fields of all of the (G^{δ}, X^{δ}) for $\delta \in \{(0\times 1), (0), \ldots, (n)\}$.

- A level $l \geq 3$, gives rise to neat compact open groups

$$K^{\delta} = \{\gamma \in G_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\delta}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) | \gamma \equiv 1 \pmod{l}\}$$

for every δ. Having fixed it we write M^{δ} for the weakly canonical model of $G^{\delta}(\mathbb{Q})\backslash(X^{\delta} \times G^{\delta}(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}))/K^{\delta}$ over E. By abuse of notation we also write

$$g^{(k)} : M^{(0\times 1)} \to M^{(k)},$$

for the induced map, cf. [7, Corollaire 5.4].

3.1.1. *Moduli interpretations.* We remark that $(G^{(0\times 1)}, X^{(0\times 1)})$ can very nicely be written as a cartesian product of the toric Shimura datum $(G^{(0)}, X^{(0)})$ with a certain preabelian Shimura datum (G, X) belonging to the unitary group of $V = V^{(0)^{\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} -1}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} V^{(1)}$, cf. [6, Remark 2.4]. For sake of completeness we give the Hodge weights of $\bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^k V_\iota$, they are:

$$(p,q) = \begin{cases} \binom{n-1}{k} \times (0,0), \binom{n-1}{k-1} \times (1,-1) & \text{if } \iota \in |\Phi^{(0)}| - |\Phi^{(n)}| \\ \binom{n-1}{k-1} \times (-1,1), \binom{n-1}{k} \times (0,0) & \text{if } \iota \in |\Phi^{(n)}| - |\Phi^{(0)}| \\ \binom{n}{k} \times (0,0) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

observe that, unlike $V^{(k)}$, none of the Hodge structures $\bigwedge_{\mathcal{O}_L}^k V$ are of type $\{(-1,0),(0,-1)\}$, hence are not period lattices of abelian varieties. Also, the Shimura variety to (G, X), which in fact looks more natural to work with, has no moduli interpretation. However $M^{(0\times 1)}$ does have one, let us sketch it briefly. The morphisms $S \to M^{(0\times 1)}$ parameterize tuples $(Y^{(1)}, Y^{(0)}, \mathbb{Q}_{>0}\lambda^{(0)} \times \lambda^{(1)}, \iota^{(1)}, \iota^{(0)}, \overline{\eta}^{(0\times 1)})$ where:

(a) $Y^{(1)}$ and $Y^{(0)}$, abelian schemes over S/E, up to isogeny,
(b) $\mathbb{Q}_{>0}\lambda^{(0)} \times \lambda^{(1)}$, a homogeneous class of polarizations on the product $Y^{(0\times 1)} = Y^{(0)} \times_S Y^{(1)}$
(c) Rosati invariant operations $\iota^{(1)} : L \to \mathrm{End}^0(Y^{(1)})$ and $\iota^{(0)} : L \to \mathrm{End}^0(Y^{(0)})$, such that the formula $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{Lie}(Y^{(k)})}(\iota^{(k)}(x)) = \Phi^{(k)}(x)$ holds for $k \in \{0,1\}$
(d) level-$K^{(0\times 1)}$-structure $\overline{\eta}^{(0\times 1)}$, i.e. for some choice of geometric point ξ of S one has a $\pi_1(S,\xi)$-invariant $K^{(1)}$- (resp. $K^{(0)}$-) class of $\mathcal{O}_L \otimes \mathbb{A}^\infty$-linear symplectic similitudes:

$$\eta^{(1)} : V^{(1)} \otimes \mathbb{A}^\infty \to H_1(Y^{(1)}_\xi, \mathbb{A}^\infty)$$

and

$$\eta^{(0)} : V^{(0)} \otimes \mathbb{A}^\infty \to H_1(Y^{(0)}_\xi, \mathbb{A}^\infty)$$

with the same multipliers.

The Shimura variety $M^{(k)}$ has a similar moduli interpretation, moreover the map $g^{(k)}$ gives rise to a quadruple $(Y^{(k)}, \iota^{(k)}, \mathbb{Q}_{>0}\lambda^{(k)}, \overline{\eta}^{(k)})$ over the scheme $M^{(0\times 1)}$.

3.1.2. *Computation of* $H^1_{dR}(Y^{(k)}_\xi)$. Unless otherwise said we will always work with the representative of the isogeny class of abelian schemes $Y^{(k)}$ which satisfies $\eta^{(k)}(V^{(k)}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}) = H_1(Y^{(k)}_\xi, \hat{\mathbb{Z}})$, where $Y^{(k)}_\xi$ denotes the fibre of $Y^{(k)}$ over a $\mathbb{C}$-valued point ξ of $M^{(0\times 1)}$. If $\lambda^{(0)} \times \lambda^{(1)}$ denotes a quasi-polarization of $Y^{(0\times 1)}$ that represents the homogeneous class $\mathbb{Q}_{>0}\lambda^{(0)} \times \lambda^{(1)}$, then the formulae (15), (14) determine a corresponding representative $\lambda^{(k)}$ in the homogeneous class $\mathbb{Q}_{>0}\lambda^{(k)}$. Moreover, a suitable multiple turns all of them into effective ones. Let us therefore fix such polarizations

$$\lambda^\delta : Y^\delta \to Y^{\delta t} \tag{18}$$

once and for all. By definition the $\mathbb{Z}$-Hodge structure

$$H_1(Y^{(0)}_\xi(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})^{\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} 1-k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \bigwedge^k_{\mathcal{O}_L} H_1(Y^{(1)}_\xi(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$$

is furnished with a specific $\mathcal{O}_L$-linear isomorphism to:

$$H_1(Y^{(k)}_\xi(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$$

which we denote by $m^{(k)}_{\xi,B}$. Dualizing the above yields a cohomological pendant $t^{(k)}_{\xi,B}$, and indeed it will prove useful to occasionally use $H^1(Y^{(k)}_\xi(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ instead of $H_1(Y^{(k)}_\xi(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$. Observe also that the polarization $\lambda^{(k)}$ induces a map:

$$H_1(Y^{(k)}_\xi(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(Y^{(k)^t}_\xi(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(Y^{(k)}_\xi(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})(1) \tag{19}$$

carrying $m^{(k)}_{\xi,B}$ to $t^{(k)}_{\xi,B}$. By using [7, Corollaire 5.4] and [10] one obtains easily the following key property of $t^{(k)}_{\xi,B}$, see [6, section 2] for some more details:

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $V^\delta, X^\delta, \psi^\delta, K^\delta$, *be as above. Let* $F \subset \mathbb{C}$ *be a field containing* E. *Let*

$$\xi : \operatorname{Spec} F \to M^{(0\times 1)}$$

be a F*-valued point, then:*

(i) *the* $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L = \mathcal{O}_L \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$*-linear isomorphism* $t^{(k)}_{\xi,B} \otimes 1_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ *between*

$$H^1(Y^{(0)}_\xi \times_F F^{ac}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}})^{\otimes_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L} 1-k} \otimes_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L} \bigwedge^k_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L} H^1(Y^{(1)}_\xi \times_F F^{ac}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}})$$

and

$$H^1(Y^{(k)}_\xi \times_F F^{ac}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}})$$

is $\mathrm{Gal}(F^{ac}/F)$*-equivariant.*

(ii) *the $\mathbb{C}\otimes L$-linear isomorphism between*

$$(H^1_{dR}(Y^{(0)}_\xi)^{\otimes_{F\otimes L}1-k}\otimes_{F\otimes L}\bigwedge^k_{F\otimes L}H^1_{dR}(Y^{(1)}_\xi))\otimes_F\mathbb{C}$$

and

$$H^1_{dR}(Y^{(k)}_\xi)\otimes_F\mathbb{C}$$

obtained from $t^{(k)}_{\xi,B}$ is defined over $F\otimes L$

Let us thus write $t^{(k)}_{\xi,dR}$ for the isomorphism of the filtered $F\otimes L$-modules. Finally, consider a $K(k)$-valued point ξ, where k is a perfect field of characteristic p. Notice the functorial comparison isomorphism of Faltings and Fontaine, [14], [11]:

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^1(Y^{(k)}_\xi\times_{K(k)}K(k)^{ac},\mathbb{Q}_p)\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}B_{dR}(K(k)^{ac}) \arrow[d, "I_{dR}"'] \\ H^1_{dR}(Y^{(k)}_\xi)\otimes_{K(k)}B_{dR}(K(k)^{ac}) \end{tikzcd}$$

Let us now explain a method of Blasius to prove certain compatibilities. We prefer to reproduce his beautiful argument for two reasons: In the situation we have his proof simplifies a little, yet we need a slight generalization of the result. The reader who is familiar with the details of [2] and [10] should certainly skip the rest of this section, see also [32, Chapter 4].

Proposition 3.2. *Let ξ be a $K(k)$-valued point of $M^{(0\times 1)}$, and let $K(k)\hookrightarrow\mathbb{C}$ be an imbedding (*$\mathrm{Card}(k)\leq 2^{\aleph_0}$*). Then the map from*

$$(H^1_{dR}(Y^{(0)}_\xi)^{\otimes_{K(k)\otimes L}1-k}\otimes_{K(k)\otimes L}\bigwedge^k_{K(k)\otimes L}H^1_{dR}(Y^{(1)}_\xi))\otimes_{K(k)}B_{dR}(K(k)^{ac})$$

to

$$H^1_{dR}(Y^{(k)}_\xi)\otimes_{K(k)}B_{dR}(K(k)^{ac})$$

induced by $t^{(k)}_{\xi,dR}\otimes_{K(k)}1_{B_{dR}(K(k)^{ac})}$ agrees with $I_{dR}\circ(t^{(k)}_{\xi,B}\otimes 1_{B_{dR}(K(k)^{ac})})\circ I^{-1}_{dR}$.

Proof. Recall once more that $t^{(k)}_{\xi,dR}$ was defined from $t^{(k)}_{\xi,B}$ in the first place: Starting with the archimedian comparison isomorphisms

$$H^1_{dR}(Y^{(k)}_\xi)\otimes_{K(k)}\mathbb{C}\cong H^1(Y^{(k)}_\xi(\mathbb{C}),\mathbb{Q})\otimes\mathbb{C},$$

the map $t^{(k)}_{\xi,B} \otimes 1_{\mathbb{C}}$ gives rise to $t^{(k)}_{\xi,dR} \otimes_{K(k)} 1_{\mathbb{C}}$ and the $K(k)$-rationality of that map was checked in the second part of lemma 3.1. Write $Y/M^{(0\times 1)}$ for the abelian scheme

$$Y^{(0)^{\times_{M^{(0\times 1)}} k-1}} \times_{M^{(0\times 1)}} Y^{(1)} \times_{M^{(0\times 1)}} Y^{(k)},$$

and let $s : Y \to M^{(0\times 1)}$ be the structural morphism. By some custommary manipulation of tensor products and duals one can regard $t^{(k)}_{\xi,B}$ as an element in

$$H^{2k}(Y_\xi(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})(k).$$

As ξ varies these form a global section which we denote by

$$t^{(k)}_B \in H^0(M^{(0\times 1)}(\mathbb{C}), R^{2k}s_*\mathbb{Q})(k).$$

We want to choose (over $K(k)$) a smooth compactification $j : Y \times_E K(k) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}/K(k)$, with $\mathcal{Y} - Y \times_E K(k) = \overline{Y}/K(k)$ a normal crossings divisor. Due to Deligne's théorème de la partie fixe [8, Théorème 4.1.1], we deduce the existence of a cohomology class $T^{(k)}_B \in H^{2k}(\mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})(k)$ such that $j^*(T^{(k)}_B)$ maps to $t^{(k)}_B$, in the Leray spectral sequence to $s : Y \to M^{(0\times 1)}$. The restriction of $T^{(k)}_B$ to each fibre $Y_\xi \subset \mathcal{Y}$ is $t^{(k)}_{\xi,B}$. Analogously one can find a $T^{(k)}_{dR} \in H^{2k}_{dR}(\mathcal{Y})(k)$ that restricts to $t^{(k)}_{\xi,dR} \in H^{2k}_{dR}(Y_\xi)(k)$ for all ξ (N.B.: The images of $T^{(k)}_B$ and $T^{(k)}_{dR}$ in the group $H^{2k}_{dR}(\mathcal{Y} \times_{K(k)} \mathbb{C})(k)$ may well be different, but this is irrelevant). Now let $t^{(k)}_{\xi,B} \otimes 1_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $t^{(k)}_B \otimes 1_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be the images of $t^{(k)}_{\xi,B}$ and $t^{(k)}_B$ in p-adic étale cohomology. The assertion in question is equivalent to the statement $I_{dR}(t^{(k)}_{\xi,B} \otimes 1_{B_{dR}(K(k)^{ac})}) = t^{(k)}_{\xi,dR} \otimes_{K(k)} 1_{B_{dR}(K(k)^{ac})}$.

The key idea of Blasius is that an element in $H^{2k}_{dR}(\mathcal{Y})(k)$ restricts to 0 in $H^{2k}_{dR}(Y_\xi)(k)$ if and only if it restricts to 0 in $H^{2k}_{dR}(Y_{\xi'})(k)$, where ξ' is any other $K(k)$-valued point lying in the same geometric connected component of $M^{(0\times 1)}$. When applying this to the element $I_{dR}(T^{(k)}_B \otimes 1_{B_{dR}(K(k)^{ac})}) - T^{(k)}_{dR} \otimes_{K(k)} 1_{B_{dR}(K(k)^{ac})}$ we find that our assertion is true for ξ if and only if it is true for ξ', here again, we denote the image of $T^{(k)}_B$ in $H^{2k}(\mathcal{Y} \times_{K(k)} K(k)^{ac}, \mathbb{Q}_p)(k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{dR}(K(k)^{ac})$ by $T^{(k)}_B \otimes 1_{B_{dR}(K(k)^{ac})}$. There exist such points ξ' with $Y^{(1)}_{\xi'}$ isogenous to

$$Y_\xi^{(0)^{\times_{K(k)} n-1}} \times_{K(k)} Y_\xi^{(n)}$$

as a polarized abelian variety with L-operation. For those ξ' there is an isogeny between $Y_\xi^{(0)^{\times_{K(k)} \binom{n-1}{k}}} \times_{K(k)} Y_\xi^{(n)^{\times_{K(k)} \binom{n-1}{k-1}}}$ and $Y^{(k)}_{\xi'}$ as well. Now observe that the isomorphism I_{dR} for $Y^{(k)}_{\xi'}$ is just the direct sum of $\binom{n-1}{k}$ (resp. $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ many) copies of the corresponding isomorphism for $Y_\xi^{(0)}$ (resp. $Y_\xi^{(n)}$). This proves it for ξ' and we are done. □

3.2. **The Categories of Fontaine.**

Our reference for good reduction of Shimura varieties of PEL-type is the work [24] of Kottwitz. In our case the unramifiedness hypotheses of loc.cit. boil down to the requirement that L, V^δ, ψ^δ, l satisfy:

- ψ^δ induces a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-valued perfect pairing of $V^\delta_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$.
- l is coprime to p.
- L is unramified at all primes over p.

Here p is some fixed prime. If this is fulfilled then Kottwitz obtains an extension of M^δ/E to a scheme $\mathcal{M}^\delta$ smooth over $\mathcal{O}_E \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, moreover E is unramified over p too. One constructs such models $\mathcal{M}^\delta$ via a moduli interpretation, which is very similar to the one given in (a), (b), (c), and (d) above. However, one has to replace the constraint (c) by the so-called determinant condition, see [24, chapter 5] for details. In fact the above unramifiedness hypothesis is fulfilled for all δ if and only if it is fulfilled for $\delta = (0 \times 1)$, due to [6, Lemma 5.1]. Moreover loc.cit. shows that the polarizations in (18) can be chosen to be p-principal ones, which we assume from now on. It will be useful to have a criterion for the compactness of $\mathcal{M}^\delta$:

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that L, $V^{(k)}$, $\psi^{(k)}$, $l \geq 3$, are unramified at p, and assume $\Phi^{(n)} \neq \Phi^{(0)} \circ *$. Then the scheme $\mathcal{M}^{(k)}$ is proper over $\mathcal{O}_E \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.*

Proof. As $\mathcal{M}^{(k)}$ is smooth it is flat and the generic points of all irreducible components are mapped to the generic point of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_E \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. So it suffices to verify the valuative criterion of properness [17, Corollaire 7.3.10(ii)] only for discrete valuation rings V over $\mathcal{O}_E \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ whose field F of fractions has characteristic 0. Consider the quadruple $(Y, \mathbb{Q}_{>0}\lambda, \dots)$ corresponding to some $\eta : \operatorname{Spec} F \to M^{(k)}$. Observe that the L-operation that is induced on the tangent space $\operatorname{Lie} Y$ of the abelian variety has trace $\Phi^{(k)}$. Consequently we can apply the theory in [31, Theorem 3]: As $\Phi^{(n)} \neq \Phi^{(0)} \circ *$ we can find a $\iota_0 \notin |\Phi^{(0)}| \cup |\Phi^{(n)}|$ so that $\Phi^{(k)}$ does never involve the embedding ι_0. By loc.cit. this forces Y to have potentially good reduction. However, as the abelian variety in question also has a F-rational level l-structure, we may use the Critère de Raynaud [16, Proposition 4.7], to deduce it has in fact good reduction. □

We usually want to consider the scheme $\mathcal{M}^\delta_\mathfrak{p} := \mathcal{M}^\delta \times_{\mathcal{O}_E\otimes\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a fixed prime of $\mathcal{O}_E$. The study of the local properties of $\mathcal{M}^\delta_\mathfrak{p}$ is significantly eased if a further assumption is made:

(T.1) $\Phi^{(0)} \circ * = \mathrm{tr}_{L_\mathfrak{q}/\mathbb{Q}_p}|_L \neq \Phi^{(n)}$

for some prime $\mathfrak{q}$ of $\mathcal{O}_L$. If we fix an embedding

$$\iota : \mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{q}} \overset{\cong}{\to} W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}) = \mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}, \tag{20}$$

then (T.1) means that

$$\{\tau^\sigma \circ \iota \circ * | \sigma = 0, \dots, r-1\} = |\Phi^{(0)}|, \tag{21}$$

while there exists a proper subset $\Omega \subset \{0, \dots, r-1\}$ with

$$\{\tau^\sigma \circ \iota | \sigma \in \Omega\} \cup \{\tau^\sigma \circ \iota \circ * | \sigma \notin \Omega\} = |\Phi^{(n)}|. \tag{22}$$

Under the validity of this assumption $\mathcal{M}^{(0\times 1)}_\mathfrak{p}$ is proper, all fibres of the p-divisible group $Y^{(1)}[\mathfrak{q}^\infty]$ over $\mathcal{M}^{(0\times 1)}_\mathfrak{p}$ are unipotent and $Y^{(0)}[\mathfrak{q}^\infty]$ is étale. Moreover their duals are identified with $Y^{(1)}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]$ and $Y^{(0)}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]$.

3.2.1. *Dieudonné theory.* Let us sketch one of the numerous ways to define the contravariant Dieudonné module of a p-divisible group $\mathcal{G}/W(k)$: According to [28] one attaches a crystal to $\mathcal{G}$, for our purposes it is enough to only consider the value of this crystal on the object $\operatorname{Spec} W(k)$ being:

$$\mathbb{D}^*(\mathcal{G}) = \mathrm{Ext}^{crys/\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{G}_a)$$

which is defined to be the abelian group of isomorphism classes of the (by [28, Corollary 7.8] rigid) category

$$\mathrm{EXT}^{crys/\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{G}_a) = \lim_{\leftarrow N} \mathrm{EXT}^{crys/\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{G}[p^N], \mathbb{G}_a).$$

Moreover, the category $\mathrm{EXT}^{crys/\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{G}[p^N], \mathbb{G}_a)$ consists of objects in $\mathrm{TORS}^{crys/\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{G}[p^N], \mathbb{G}_a)$ -the $\mathbb{G}_a$-torseurs P on the small crystalline site $Crys(\mathcal{G}[p^N]/\mathbb{Z}_p)$- together with isomorphisms:

$$(p_1 + p_2)^*(P) \cong p_1^*(P) \wedge p_2^*(P)$$

of objects in $\mathrm{TORS}^{crys/\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{G}[p^N] \times_{W(k)} \mathcal{G}[p^N], \mathbb{G}_a)$, that satisfy commutativity and associativity constraints. Here $\wedge$ means contracted sum of $\mathbb{G}_a$-torseurs and

$$p_1, p_2 : \mathcal{G}[p^N] \times_{W(k)} \mathcal{G}[p^N] \to \mathcal{G}[p^N]$$

are the coordinate maps. The resulting group $\mathbb{D}^*(\mathcal{G})$ is a $W(k)$-module because $W(k)$ acts on $\mathbb{G}_a$, regarded as a sheaf of abelian groups on $Crys(\mathcal{G}[p^N]/\mathbb{Z}_p)$. From this definition one can read off immediately the crystalline functoriality, in particular we have a τ-linear map ϕ on it that is induced from the relative Frobenius:

$$Frob : \overline{G} \to \overline{G} \times_{k,\tau} k; x \mapsto x^p$$

where $\overline{G}$ is $\mathcal{G} \times_{W(k)} k$. Finally, according to [28, corollary 7.13] there is an exact sequence of finitely generated torsion free $W(k)$-modules:

$$0 \to \omega(\mathcal{G}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{crys/\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{G}_a) \to \mathrm{Ext}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{G}_a) \to 0$$

where $\omega(\mathcal{G}) = \lim\limits_{\leftarrow j} \omega(\mathcal{G} \times_{W(k)} W_j(k))$ is the cotangent space of $\mathcal{G}$, giving $\mathbb{D}^*(\mathcal{G})$ a filtration, by

$$Fil^i\mathbb{D}^*(\mathcal{G}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{D}^*(\mathcal{G}) & i < 1 \\ \omega(\mathcal{G}) & i = 1 \\ 0 & i > 1 \end{cases}.$$

Let us describe Fontaine's $W(k)$-linear $\otimes$-category MF^{fd} as well: A object in MF^{fd} is a tuple (M, Fil^iM, ϕ) with:

- M is a finitely generated, torsion free $W(k)$-module, $\dots \subset Fil^{i+1}M \subset Fil^iM \subset \dots$ is a separating and exhausting descending filtration, such that M/Fil^iM is torsion free.
- $\phi : \mathbb{Q} \otimes M \to \mathbb{Q} \otimes M$ is a τ-linear bijection
- $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} p^{-i}\phi(Fil^iM) = M$

and a morphism in MF^{fd} is a $W(k)$-linear map which preserves the filtration and the ϕ-operation. For every integer $w \geq 0$ there is an important full subcategory $MF^{fd,w}$ which consists of objects with $M = Fil^0M$ and $Fil^wM = 0$. It is a fact that $(\mathbb{D}^*(\mathcal{G}), Fil^i\mathbb{D}^*(\mathcal{G}), \phi)$ obtained from a p-divisible group $\mathcal{G}/W(k)$ constitutes an object in $MF^{fd,2}$, moreover $\mathbb{D}^*$ is an anti-equivalence between the category of p-divisible groups over $W(k)$ and $MF^{fd,2}$.

Finally observe that a p-divisible group $\overline{G}$ over k gives rise to a Dieudonné module $\mathbb{D}^*(\overline{G})$ over $W(k)$ too, it lacks a filtration, but does however still satisfy the crucial property $p\mathbb{D}^*(\overline{G}) \subset \phi\mathbb{D}^*(\overline{G}) \subset \mathbb{D}^*(\overline{G})$, in this setting $\mathbb{D}^*$ is again an anti-equivalence of categories.

In [13, 7.14] a fully faithful contravariant exact functor U from a certain full subcategory $MF^{fd,p'}$ of $MF^{fd,p}$ to the category of torsion free finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules with continuous $\mathrm{Gal}(K(k)^{ac}/K(k))$-operation is constructed. An object of $MF^{fd,p}$ is in $MF^{fd,p'}$ if and only if it satisfies the following subtle extra condition: Whenever a subobject M' of M and a maximal subobject M'' of M' is given we have that $Fil^{p-1}M'/M'' \neq M'/M''$. This is implied by demanding that $p^{p-1}\phi^{-1}$ acts topologically nilpotent on M. According to the discussion in [12, 3.8, Remarque] the functor U can be described as follows: Consider $W_j(\mathcal{O}_{K(k)^{ac}}/p\mathcal{O}_{K(k)^{ac}})$, the Witt vectors of length j with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_{K(k)^{ac}}/p\mathcal{O}_{K(k)^{ac}}$. Let $W_j^{DP}(\mathcal{O}_{K(k)^{ac}}/p\mathcal{O}_{K(k)^{ac}})$ be the divided power envelope of the ideal consisting of all $(a_0,\dots,a_{j-1}) \in W_j(\mathcal{O}_{K(k)^{ac}}/p\mathcal{O}_{K(k)^{ac}})$ with $a_0^{p^j} = 0$, relative to the standard divided powers on the subideal formed by all Witt vectors $(a_0,\dots,a_{j-1})$ with $a_0 = 0$. Finally set

$$\hat{S}' = \lim_{\leftarrow j} W_j^{DP}(\mathcal{O}_{K(k)^{ac}}/p\mathcal{O}_{K(k)^{ac}}),$$

this is a $W(k)$-algebra which has a natural $\mathrm{Gal}(K(k)^{ac}/K(k))$-operation a natural filtration, and a Frobenius τ, so one puts:

$$U(M) = Fil^0 \operatorname{Hom}_{W(k)}(M, \hat{S}')^{\phi=1}, \tag{23}$$

for every M in $MF^{fd,p'}$. Its significance to this paper stems from its relation to p-divisible groups over $W(k)$: If $\mathcal{G}/W(k)$ is such that $\mathbb{D}^*(\mathcal{G})$ lies in $MF^{fd,p'}$ (which means p odd or $\mathcal{G}$ unipotent), then

$$U(\mathbb{D}^*(\mathcal{G})) \cong T_p\mathcal{G} \tag{24}$$

holds, where $T_p\mathcal{G} = \lim_{\leftarrow N} \mathcal{G}[p^N](K(k)^{ac})$ is the Tate module, see [13, Proposition 9.12] for this.

The following consequence of Fontaine and Lafaille's theory is basic to this work. Let k be a perfect field extension of $\mathbb{F}_{p^r}$. Notice that a $\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}$-operation on any object M of MF^{fd} is equivalent to giving a grading $M = \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}} M_\sigma$ with $\mathbb{Q}\otimes\phi(M_\sigma) = \mathbb{Q}\otimes M_{\sigma+1}$. This is done by making $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}$ act on M_σ through $x \mapsto \tau^\sigma(\iota(\alpha))x$.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that $p \geq k+1$. Let $\mathcal{G}_\mathfrak{q}^{(1)}$ be a p-divisible group over $W(k)$ with $\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}$-operation and of $\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}$-height n. Assume that*

$$\operatorname{rank}_{W(k)} \omega_\sigma(\mathcal{G}_\mathfrak{q}^{(1)}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \sigma \in \Omega \\ 0 & \sigma \notin \Omega \end{cases}$$

Fix some étale p-divisible group $\mathcal{G}_\mathfrak{q}^{(0)}/W(k)$ with $\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}$-operation, and with $\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}$-height equal to 1. Then there exists a p-divisible group $\mathcal{G}_\mathfrak{q}^{(k)}/W(k)$ with $\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}$-operation such that one has

$$T_p\mathcal{G}_\mathfrak{q}^{(k)} \cong (T_p\mathcal{G}_\mathfrak{q}^{(0)})^{\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}} 1-k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}} \bigwedge_{\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}}^{k} (T_p\mathcal{G}_\mathfrak{q}^{(1)})$$

as $\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}[\mathrm{Gal}(K(k)^{ac}/K(k))]$*-modules. Moreover, the graded Dieudonné module* $M_\sigma^{(k)}$ *of* $\mathcal{G}_\mathfrak{q}^{(k)}$ *is canonically isomorphic to*

$$M_\sigma^{(0)^{\otimes_{W(k)} 1-k}} \otimes_{W(k)} \bigwedge_{W(k)}^{k} M_\sigma^{(1)}, \tag{25}$$

where $M_\sigma^{(1)}$ *and* $M_\sigma^{(0)}$ *are the ones of* $\mathcal{G}_\mathfrak{q}^{(1)}$ *and* $\mathcal{G}_\mathfrak{q}^{(0)}$*. In particular we have*

$$\mathrm{rank}_{W(k)}\, \omega_\sigma(\mathcal{G}_\mathfrak{q}^{(k)}) = \begin{cases} \binom{n-1}{k-1} & \sigma \in \Omega \\ 0 & \sigma \notin \Omega \end{cases}$$

and the $\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}$*-height is* $\binom{n}{k}$*.*

Proof. Write $M_\sigma^{(k)}$ for the $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded object of MF^{fd}, that is obtained from the filtered Dieudonné modules of $\mathcal{G}_\mathfrak{q}^{(1)}$, and $\mathcal{G}_\mathfrak{q}^{(0)}$ according to equation (25). By analyzing its Hodge weights one finds analogously to [6, proof of lemma 2.1]:

- $\mathrm{rank}_{W(k)}\, Fil^0 M_\sigma^{(k)} = \mathrm{rank}_{W(k)}\, M_\sigma^{(k)} = \binom{n}{k}$
- $\mathrm{rank}_{W(k)}\, Fil^1 M_\sigma^{(k)} = \begin{cases} \binom{n-1}{k-1} & \sigma \in \Omega \\ 0 & \sigma \notin \Omega \end{cases}$
- $\mathrm{rank}_{W(k)}\, Fil^2 M_\sigma^{(k)} = 0.$

Using the fully faithfulness of U we are left with proving that

$$\bigwedge_{\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}}^{k} U(M^{(1)}) \cong U(\bigoplus_\sigma \bigwedge_{W(k)}^{k} M_\sigma^{(1)}) \tag{26}$$

$$\cong U(M^{(0)})^{\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}} k-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}} U(M^{(k)}) \tag{27}$$

The isomorphism (26) follows in two steps: Firstly, we take the appropriate $\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} k}$-eigenspace of the isomorphism:

$$U(M^{(1)})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} k} \cong U(M^{(1)^{\otimes_{W(k)} k}}),$$

which in turn can be justified by [13, Remarque 6.13(b)], if we only know that $M^{(1)^{\otimes_{W(k)} k}}$ is in $MF^{fd,p'}$. However, this object is certainly in $MF^{fd,p}$, because of $p \geq k+1$ and $M^{(1)} \in MF^{fd,2}$. Moreover, $p\phi^{-1}$ acts topologically nilpotently on $M^{(1)}$ by (T.1). So $p^k\phi^{-1}$, hence $p^{p-1}\phi^{-1}$ act topologically nilpotent on $M^{(1)^{\otimes_{W(k)} k}}$ making it a $MF^{fd,p'}$-object. Secondly, we pass to the eigenspace on which the symmetric group operates according to the sign character $S_k \to \{\pm 1\}$.

In a similar way we establish that ${M^{(0)}}^{\otimes_{W(k)}k-1}\otimes_{W(k)}M^{(k)}\in MF^{fd,p'}$. We obtain, using [13, Remarque 6.13(b)] again :

$$U({M^{(0)}}^{\otimes_{W(k)}k-1}\otimes_{W(k)}M^{(k)})\cong U(M^{(0)})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}k-1}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}U(M^{(k)}),$$

Then we consider an appropriate ${\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}}^{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}k}$-eigenspace to arrive at the isomorphism (27). □

3.2.2. *Computation of* $\mathbb{D}^*(Y_\xi^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^\infty])$. Let us return to some k-valued point $\xi:\operatorname{Spec}k\to\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\delta$. By a lift of ξ we mean:

- a point η of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\delta$ over a complete discrete valuation ring R with perfect residue field k', and
- an imbedding of k into k', such that the restriction of η to $\operatorname{Spec}k'\hookrightarrow\operatorname{Spec}R$ agrees with the composition of ξ with $\operatorname{Spec}k'\to\operatorname{Spec}k$.

In case $\delta=(0\times1)$ and $\operatorname{char}(R)=0$, every lift determines $R[\frac{1}{p}]$-valued points on $M^{(k)}\times_E E_{\mathfrak{p}}$, by applying $g^{(k)}$. Interchangeably one can think of them as objects:

$$(\mathcal{Y}_\eta^{(k)},\iota^{(k)},\mathbb{Q}_{>0}\lambda^{(k)},\overline{\eta}^{(k)}) \tag{28}$$

over R, because the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(k)}$ are all proper. We need to study the $\mathfrak{r}$-adic and crystalline cohomologies of these $\mathcal{Y}_\eta^{(k)}$'s. In the rest of this chapter we confine ourself to the case:

- $R=W(k')$, and
- k is algebraically closed in k'.

If this holds we call η unramified, we consequently have graded contravariant Dieudonné modules $M^{(k)}=\mathbb{D}^*(\mathcal{Y}_\eta^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^\infty])$. Observe that:

$$H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Y}_\eta^{(k)})\cong\mathbb{D}^*(\mathcal{Y}_\eta^{(k)}[p^\infty])\cong\mathbb{D}^*(\mathcal{Y}_\eta^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^\infty])\oplus\mathbb{D}^*(\mathcal{Y}_\eta^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}])$$

which follows from [29, chapter V, Theorem 2.1] and [28, Corollary 7.13]. The above isomorphisms are L-equivariant and so give rise to $H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Y}_\eta)_\sigma\cong M_\sigma$, where we use $H^1_{dR}(\dots)_\sigma$ (resp. $\mathbb{D}^*(\dots)_\sigma$) to denote the $\tau^\sigma\circ\iota$-eigenspace of $H^1_{dR}(\dots)$ (resp. $\mathbb{D}^*(\dots)$), if ι is as in (20). Now recall our $K(k')\otimes L$-linear isomorphism $t_{\eta,dR}^{(k)}$ of lemma 3.1, which by passing to the eigenspaces yields an isomorphism:

$$\mathbb{Q}\otimes({M_\sigma^{(0)}}^{\otimes_{W(k')}1-k}\otimes_{W(k')}\bigwedge_{W(k')}^{k}M_\sigma^{(1)})\overset{t_{\eta,dR,\sigma}^{(k)}}{\to}\mathbb{Q}\otimes M_\sigma^{(k)}. \tag{29}$$

Note that the two formulas (17) and (16) that entered crucially into the determinant condition (c) of the modular definition of $\mathcal{M}^{(0\times1)}$, imply immediately that the two p-divisible groups $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{(1)} = \mathcal{Y}_{\eta}^{(1)}[\mathfrak{q}^{\infty}]$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{(0)} = \mathcal{Y}_{\eta}^{(0)}[\mathfrak{q}^{\infty}]$ with $\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}$-operation satisfy the assumptions of lemma 3.4.

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that* $p \geq k+1$, *and let* $\eta \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(0\times 1)}(W(k'))$ *be an unramified lift of* $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(0\times 1)}(k)$. *Then there exists a k-valued point, say* $(Y_{\xi}^{(k)}, \iota^{(k)}, \mathbb{Q}_{>0}\lambda^{(k)}, \overline{\eta}^{(k)})$ *on* $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(k)}$, *such that:*

(i) (28) *is a lift of* $(Y_{\xi}^{(k)}, \iota^{(k)}, \mathbb{Q}_{>0}\lambda^{(k)}, \overline{\eta}^{(k)})$

(ii) *there exists an isomorphism of graded k-Dieudonné modules:*

$$\mathbb{D}^*(Y_{\xi}^{(0)}[\mathfrak{q}^{\infty}])_{\sigma}^{\otimes_{W(k)}1-k} \otimes_{W(k)} \bigwedge_{W(k)}^{k} \mathbb{D}^*(Y_{\xi}^{(1)}[\mathfrak{q}^{\infty}])_{\sigma} \overset{t_{\xi,cris,\sigma}^{(k)}}{\to} \mathbb{D}^*(Y_{\xi}^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^{\infty}])_{\sigma}$$

which induces $t_{\eta,dR,\sigma}^{(k)}$, *when base changed to* $K(k')$.

Proof. Let us write $\overline{Y}_{\eta}^{(1)}$, $\overline{Y}_{\eta}^{(0)}$, and $\overline{Y}_{\eta}^{(k)}$ for the special fibres of $\mathcal{Y}_{\eta}^{(1)}$, $\mathcal{Y}_{\eta}^{(0)}$, and $\mathcal{Y}_{\eta}^{(k)}$. Let us assume for a while that $k' = k$, in which case clearly $\overline{Y}_{\eta}^{(k)} = Y_{\xi}^{(k)}$, and $t_{\eta,dR,\sigma}^{(k)} = t_{\xi,cris,\sigma}^{(k)}$. All we have to do is check that the isomorphism (29) preserves ϕ-structure and the $W(k)$-lattice.

We begin with the ϕ-structure. Let us regard the collection of maps $t_{\eta,dR,\sigma}^{(k)}$ as a single element, say $t_{\eta,dR,\mathfrak{q}}^{(k)}$, in the filtered $K(k)$-isocrystal $\mathbb{Q} \otimes M$, where M is the filtered $W(k)$-module:

$$M^{(0)\otimes_{W(k)}k-1} \otimes_{W(k)} (\bigwedge_{W(k)}^{k} M^{(1)})^* \otimes_{W(k)} M^{(k)}.$$

First of all we have $T_p\mathcal{Y}_{\eta}^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^{\infty}] = U(M^{(k)})$, by (24). Write

$$m_{\xi,\mathfrak{q}}^{(k)} : U(M^{(0)})^{\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}}1-k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}} \bigwedge_{\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}}^{k} U(M^{(1)}) \overset{\cong}{\to} U(M^{(k)}),$$

for the $\mathfrak{q}$-component of $m_{\xi,B}^{(k)} \otimes 1_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$. By lemma 3.1, part (i), it is $\mathrm{Gal}(K(k)^{ac}/K(k))$-equivariant. However, exposing $t_{\eta,dR,\mathfrak{q}}^{(k)}$ to the functor

$$? \mapsto Fil^0 \operatorname{Hom}_{K(k)}(\mathbb{Q}\otimes ?, B_{cris}(K(k)^{ac}))^{\phi=1} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes U(?),$$

gives $m_{\xi,\mathfrak{q}}^{(k)}$ too, by proposition 3.2. Therefore $t_{\eta,dR,\mathfrak{q}}^{(k)}$ is actually contained in the $\mathrm{Gal}(K(k)^{ac}/K(k))$-invariants of the space

$$Fil^0 \operatorname{Hom}_{K(k)}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes M^*, B_{cris}(K(k)^{ac}))^{\phi=1}.$$

As all $\mathrm{Gal}(K(k)^{ac}/K(k))$-invariants of $B_{cris}(K(k)^{ac})$ are in $K(k)$, we infer that $t^{(k)}_{\eta,dR,\mathfrak{q}}$ is contained in $Fil^0 \operatorname{Hom}_{K(k)}(\mathbb{Q}\otimes M^*, K(k))^{\phi=1}$, which is precisely the set of homomorphisms from $({M^{(0)}}^{\otimes_{W(k)} k-1})^* \otimes_{W(k)} \bigwedge^k_{W(k)} M^{(1)}$ to $M^{(k)}$, as filtered isocrystals.

Now write $N^{(k)}_\sigma \subset \mathbb{Q}\otimes M^{(k)}_\sigma$ for the image lattice of $t^{(k)}_{\eta,dR,\sigma}$. By lemma 3.4 this is a graded object in $MF^{fd,2}$ and there exists a p-divisible group $\mathcal{G}^{(k)}_{\mathfrak{q}}/W(k)$ with $\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}$-operation such that $\mathbb{D}^*(\mathcal{G}^{(k)}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = N^{(k)}$, moreover as $N^{(k)}$ is isogenous to $M^{(k)}$ there is a canonical quasi-isogeny:

$$f : \mathcal{Y}^{(k)}_\eta[\mathfrak{q}^\infty] \to \mathcal{G}^{(k)}_{\mathfrak{q}}.$$

This quasi-isogeny induces an isomorphism:

$$T_p\mathcal{Y}^{(k)}_\eta[\mathfrak{q}^\infty] \cong T_p\mathcal{G}^{(k)}_{\mathfrak{q}},$$

which one sees by applying U to both $N^{(k)}$ and $M^{(k)}$. It follows that f is an isomorphism, so that $N^{(k)} = M^{(k)}$.

We now come back to the case of a possibly smaller field k. As we know the result over k' all we have to do is check that $t^{(k)}_{\eta,dR,\mathfrak{q}}$ and $\overline{Y}^{(k)}_\eta$ are defined over k. We begin with $t^{(k)}_{\eta,dR,\mathfrak{q}}$: Observe that the objects $M^{(1)}_\sigma$ and $M^{(0)}_\sigma$ have natural $W(k)$-structures, say $M^{(1)}_{\xi,\sigma}$ and $M^{(0)}_{\xi,\sigma}$, when regarded as non-filtered Dieudonné modules. Using $t^{(k)}_{\eta,dR,\sigma}$ this carries over to a $W(k)$-form $M^{(k)}_{\xi,\sigma}$ of $M^{(k)}_\sigma$. Let us denote the corresponding p-divisible groups by $Y^{(k)}_\xi[\mathfrak{q}^\infty]$. The existence of $t^{(k)}_{\xi,cris,\sigma}$ is then trivial.

To finish the proof we have to show that the k-form $Y^{(k)}_\xi[\mathfrak{q}^\infty]$ just exhibited comes from a k-form of $\overline{Y}^{(k)}_\eta$. To this end consider the $\mathrm{Gal}(K(k')^{ac}/K(k'))$-operation on $H_1(Y^{(1)}_\eta \times_{K(k')} K(k')^{ac}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$. It factors through the quotient $\mathrm{Gal}(k^{ac}/k)$, because the $\pmod p$-reduction of $Y^{(1)}_\eta$ is just $Y^{(1)}_\xi \times_k k'$. Consequently the $\mathrm{Gal}(K(k')^{ac}/K(k'))$-operation on $H_1(Y^{(k)}_\eta \times_{K(k')} K(k')^{ac}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ factors through $\mathrm{Gal}(k^{ac}/k)$ too, by part (i) of lemma 3.1. By using a theorem of Grothendieck [15, Proposition 4.4] we deduce the existence of an abelian variety $\overline{A}$ over k together with a p-isogeny $f : \overline{A} \times_k k' \to \overline{Y}^{(k)}_\eta$, i.e. the abelian variety $\overline{Y}^{(k)}_\eta$ is isotrivial. Now note that the map $\mathbb{D}^*(f)$ from $\mathbb{D}^*(\overline{Y}^{(k)}_\eta) = W(k') \otimes_{W(k)} (\bigoplus_\sigma M^{(k)}_{\xi,\sigma} \oplus (\bigoplus_\sigma M^{(k)}_{\xi,\sigma})^*))$ to $W(k') \otimes_{W(k)} \mathbb{D}^*(\overline{A})$ is defined over $W(k)$ by lemma 3.6 below. This provides us with $Y^{(k)}_\xi = \overline{A}/\ker(f[p^\infty])$. $\square$

Lemma 3.6. *Let k' be a perfect field of characteristic p. Let $k \subset k'$ be algebraically closed in k'. Let M_1 and M_2 be effective $W(k)$-isocrystals. Let $f' : M_1' \to M_2'$ be a morphism where M_1' and M_2' are $W(k')$-isocrystals obtained by extension of scalars. Then f' preserves the $W(k)$-structures of M_1' and M_2' and therefore induces a unique morphism $f : M_1 \to M_2$.*

Proof. Assume for a while that k' and k are algebraically closed. Let $d = \max\{\operatorname{rank}_{W(k)} M_1, \operatorname{rank}_{W(k)} M_2\}!$, and write $I_i \subset \mathbb{Q} \otimes M_i$ for the $K(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$-vector spaces $\bigoplus_z \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes M_i' | \phi^d(x) = p^z x\}$. It is well known that these are $K(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$-forms of both $\mathbb{Q} \otimes M_i'$ and $\mathbb{Q} \otimes M_i$. However f' sends I_1 to I_2, and therefore it sends $\mathbb{Q} \otimes M_1$ to $\mathbb{Q} \otimes M_2$. Evidently $M_i = M_i' \cap \mathbb{Q} \otimes M_i$ so f' restricts to a map f from M_1 to M_2.

Now let k' be arbitrary, and let k'^{ac} be an algebraic closure of k'. Let also k^{ac} be the algebraic closure of k in k'^{ac}. By the preceding argument we know already that $f'^{ac} : M_1'^{ac} \to M_2'^{ac}$, the scalar extension of f' to $W(k'^{ac})$, preserves the $W(k^{ac})$-structures M_1^{ac} and M_2^{ac} on $M_1'^{ac}$ and $M_2'^{ac}$. As $k = k' \cap k'^{ac}$ we get $M_i = M_i^{ac} \cap M_i'$ so this suffices. □

4. $Y^{(k)}$ IN CHARACTERISTIC p

In this chapter we study the integral properties of $Y^{(k)}$, we show that our map $g^{(k)}$ can be extended into characteristic p, and we show further that the map so obtained preserves isogeny classes.

4.1. **Displays and their Crystals.**

Let p be a prime, let R be a $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$-algebra. We assume that it is p-adically complete and separated, i.e. that $R \to \varprojlim_j R/p^j R$ is an isomorphism. In [39] displays and $3n$-displays were introduced. We need to endow them with gradings:

Definition 4.1. *Let P_σ and Q_σ be $W(R)$-modules for $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$. A $2r+2$-tuple $(P_\sigma, Q_\sigma, F, V^{-1})$ is called a $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded $3n$-display (resp. display), if (P, Q, F, V^{-1}) is a $3n$-display (resp. display) in the usual sense where $P = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}} P_\sigma$, $Q = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}} Q_\sigma$ and F and V^{-1} act homogeneously of degree one, i.e.*

$$F : P_\sigma \to P_{\sigma+1}$$

and

$$V^{-1} : Q_\sigma \to P_{\sigma+1}.$$

We write $\operatorname{End}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}(P)$ for the ring of endomorphism that preserve the grading and similarly for $\operatorname{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}(.,..)$.

Remark 4.2. One has graded versions of the usual properties, for example:

- We have $I_R P_\sigma \subset Q_\sigma \subset P_\sigma$, where I_R denotes the kernel of the 0th ghost map. Moreover P_σ is a finitely generated projective module and P_σ/Q_σ is a direct factor of $P_\sigma/I_R P_\sigma$.
- From $W(R)$-linearization of F we obtain a map of $W(R)$-modules

$$F^\sharp : W(R) \otimes_{F,W(R)} P_{\sigma-1} \to P_\sigma; w \otimes x \mapsto w \otimes F(x). \tag{30}$$

  If $pR = 0$ then one can consider the pull back of $(P_\sigma, Q_\sigma, F, V^{-1})$ by means of the absolute Frobenius. Moreover, this graded $3n$-display has the shape $(W(R) \otimes_{F,W(R)} P_\sigma, \dots)$, and there exists a map of $3n$-displays, denoted by

$$Ver_P : (W(R) \otimes_{F,W(R)} \bigoplus_\sigma P_{\sigma-1}, \dots) \to (\bigoplus_\sigma P_\sigma, \dots)$$

  in [39, example 23], which is the map (30) on the underlying modules. Notice that Ver_P shifts the grading by one.
- every graded $3n$-display has a graded normal decomposition $P_\sigma = L_\sigma \oplus T_\sigma$

From now on all displays will be graded. By Zink's theory displays have a crystalline nature: Assume that one is given a graded display $(P_\sigma, Q_\sigma, F, V^{-1})$ over the ring S with pd-ideal $\mathfrak{a}$. Let $(\overline{P}_\sigma, \overline{Q}_\sigma, F, V^{-1})$ be the base change to $R = S/\mathfrak{a}$. According to [39, chapter 1.4] one obtains a lift of $\mathfrak{a}$ to $W(S)$, because $\mathfrak{a}$ has divided powers, moreover V^{-1} has a unique extension to a ^{F}-linear map:

$$V^{-1} : \hat{Q}_\sigma = Q_\sigma + \mathfrak{a} P_\sigma \to P_{\sigma+1},$$

such that $V^{-1}(\mathfrak{a} P_\sigma) = 0$. The structure $(P_\sigma, \hat{Q}_\sigma, F, V^{-1})$ thus obtained we will call a graded triple. Its significance stems from the following crystalline functoriality property which is proved in [39, Theorem 46]: If p is nilpotent in S and if $(P_{1,\sigma}, Q_{1,\sigma}, F, V^{-1})$ and $(P_{2,\sigma}, Q_{2,\sigma}, F, V^{-1})$ are two graded displays over S with a morphism of graded displays $\alpha_\sigma : \overline{P}_{1,\sigma} \to \overline{P}_{2,\sigma}$ over R, then there are unique $W(S)$-linear maps $\tilde{\alpha}_\sigma : P_{1,\sigma} \to P_{2,\sigma}$ which send $\hat{Q}_{1,\sigma}$ to $\hat{Q}_{2,\sigma}$, commute with F and V^{-1}, and have $\pmod{W(\mathfrak{a})}$-reduction equal to α_σ.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $(P_\sigma^{(1)}, Q_\sigma^{(1)}, F, V^{-1})$ be a graded $3n$-display, with $\mathrm{rank}_R(Q_\sigma^{(1)}/I_R P_\sigma^{(1)}) \le 1$ for all σ. Let $P_\sigma^{(1)} = L_\sigma^{(1)} \oplus T_\sigma^{(1)}$ be a graded normal decomposition. Write*

$$P_\sigma^{(k)} = \bigwedge_{W(R)}^{k} P_\sigma^{(1)} = L_\sigma^{(k)} \oplus T_\sigma^{(k)},$$

with $L_\sigma^{(k)} = L_\sigma^{(1)} \otimes_{W(R)} \bigwedge_{W(R)}^{k-1} T_\sigma^{(1)}$, *and* $T_\sigma^{(k)} = \bigwedge_{W(R)}^{k} T_\sigma^{(1)}$. *Define maps* $V^{-1} : L_\sigma^{(k)} \to P_{\sigma+1}^{(k)}$, *and* $F : P_\sigma^{(k)} \to P_{\sigma+1}^{(k)}$ *by*

$$V^{-1}(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k) = V^{-1}(x_1) \wedge F(x_2) \wedge \cdots \wedge F(x_k) \tag{31}$$

$$F(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k) = F(x_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge F(x_k) \tag{32}$$

then:

- (i) *there is a unique extension of* V^{-1} *to* $Q_\sigma^{(k)} = L_\sigma^{(k)} \oplus I_R T_\sigma^{(k)}$ *such that* $(P_\sigma^{(k)}, Q_\sigma^{(k)}, F, V^{-1})$ *is a* $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$*-graded* $3n$*-display, with graded normal decomposition* $L_\sigma^{(k)} \oplus T_\sigma^{(k)}$.
- (ii) $(P_\sigma^{(k)}, Q_\sigma^{(k)}, F, V^{-1})$ *is independent of the choice of the normal decomposition,* $L_\sigma^{(1)} \oplus T_\sigma^{(1)}$.

Moreover, if $\operatorname{rank}_R(Q_{\sigma_0}^{(1)}/I_R P_{\sigma_0}^{(1)}) = 0$ *for at least one* σ_0, *then* $P^{(k)}$ *is a display.*

Proof. Note that $I_R T_\sigma^{(k)} = I_R \otimes_{W(R)} T_\sigma^{(k)}$. Hence we are allowed to define the operator V^{-1} on it by the formula $V^{-1}({}^V wx) = wFx$, for $w \in W(R)$ and $x \in T_\sigma^{(k)}$. It is evident that F and V^{-1} thus defined are ^{F}-linear maps on $P^{(k)}$ and $Q^{(k)}$, satisfying $V^{-1}({}^V wx) = wFx$. It is also evident that $P^{(k)}$ is a finitely generated projective $W(R)$-module and that $P^{(k)}/Q^{(k)}$ is a projective R-module. Now consider the operator $U : P_\sigma^{(1)} \to P_{\sigma+1}^{(1)}$ defined by V^{-1} on $L_\sigma^{(1)}$ and by F on $T_\sigma^{(1)}$. According to [39, Lemma 9] it is a ^{F}-linear isomorphism (in fact $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-homogeneous of degree one), as is therefore the operator $U : P_\sigma^{(k)} \to P_{\sigma+1}^{(k)}$ that we define by $U(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k) = U(x_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge U(x_k)$. It follows that $V^{-1} : Q_\sigma^{(k)} \to P_{\sigma+1}^{(k)}$ is a ^{F}-linear epimorphism, because $U(l + t) = V^{-1}(l + {}^V 1t)$. This shows $P^{(k)}$ is a graded $3n$-display with normal decomposition $L^{(k)} \oplus T^{(k)}$.

In order to check the second assertion just notice that $Q_\sigma^{(k)}$ is generated by the elements of the form $x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k$, where $x_1 \in Q_\sigma^{(1)}$ and $x_2, \ldots, x_k \in P_\sigma^{(1)}$. Finally it is clear that the nilpotence condition for $P^{(k)}$ is granted if some $L_{\sigma_0}^{(k)}$ is 0, because the image of $V^\sharp : P_{\sigma_0+1}^{(k)} \to W(R) \otimes_{F,W(R)} P_{\sigma_0}^{(k)}$ is contained in $pW(R) \otimes_{F,W(R)} P_{\sigma_0}^{(k)}$ then. □

Remark 4.4. Let $i : R \to R'$ be a homomorphism of $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$-algebras which are separated and complete with respect to the p-adic topology. Assume the graded display $(P_\sigma^{(1)}, Q_\sigma^{(1)}, F, V^{-1})$ satisfies the assumption of proposition 4.3, and thus gives rise to unnormalized graded exterior power displays $(P_\sigma^{(k)}, Q_\sigma^{(k)}, F, V^{-1})$. Then, the same is true for its base change $(P_\sigma^{\prime(1)}, Q_\sigma^{\prime(1)}, F, V^{-1})$ to R', and it gives rise to $(P_\sigma^{\prime(k)}, Q_\sigma^{\prime(k)}, F, V^{-1})$, with a graded normal decomposition of the form: $L_\sigma^{\prime(k)} = W(R') \otimes_{W(R)} L_\sigma^{(k)}$ and $T_\sigma^{\prime(k)} = W(R') \otimes_{W(R)} T_\sigma^{(k)}$, i.e. the base change to R' of $(P_\sigma^{(k)}, Q_\sigma^{(k)}, F, V^{-1})$ is $(P_\sigma^{\prime(k)}, Q_\sigma^{\prime(k)}, F, V^{-1})$. We will frequently use this for the special case where $R' = R$ is a $\mathbb{F}_{p^r}$-algebra and where i is the absolute Frobenius.

In the sequel we call $P_\sigma^{(k)}$ the unnormalized graded exterior power display to $P_\sigma^{(1)}$. The category of displays is an additive category, but observe that our rule to produce $P_\sigma^{(k)}$ from $P_\sigma^{(1)}$ is not additive. However at least it is functorial in the following sense:

Proposition 4.5. *Let $P_{1,\sigma}^{(1)}$ and $P_{2,\sigma}^{(1)}$ be graded displays over R, both of which fulfill the assumptions of the previous proposition (N.B.: we do not assume* $\operatorname{rank}_R(Q_{1,\sigma}^{(1)}/I_R P_{1,\sigma}^{(1)}) = \operatorname{rank}_R(Q_{2,\sigma}^{(1)}/I_R P_{2,\sigma}^{(1)})$*). Let*

$$\alpha_\sigma^{(1)} : P_{1,\sigma}^{(1)} \to P_{2,\sigma}^{(1)}$$

be a homomorphism between them. Then:

(i) *the graded $W(R)$-linear map*

$$\alpha_\sigma^{(k)} : P_{1,\sigma}^{(k)} \to P_{2,\sigma}^{(k)}; x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k \mapsto \alpha_\sigma^{(1)}(x_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_\sigma^{(1)}(x_k)$$

is a homomorphism of graded displays too.

(ii) *Assume $pR = 0$. If $P_{1,\sigma}^{(1)} = W(R) \otimes_{F,W(R)} P_{2,\sigma-1}$ and $\alpha^{(1)} = Ver_{P_2^{(1)}}$, in the sense of* [39, example 23] *then the same holds for $P_{1,\sigma}^{(k)}$ and $\alpha^{(k)}$.*

(iii) *Let $T_{i,\sigma}^{(1)}$ be the graded triples of $P_{i,\sigma}^{(1)}$ over some pd-thickening $S \to R$ in which p is nilpotent. Let $\tilde{\alpha}_\sigma^{(1)}$ be the (by* [39, Theorem 46] *unique) morphism of graded triples that lifts $\alpha_\sigma^{(1)}$. Then $\bigwedge_S^k T_{i,\sigma}^{(1)}$ are the graded triples of $P_{i,\sigma}^{(k)}$ over S, and*

$$\tilde{\alpha}_\sigma^{(k)} : \bigwedge_S^k T_{1,\sigma}^{(1)} \to \bigwedge_S^k T_{2,\sigma}^{(1)}; x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k \mapsto \tilde{\alpha}_\sigma^{(1)}(x_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \tilde{\alpha}_\sigma^{(1)}(x_k)$$

is the morphism of graded triples lifting $\alpha_\sigma^{(k)}$.

Proof. The proof of the previous proposition discloses that $Q_{1,\sigma}^{(k)}$ is the $W(R)$-submodule of $P_{1,\sigma}^{(k)}$ that is generated by the elements $x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k$ where $x_1 \in Q_{1,\sigma}^{(1)}$ and $x_2, \dots, x_k \in P_{1,\sigma}^{(1)}$. It is clear that $\alpha_\sigma^{(k)}$ maps this into $Q_{2,\sigma}^{(k)}$, and equations (32) and (31) show it commutes with F and V^{-1}, showing (i).

Now (ii) follows from equation (32), together with the description of the $Ver_{P_2^{(k)}}$'s in (30). Statement (iii) is immediately clear. □

Remark 4.6. Fix a graded $W(R)$-module $P^{(0)}$ such that $P^{(0)}_\sigma$ is projective of rank one together with ^{F}-linear isomorphisms:

$$F : P^{(0)}_\sigma \to P^{(0)}_{\sigma+1}.$$

We will call this a "multiplicative display", following [39, example 16]. In the sequel we frequently need to twist and untwist displays by this kind of modules: If $(P_\sigma, Q_\sigma, F, V^{-1})$ is a graded display, then so is $(P^{(0)}_\sigma \otimes_{W(R)} P_\sigma, P^{(0)}_\sigma \otimes_{W(R)} Q_\sigma, F, V^{-1})$, where for every $x_0 \in P^{(0)}_\sigma$ the operators F, and V^{-1} act as: $F(x_0 \otimes x) = F(x_0) \otimes F(x)$, if $x \in P_\sigma$, and $V^{-1}(x_0 \otimes x) = F(x_0) \otimes V^{-1}(x)$, if $x \in Q_\sigma$. In particular, if $(P^{(1)}_\sigma, Q^{(1)}_\sigma, F, V^{-1})$ satisfies the assumptions of proposition 4.3 and if $(P_\sigma, Q_\sigma, F, V^{-1})$ is the unnormalized graded exterior power, then we call

$$(P^{(0)^{\otimes_{W(R)} 1-k}}_\sigma \otimes_{W(R)} P_\sigma, P^{(0)^{\otimes_{W(R)} 1-k}}_\sigma \otimes_{W(R)} Q_\sigma, F, V^{-1})$$

the normalized graded exterior power.

4.2. **Exterior powers of formal groups.**

From now on the ground $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$-algebra R is an excellent local ring.

Fact 1. *The functor $\mathcal{BT}$ from displays over R to p-divisible formal groups over R, as constructed in* [39, chapter 3]*, is an equivalence of categories, cf.* [39, Theorem 103]*. Giving an operation of $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$ on $\mathcal{BT}(P)$ is equivalent to giving a $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-grading on P, in the sense of definition 4.1.*

Fact 2. *The tangent space of the formal group can be canonically recovered from the display by*

$$\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{BT}(\bigoplus_\sigma P_\sigma))_\sigma \cong P_\sigma/Q_\sigma,$$

where a subscript $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ means the eigenspace with respect to the $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$-operation.

Fact 3. *Assume $pR = 0$. Then the natural isogeny:*

$$\mathcal{BT}(Ver_P) : \mathcal{BT}(\bigoplus_\sigma P_{\sigma-1}) \times_{R,F} R \to \mathcal{BT}(\bigoplus_\sigma P_\sigma)$$

is identical to the Verschiebung of the p-divisible group, by virtue of [39, Proposition 87].

Fact 4. *The result* [39, Lemma 93] *tells us that the Lie-algebra of the Grothendieck-Messing universal vector extension can be recovered as:*

$$H_1^{dR}(\mathcal{BT}(\bigoplus_\sigma P_\sigma))_\sigma \cong P_\sigma/I_R P_\sigma,$$

this isomorphism is compatible with the natural projections to the left and right hand side of the isomorphism in fact 2.

Fact 5. *Let $S \to R$ is a pd-thickening in which p is nilpotent. Then by* [39, Theorem 94] *the* $\pmod{I_S}$*-reduction of a lift of $\bigoplus_\sigma P_\sigma$ to a triple over S is canonically isomorphic to the S-value of the crystal to $\mathcal{BT}(\bigoplus_\sigma P_\sigma)$ as defined in Messing's book* [29].

Let us derive some consequences for p-divisible groups of the kind $\mathcal{G}^{(k)} = \mathcal{BT}(\bigoplus_\sigma P_\sigma^{(k)})$, where $P_\sigma^{(k)} = P_\sigma^{(0)^{\otimes_{W(R)}1-k}} \otimes_{W(R)} \bigwedge_{W(R)}^k P_\sigma^{(1)}$ is the (normalized) exterior power as in proposition 4.3 , (remark 4.6). Fact 4 gives a specific isomorphism, say:

$$\hat{m}_\sigma^{(k)} : H_1^{dR}(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})_\sigma^{\otimes_R 1-k} \otimes_R \bigwedge_R^k H_1^{dR}(\mathcal{G}^{(1)})_\sigma \to H_1^{dR}(\mathcal{G}^{(k)})_\sigma,$$

and it has the feature that it induces an isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})_\sigma^{\otimes_{\hat{R}} 1-k} \otimes_{\hat{R}} \bigwedge_{\hat{R}}^k \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G}^{(1)})_\sigma \to \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G}^{(k)})_\sigma,$$

Let us pin down the crystalline nature of $\hat{m}_\sigma^{(k)}$:

Lemma 4.7. *Assume that R is a mixed characteristic complete discrete valuation ring with uniformizer ϖ and perfect residue field k. Consider two graded displays $(P_{i,\sigma}^{(1)}, Q_{i,\sigma}^{(1)}, F, V^{-1})$, $(i \in \{1,2\})$ as in proposition 4.3, and let $(P_{i,\sigma}^{(0)}, I_R P_{i,\sigma}^{(0)}, F, V^{-1})$ be displays of the kind considered in remark 4.6. Let $\mathcal{G}_i^{(k)}/R$ be the p-divisible formal group corresponding to the normalized exterior powers $(P_{i,\sigma}^{(k)}, Q_{i,\sigma}^{(k)}, F, V^{-1})$, with $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$-action, of course. Write $\overline{G}_i^{(k)}$ for the special fibre over k. Assume that there is a $K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$-linear quasi-isogeny*

$$\alpha^{(0)} \in \mathrm{Hom}^0_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}(W(k) \otimes_{W(R)} P_1^{(0)}, W(k) \otimes_{W(R)} P_2^{(0)}),$$

and an element

$$\alpha^{(1)} \in \mathrm{Hom}^0_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}(W(k) \otimes_{W(R)} P_1^{(1)}, W(k) \otimes_{W(R)} P_2^{(1)})$$

and let

$$\alpha^{(k)} \in \mathrm{Hom}^0_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}(W(k) \otimes_{W(R)} P_1^{(k)}, W(k) \otimes_{W(R)} P_2^{(k)})$$

be induced by part (i) of proposition 4.5 on the functoriality of the unnormalized graded exterior power, composed with the action of $\alpha^{(0)}$. *Then the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Q}\otimes H_1^{dR}(\mathcal{G}_1^{(0)})_\sigma^{\otimes_R 1-k}\otimes_R \bigwedge_R^k H_1^{dR}(\mathcal{G}_1^{(1)})_\sigma \arrow[r, "\hat{m}_{1,\sigma}^{(k)}"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{Q}\otimes H_1^{dR}(\mathcal{G}_1^{(k)})_\sigma \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{Q}\otimes H_1^{dR}(\mathcal{G}_2^{(0)})_\sigma^{\otimes_R 1-k}\otimes_R \bigwedge_R^k H_1^{dR}(\mathcal{G}_2^{(1)})_\sigma \arrow[r, "\hat{m}_{2,\sigma}^{(k)}"] & \mathbb{Q}\otimes H_1^{dR}(\mathcal{G}_2^{(k)})_\sigma
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes for all σ, *where the vertical maps are obtained from* $\mathcal{BT}(\alpha^{(1)})\in \mathrm{Hom}^0_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}(\overline{G}_1^{(1)},\overline{G}_2^{(1)})$, $\mathcal{BT}(\alpha^{(0)})\in \mathrm{Hom}^0_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}(\overline{G}_1^{(0)},\overline{G}_2^{(0)})$, *and* $\mathcal{BT}(\alpha^{(k)})\in \mathrm{Hom}^0_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}(\overline{G}_1^{(k)},\overline{G}_2^{(k)})$ *by using the crystalline functoriality of* H_1^{dR} *in the sense of Grothendieck-Messing.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume that $\alpha^{(0)}$ is an isomorphism, because multiplication by a scalar in $K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})^\times$ does not change the statement. For the same reason we assume without loss of generality that $\alpha_\sigma^{(1)}$ comes from a graded homomorphism from $W(k)\otimes_{W(R)}P_{1,\sigma}^{(1)}$ to $W(k)\otimes_{W(R)}P_{2,\sigma}^{(1)}$ and in fact lifts to, say

$$\gamma_\sigma^{(1)}: W(R/pR)\otimes_{W(R)}P_{1,\sigma}^{(1)}\to W(R/pR)\otimes_{W(R)}P_{2,\sigma}^{(1)}.$$

over the artinian ring R/pR, cf. [39, Proposition 40]. By proposition 4.5 there are associated morphisms $\gamma_\sigma^{(k)}$ between the $W(R/pR)\otimes_{W(R)} P_{i,\sigma}^{(k)}$'s. Now $S=R/\varpi^jR\to R/pR$ is a pd-thickening, if p divides ϖ^j. Let us fix such a j. Then we get a map of graded triples:

$$\widetilde{\gamma_\sigma^{(k)}}: W(S)\otimes_{W(R)}P_{1,\sigma}^{(k)}\to W(S)\otimes_{W(R)}P_{2,\sigma}^{(k)},$$

induced from $\gamma_\sigma^{(k)}$'s action on the displays over R/pR. Passage from $W(S)$ to S, as in fact 4, brings us to the maps

$$\widetilde{\gamma_\sigma^{(k)}} \pmod{I_S}: H_1^{dR}(\mathcal{G}_1^{(k)}\times_R S)_\sigma\to H_1^{dR}(\mathcal{G}_2^{(k)}\times_R S)_\sigma,$$

which agree with the ones defined by the procedure in [29], recall that $\mathcal{G}_i^{(k)}\times_R S$ is $\mathcal{BT}(\bigoplus_\sigma W(S)\otimes_{W(R)}P_{i,\sigma}^{(k)})$. However, the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W(S)\otimes_{W(R)}(P_{1,\sigma}^{(0)\,\otimes_{W(R)}1-k}\otimes_{W(R)}\bigwedge_{W(R)}^k P_{1,\sigma}^{(1)}) \arrow[r, "="] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\gamma}_\sigma^{(k)}"'] & W(S)\otimes_{W(R)}P_{1,\sigma}^{(k)} \arrow[d, "\widetilde{\gamma_\sigma^{(k)}}"'] \\
W(S)\otimes_{W(R)}(P_{2,\sigma}^{(0)\,\otimes_{W(R)}1-k}\otimes_{W(R)}\bigwedge_{W(R)}^k P_{2,\sigma}^{(1)}) \arrow[r, "="] & W(S)\otimes_{W(R)}P_{2,\sigma}^{(k)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

do commute, which one sees by applying part (iii) of proposition 4.5 to the graded displays $W(S) \otimes_{W(R)} ({P^{(0)}_{i,\sigma}}^{\otimes_{W(R)}-1} \otimes_{W(R)} P^{(1)}_{i,\sigma})$. If we let j tend to ∞ we get the result. $\square$

4.3. **An Extension Theorem.** Before we state our theorem on the extension of $Y^{(k)}$ over $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(0\times1)}$, we would like to say that there has already been a lot of activity in the subject of extending abelian schemes over higher dimensional base schemes by C.-L. Chai, G. Faltings, O. Gabber, A. Grothendieck, J. Milne, B. Moonen, A. Ogus, M. Raynaud, A. Vasiu and many others, we certainly do not pretend to prove anything new in this section. Let us begin with some rather trivial remarks on the local structure of the integral model $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(0\times1)}$: We fix a closed point $x \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(0\times1)}$ and write $R_x = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(0\times1)},x}$ for the local ring. Let k be the residue field of R_x, it is naturally a finite extension of $\mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{p} = \mathbb{F}_{p^r}$, write $\xi : \operatorname{Spec} k \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(0\times1)}$ for the associated morphism. Assume that (T.1) holds. When writing $\operatorname{Lie}(\dots)_\sigma$ (resp. $H_1^{dR}(\dots)_\sigma$) for the $\tau^\sigma \circ \iota \circ *$-eigenspace of $\operatorname{Lie}(\dots)$ (resp. $H_1^{dR}(\dots)$) we have

$$\operatorname{rank}_{R_x} \operatorname{Lie}(Y_x^{(1)})_\sigma = \begin{cases} n-1 & \text{if } \sigma \in \Omega \\ n & \text{if } \sigma \notin \Omega \end{cases}, \qquad \operatorname{rank}_{R_x} H_1^{dR}(Y_x^{(1)})_\sigma = n,$$

for all $\sigma \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$, where $Y_x^{(1)}$ is $Y^{(1)} \times_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(0\times1)}} R_x$.

Let also $\hat{R}_x$ be the completion of R_x at the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. According to the Serre-Tate theorem we have the following description of the deformation functor prorepresented by $\hat{R}_x$: It sends $N \in nil$ to the set $\operatorname{Defo}_x(N)$ of deformations of $\overline{G}_{x,\mathfrak{q}}^{(1)} := Y_\xi^{(1)}[\mathfrak{q}^\infty]$ as a p-divisible group with $\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{q}}$-operation. We put $\mathcal{G}_{x,\mathfrak{q}}^{(1)} = \hat{Y}_x^{(1)}[\mathfrak{q}^\infty]$ for the universal deformation over it, where $\hat{Y}_x^{(1)} = Y_x^{(1)} \times_{R_x} \hat{R}_x$, and similarly for $\mathcal{G}_{x,\mathfrak{q}}^{(0)}$.
Again we need to shift from cohomology to homology now: Suppose that $\eta : \hat{R}_x \to R$ is a characteristic 0 lift of ξ, then we have

$$H_1^{dR}(\lambda^{(k)}) : H_1^{dR}(\mathcal{Y}_\eta^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}])_\sigma \overset{\cong}{\to} H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Y}_\eta^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^\infty])_\sigma = H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Y}_\eta^{(k)})_\sigma,$$

where the notation is from (28). Let us therefore write $m^{(k)}_{\eta,dR,\sigma}$ for the $R[\frac{1}{p}]$-linear map which is obtained from $t^{(k)}_{\eta,dR,\sigma}$ by transport of structure, as in (19).

Theorem 4.8. *Assume that the data L, $V^{(0\times1)}$, $\psi^{(0\times1)}$, and l are unramified at some prime p. Assume also that the condition (T.1) in section 3.2 is valid. For all $k = 0, \dots, \min\{n, p-1\}$ the following holds:*

(i) *The map* $g^{(k)} : M^{(0\times 1)} \to \mathcal{M}^{(k)}$ *extends to the whole of the integral model* $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(0\times 1)}$ *and therefore gives rise to an extension of the abelian schemes* $Y^{(k)}$ *over* $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(0\times 1)}$.

(ii) *For every closed point* x *there exist* $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$*-graded displays* $P_{x,\sigma}^{(1)}$ *and* $P_{x,\sigma}^{(0)}$ *over* $\hat{R}_x$ *together with* $\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{q}^*}}$*-isomorphism*

$$m_{x,\mathcal{BT}}^{(k)} : \mathcal{BT}\Big(\bigoplus_{\sigma} P_{x,\sigma}^{(0)\otimes_{W(\hat{R}_x)}1-k} \otimes_{W(\hat{R}_x)} \bigwedge_{W(\hat{R}_x)}^{k} P_{x,\sigma}^{(1)}\Big) \to \hat{Y}_x^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]$$

where $\hat{Y}_x^{(k)} = Y^{(k)} \times_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(0\times 1)}} \hat{R}_x$.

(iii) *For every characteristic* 0 *lift* $\eta : \hat{R}_x \to R$, *the isomorphism* $\hat{m}_{x,\sigma}^{(k)}$ *from the graded filtered module*

$$H_1^{dR}(\hat{Y}_x^{(0)}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}])_{\sigma}^{\otimes_{\hat{R}_x}1-k} \otimes_{\hat{R}_x} \bigwedge_{\hat{R}_x}^{k} H_1^{dR}(\hat{Y}_x^{(1)}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}])_{\sigma}$$

to the graded filtered module

$$H_1^{dR}(\hat{Y}_x^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}])_{\sigma}$$

obtained from (ii) together with the considerations in section 4.2 induces $m_{\eta,dR,\sigma}^{(k)}$, *when base changed to* $R[\frac{1}{p}]$.

Proof. Pick a closed point x. Let $\mathcal{G}_{x,\mathfrak{q}^*}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{x,\mathfrak{q}^*}^{(0)}$ be the duals of the p-divisible groups $\mathcal{G}_{x,\mathfrak{q}}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{x,\mathfrak{q}}^{(0)}$. According to fact 1 we may pick two graded displays $P_{x,\sigma}^{(k)}$ over $\hat{R}_x$ (unique up to unique isomorphism) corresponding to the $\mathcal{G}_{x,\mathfrak{q}^*}^{(k)}$'s for $k \leq 1$. Write $P_{x,\sigma}^{(k)}$ for the normalized graded exterior power $3n$-displays, which we are allowed to form by proposition 4.3 and remark 4.6. Write $\mathcal{G}_{x,\mathfrak{q}^*}^{(k)} = \mathcal{BT}(\bigoplus_{\sigma} P_{x,\sigma}^{(k)})$ for $k \geq 2$, and let $\mathcal{G}_{x,\mathfrak{q}}^{(k)}$ be the Serre-dual. Choose an isomorphism $\hat{R}_x \cong W(k)[[s_1, \dots, s_d]]$, let k' be the perfect closure of the pure transcendental extension $k(t_1, \dots, t_d)$, and define $\kappa : \hat{R}_x \to W(k')$ by $\kappa(s_j) = p[t_j]$.

We next study properties of the contravariant Dieudonné modules of the groups $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa,\mathfrak{q}}^{(k)} = \mathcal{G}_{x,\mathfrak{q}}^{(k)} \times_{\hat{R}_x,\kappa} W(k')$ and of their special fibres $\overline{G}_{\kappa,\mathfrak{q}}^{(k)}$. We start with the observation that:

$$\mathbb{D}^*(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa,\mathfrak{q}}^{(0)})_{\sigma}^{\otimes_{W(k')}1-k} \otimes_{W(k')} \bigwedge_{W(k')}^{k} \mathbb{D}^*(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa,\mathfrak{q}}^{(1)})_{\sigma} \cong \mathbb{D}^*(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa,\mathfrak{q}}^{(k)})_{\sigma}.$$

Here is a proof using only first properties of displays: The techniques of Mazur and Messing produce the usual comparison isomorphism

$$\mathbb{D}^*(\mathcal{G}^{(k)}_{\kappa,\mathfrak{q}})_\sigma \cong H_1^{dR}(\mathcal{G}^{(k)}_{\kappa,\mathfrak{q}^*})_\sigma$$

of filtered $W(k')$-modules, if one combines [28, proposition 7.12] [28, proposition 5.1] and [28, proposition 8.7]. From remark 4.4 it is clear that we have a canonical diagram of $W(k')$-modules

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H_1^{dR}(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}_{\kappa,\mathfrak{q}^*})_\sigma^{\otimes_{W(k')}1-k} \otimes_{W(k')} \bigwedge^k_{W(k')} H_1^{dR}(\mathcal{G}^{(1)}_{\kappa,\mathfrak{q}^*})_\sigma \arrow[r, "\cong"] \arrow[d] & H_1^{dR}(\mathcal{G}^{(k)}_{\kappa,\mathfrak{q}^*})_\sigma \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}_{\kappa,\mathfrak{q}^*})_\sigma^{\otimes_{W(k')}1-k} \otimes_{W(k')} \bigwedge^k_{W(k')} \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G}^{(1)}_{\kappa,\mathfrak{q}^*})_\sigma \arrow[r, "\cong"] & \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G}^{(k)}_{\kappa,\mathfrak{q}^*})_\sigma
\end{tikzcd} .$$

We only have to check that the upper horizontal map respects the various Frobenius operators. We have to think of them as the maps which are induced from the various Verschiebungen, according to fact 3 these are given by:

$$\mathcal{BT}(Ver_{P^\delta_\xi}) \times_k k' : \overline{G}^\delta_{\kappa,\mathfrak{q}^*} \times_{k',\tau} k' \to \overline{G}^\delta_{\kappa,\mathfrak{q}^*},$$

where the graded displays $P^{(k)}_{\xi,\sigma} = P^{(0)}_{\xi,\sigma}{}^{\otimes_{W(k)}1-k} \otimes_{W(k)} \bigwedge^k_{W(k)} P^{(1)}_{\xi,\sigma}$ over the residue field k stand for the special fibre of the $P^{(k)}_{x,\sigma}$'s. We can now deduce the desired Frobenius equivariance from lemma 4.7 and part (ii) of proposition 4.5.

We next apply lemma 3.5 to the unramified lift κ. Associated to it we have the point $(Y^{(k)}_\xi, \iota^{(k)}, \lambda^{(k)}, \overline{\eta}^{(k)}) \in \mathcal{M}^{(k)}(k)$ with $M^{(k)}_\sigma := \mathbb{D}^*(Y^{(k)}_\xi[\mathfrak{q}^\infty])_\sigma$, and a $t^{(k)}_{\xi,cris,\sigma}$ and a $t^{(k)}_{\kappa,dR,\sigma}$. Combining this gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
&W(k') \otimes_{W(k)} \mathbb{D}^*(Y^{(k)}_\xi[\mathfrak{q}^\infty])_\sigma \cong \\
&W(k') \otimes_{W(k)} (M^{(0)}_\sigma{}^{\otimes_{W(k)}1-k} \otimes_{W(k)} \bigwedge_{W(k)}^{k} M^{(1)}_\sigma) \cong \\
&W(k') \otimes_{W(k)} \mathbb{D}^*(\overline{G}^{(k)}_{x,\mathfrak{q}})_\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Again it is fairly easy to see that this isomorphism is truely defined over $W(k)$, compare everything with any $W(k)$-valued lift of ξ for example, or just use lemma 3.6, hence $Y^{(k)}_\xi[\mathfrak{q}^\infty] \cong \overline{G}^{(k)}_{x,\mathfrak{q}}$.

We obtain immediately an abelian scheme $\hat{Y}^{(k)}_x$ over Defo_x, by deforming $Y^{(k)}_\xi$. Clearly it inherits a polarization and a $\mathcal{O}_L$-operation from the corresponding data of $\mathcal{G}^{(k)}_{x,\mathfrak{q}}$ and $Y^{(k)}_{\xi}$. Finally the level $K^{(k)}$-structure $\overline{\eta}^{(k)}$ lifts uniquely from $(Y^{(k)}_{\xi}, \iota^{(k)}, \lambda^{(k)})$ to $(\hat{Y}^{(k)}_x, \iota^{(k)}, \lambda^{(k)})$ by the rigidity of étale covers. In so doing we manufactured a $\hat{R}_x$-valued point of $\mathcal{M}^{(k)}$, extending the point $g^{(k)} \circ \kappa$. With the usual methods one completes the proof of (i) and (ii), please see [6, proof of theorem 5.4] for example.

Assertion (iii) can be dealt with by a continuity argument: Using the Baire property one can choose a family of embeddings $\iota_i : K(k') \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\iota_i \circ \kappa$ converges in the complex topology to $\iota_\infty \circ \eta$ where ι_∞ is some embedding of R. The natural diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{dR}_1(\hat{Y}^{(0)}_x[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}])_\sigma^{\otimes_{\hat{R}_x} 1-k} \otimes_{\hat{R}_x} \bigwedge^k_{\hat{R}_x} H^{dR}_1(\hat{Y}^{(1)}_x[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}])_\sigma \arrow[r, "\hat{m}^{(k)}_{x,\sigma}"] \arrow[d, "H^{dR}_1(\lambda^{(0\times 1)})"'] & H^{dR}_1(\hat{Y}^{(k)}_x[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}])_\sigma \arrow[d, "H^{dR}_1(\lambda^{(k)})"'] \\
H^1_{dR}(Y^{(0)}_{\iota_i\circ\kappa})_\sigma^{\otimes_{\mathbb{C}} 1-k} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \bigwedge^k_{\mathbb{C}} H^1_{dR}(Y^{(1)}_{\iota_i\circ\kappa})_\sigma \arrow[r, "t^{(k)}_{\iota_i\circ\kappa,dR,\sigma}"] & H^1_{dR}(Y^{(k)}_{\iota_i\circ\kappa})_\sigma
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes by construction of $\hat{Y}^{(k)}_x$. If we pass to the limit we get the result for $\iota_\infty \circ \eta$. $\square$

Throughout the whole work we will retain the notation for the graded displays $P^{(k)}_{x,\sigma}$ over $\hat{R}_x$ and the map $m^{(k)}_{x,\mathcal{BT}}$, where x is a closed point. If η is a R-valued lift we will write $P^{(k)}_{\eta,\sigma}$ and $m^{(k)}_{\eta,\mathcal{BT}}$ for the objects over R, and similarly for the fibre over ξ.

Remark 4.9. The attantive reader may have observed that the proofs of parts (i) and (ii) of the previous theorem do not really use the deep proposition 3.2, nor does the proof of the extension theorem in [6]. However, part (iii) does make use of it, and we need it in the proof of theorem 4.10, and in all compatibility statements that build on it.

4.4. **Action of $g^{(k)}$ on Quasi-Isogenies.** Let $\eta : \operatorname{Spec} F \to M^{(0\times 1)} \times_E E_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a geometric point, i.e. F separably closed. Recall that in case $\operatorname{char}(F) = 0$ we associated to it a specific $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L$-linear isomorphism from

$$H_1(Y^{(0)}_\eta, \hat{\mathbb{Z}})^{\otimes_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L} 1-k} \otimes_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L} \bigwedge^k_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L} H_1(Y^{(1)}_\eta, \hat{\mathbb{Z}})$$

to

$$H_1(Y^{(k)}_\eta, \hat{\mathbb{Z}})$$

which, upon choosing an embedding $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, could be described as $m^{(k)}_{\eta,B} \otimes 1_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$. Observe that $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L = \prod_{\mathfrak{r}} \mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}}$ and let us write $T_{\mathfrak{r}} Y^{(k)}_\eta$ and $m^{(k)}_{\eta,\mathfrak{r}}$

for the $\mathfrak{r}$-components of $H_1(Y_\eta^{(k)}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}})$ and $m_{\eta,B}^{(k)} \otimes 1_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$, where $\mathfrak{r}$ is a prime of $\mathcal{O}_L$. We need to extend this to the case where $\mathrm{char}(F) = p$ is coprime to $\mathfrak{r}$. To this end observe that the group $\mathrm{Aut}_\eta(F)$ of automorphisms of F that fix the geometric point $\eta : \operatorname{Spec} F \to \mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}^{(0\times 1)}$ acts naturally on $T_\mathfrak{r} Y_\eta^{(k)}$. Observe also that, if $\mathcal{R}$ is a strictly henselian local subring of F such that η factors through $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{R}$, then there is a canonical generization map:

$$T_\mathfrak{r} Y_\xi^{(k)} \xrightarrow{\cong_\mathcal{R}} T_\mathfrak{r} Y_\eta^{(k)},$$

where ξ arises from $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}^{(0\times 1)}$ by passage to the closed point, leading to $\operatorname{Spec} k \to \mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}^{(0\times 1)}$ where k is the residue field of $\mathcal{R}$. Moreover for every $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_\eta(F)$ that stabilizes $\mathcal{R}$ there is a self-explanatory commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T_\mathfrak{r} Y_\xi^{(k)} \arrow[r, "\overline{\sigma}"] \arrow[d, "\cong_\mathcal{R}"'] & T_\mathfrak{r} Y_\xi^{(k)} \arrow[d, "\cong_\mathcal{R}"'] \\
T_\mathfrak{r} Y_\eta^{(k)} \arrow[r, "\sigma"] & T_\mathfrak{r} Y_\eta^{(k)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

which we will refer to as the Galois-invariance of $\cong_\mathcal{R}$, here $\overline{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Aut}_\xi(k)$ is the automorphism of k which is induced by the reduction of $\sigma|_\mathcal{R}$ modulo the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{R}$.

If k has characteristic 0 it is easy to see that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T_\mathfrak{r} Y_\xi^{(0)^{\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{r}}} 1-k}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{r}}} \bigwedge_{\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{r}}}^k T_\mathfrak{r} Y_\xi^{(1)} \arrow[r, "m_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}}^{(k)}"] \arrow[d, "\cong_\mathcal{R}"'] & T_\mathfrak{r} Y_\xi^{(k)} \arrow[d, "\cong_\mathcal{R}"'] \\
T_\mathfrak{r} Y_\eta^{(0)^{\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{r}}} 1-k}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{r}}} \bigwedge_{\mathcal{O}_{L_\mathfrak{r}}}^k T_\mathfrak{r} Y_\eta^{(1)} \arrow[r, "m_{\eta,\mathfrak{r}}^{(k)}"] & T_\mathfrak{r} Y_\eta^{(k)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative, (pick embeddings $F, k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$). Now let ξ be a geometric point in characteristic p. Let us simply define $m_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}}^{(k)}$ to be the unique upper horizontal map rendering the previous diagram commutative, where $F \supset \mathcal{R} \to k$ is a choice of a characteristic-zero generization. The commutativity of the aforementioned diagram in the equal characteristic-zero case shows $m_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}}^{(k)}$ thus introduced does not depend on the choice of $F \supset \mathcal{R} \to k$. Moreover, the Galois-invariance of $\cong_\mathcal{R}$ implies that $m_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}}^{(k)}$ is $\mathrm{Aut}_\xi(k)$-equivariant too.

We next study what happens to quasi-isogenies. Assume that ξ_1 and ξ_2 are $\mathbb{F}^{ac}$-valued points on $\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}^{(0\times 1)}$. Assume that

$$\gamma^{(0\times 1)} : Y_{\xi_1}^{(0)} \times_{\mathbb{F}^{ac}} Y_{\xi_1}^{(1)} \to Y_{\xi_2}^{(0)} \times_{\mathbb{F}^{ac}} Y_{\xi_2}^{(1)}$$

is $L \oplus L$-linear and preserves the homogeneous polarizations. This quasi-isogeny induces a graded map

$$\gamma^{(0\times 1)}_{cris,\sigma} : P^{(0)}_{\xi_1,\sigma} \oplus P^{(1)}_{\xi_1,\sigma} \to P^{(0)}_{\xi_2,\sigma} \oplus P^{(1)}_{\xi_2,\sigma}.$$

By slight abuse of notation we denote by $\gamma^{(k)}_{cris,\sigma}$ the map which is induced according to part (i) of proposition 4.5. Similarily we let $\gamma^{(k)}_{et,\mathfrak{r}}$ be the map that arises from letting

$$\gamma^{(0\times 1)}_{et,\mathfrak{r}} : T_{\mathfrak{r}}Y^{(0)}_{\xi_1} \oplus T_{\mathfrak{r}}Y^{(1)}_{\xi_1} \to T_{\mathfrak{r}}Y^{(0)}_{\xi_2} \oplus T_{\mathfrak{r}}Y^{(1)}_{\xi_2}$$

act on tensors of the form $x_0^{1-k}x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k$.

Theorem 4.10. *Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be two points of $\mathcal{M}^{(0\times 1)}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ over the field $\mathbb{F}^{ac}$. Let $\gamma^{(0\times 1)}$ be a quasi-isogeny as above. Then there exists a unique quasi-isogeny*

$$g^{(k)}(\gamma^{(0\times 1)}) : Y^{(k)}_{\xi_1} \to Y^{(k)}_{\xi_2}$$

preserving the homogeneous polarizations, and inducing the map such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{BT}(\bigoplus_\sigma P^{(0)}_{\xi_1,\sigma}{}^{\otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})} 1-k} \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})} \bigwedge^k_{W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})} P^{(1)}_{\xi_1,\sigma}) \arrow[r, "m^{(k)}_{\xi_1,\mathcal{BT}}"] \arrow[d, "\mathcal{BT}(\gamma^{(k)}_{cris})"'] & Y^{(k)}_{\xi_1}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}] \arrow[d, "g^{(k)}(\gamma^{(0\times 1)})[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]"'] \\
\mathcal{BT}(\bigoplus_\sigma P^{(0)}_{\xi_2,\sigma}{}^{\otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})} 1-k} \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})} \bigwedge^k_{W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})} P^{(1)}_{\xi_2,\sigma}) \arrow[r, "m^{(k)}_{\xi_2,\mathcal{BT}}"] & Y^{(k)}_{\xi_2}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, moreover

$$m^{(k)}_{\xi_2,\mathfrak{r}} \circ \gamma^{(k)}_{et,\mathfrak{r}} \circ m^{(k)^{-1}}_{\xi_1,\mathfrak{r}}$$

agrees with the map from $T_{\mathfrak{r}}Y^{(k)}_{\xi_1}$ to $T_{\mathfrak{r}}Y^{(k)}_{\xi_2}$ that is induced by $g^{(k)}(\gamma^{(0\times 1)})[\mathfrak{r}^\infty]$.

Proof. Let $\eta_1, \eta_2 : \operatorname{Spec} R \to \mathcal{M}^{(0\times 1)}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be lifts of ξ_1 and ξ_2 over a mixed characteristic complete discrete valuation ring with uniformizer ϖ and residue field $\mathbb{F}^{ac}$. If we apply lemma 4.7 to the groups $\mathcal{G}^{(k)}_i = \mathcal{BT}(\bigoplus_\sigma P^{(k)}_{\eta_i,\sigma})$, we get the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Q} \otimes H^{dR}_1(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}_1)^{\otimes_R 1-k}_\sigma \otimes_R \bigwedge^k_R H^{dR}_1(\mathcal{G}^{(1)}_1)_\sigma \arrow[r, "\hat{m}^{(k)}_{1,\sigma}"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\gamma}^{(k)}_{cris,\sigma}"'] & \mathbb{Q} \otimes H^{dR}_1(\mathcal{G}^{(k)}_1)_\sigma \arrow[d, "\widetilde{\gamma^{(k)}_{cris,\sigma}}"'] \\
\mathbb{Q} \otimes H^{dR}_1(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}_2)^{\otimes_R 1-k}_\sigma \otimes_R \bigwedge^k_R H^{dR}_1(\mathcal{G}^{(1)}_2)_\sigma \arrow[r, "\hat{m}^{(k)}_{2,\sigma}"] & \mathbb{Q} \otimes H^{dR}_1(\mathcal{G}^{(k)}_2)_\sigma
\end{tikzcd}$$

here the symbol $\widetilde{\gamma^{(k)}_{cris,\sigma}}$ indicates the Grothendieck-Messing functoriality of the map $\mathcal{BT}(\gamma^{(k)}_{cris})$ and the symbol $\tilde{\gamma}^{(k)}_{cris,\sigma}$ means the effect of$\widetilde{\gamma^{(1)}_{cris,\sigma}}$

and $\widetilde{\gamma^{(0)}_{cris,\sigma}}$ on tensors of the kind $x_0^{1-k}x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k$. If we compose the rows of the diagram with the H_1^{dR} of the maps $m^{(k)}_{\eta_i,\mathcal{BT}} : \mathcal{G}^{(k)}_i \to Y^{(k)}_{\eta_i}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]$, and use part (iii) of theorem 4.8, we get the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H_1^{dR}(Y^{(0)}_{\eta_1})_\sigma^{\otimes_R 1-k} \otimes_R \bigwedge_R^k H_1^{dR}(Y^{(1)}_{\eta_1})_\sigma \arrow[r, "m^{(k)}_{\eta_1,dR}"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\gamma}^{(k)}_{cris,\sigma}"'] & H_1^{dR}(Y^{(k)}_{\eta_1})_\sigma \arrow[d, "\widetilde{\gamma^{(k)}_{cris,\sigma}}"'] \\
H_1^{dR}(Y^{(0)}_{\eta_2})_\sigma^{\otimes_R 1-k} \otimes_R \bigwedge_R^k H_1^{dR}(Y^{(1)}_{\eta_2})_\sigma \arrow[r, "m^{(k)}_{\eta_2,dR}"] & H_1(Y^{(k)}_{\eta_2})_\sigma.
\end{tikzcd}$$

All we have to do is check that there exists a quasi-isogeny $Y^{(k)}_{\xi_1} \to Y^{(k)}_{\xi_2}$ that induces the vertical right map of this diagram, and "commutes" with the $m^{(k)}_{\xi_i,\mathfrak{r}}$'s. In case $\gamma^{(0\times 1)}$ lifts to a quasi-isogeny between the $Y^{(0)}_{\eta_i} \times_R Y^{(1)}_{\eta_i}$'s over R this is evident, as one can work over the extension $\mathbb{C} \supset R$ to come up with a quasi-isogeny $Y^{(k)}_{\eta_1} \to Y^{(k)}_{\eta_2}$ "commuting" with both $m^{(k)}_{\eta_i,dR}$ and $m^{(k)}_{\eta_i,\mathfrak{r}}$. In case $\gamma^{(0\times 1)}$ is a product of such isogenies, this is evident too.

In view of the lemma 4.11 below we can write every quasi-isogeny as a product of (possibly inverses of) isogenies with $\deg(\gamma^{(0\times 1)}) = p^{(n+1)[L^+:\mathbb{Q}]}\mathbb{Z}^\times_{(p)}$, i.e. satisfying:

$$\gamma^{(0\times 1)^t} \circ \lambda'^{(0\times 1)} \circ \gamma^{(0\times 1)} = p\lambda^{(0\times 1)},$$

so that we can rephrase the problem as follows: Suppose $K^{(1)}$ is a subgroup scheme over $\mathbb{F}^{ac}$ such that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y^{(1)}_\xi[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}] \arrow[r] & Y^{(1)}_\xi[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]/K^{(1)} \\
Y^{(1)}_\xi[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]/K^{(1)} \arrow[r, "p"] & Y^{(1)}_\xi[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]
\end{tikzcd}$$

are $\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{q}^*}}$-linear isogenies with degrees p^{rk}, and $p^{r(n-k)}$ -and similarly for $Y^{(0)}_\xi[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]$- can one find lifts to p-divisible groups $Y^{(1)}_\eta[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]$, $Y^{(0)}_\eta[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]$ over a mixed characteristic discrete valuation ring R together with lifts of subgroup schemes $\mathcal{K}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{(0)}$ with $\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{q}^*}}$-operation? It is quite obvious how to lift $Y^{(0)}_\xi[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]$, and $K^{(0)}$, in order to lift $Y^{(1)}_\xi[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]$, and $K^{(1)}$ we use the machinery of the local models of [35]. In the case at hand M^{loc} decomposes into a product of M^{loc}_σ parameterized by the elements $\sigma \in \Omega$. The functor which the factor M^{loc}_σ represents, can be described as follows: Write $\mathcal{O}^n_{E_\mathfrak{p}} = A \oplus B$ with $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}} A = k$, $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}} B = n-k$. Then over $S/\operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}$ the points consist of quadruples $(t_A, \phi_A, t_B, \phi_B)$ where t_A and t_B are $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules, and ϕ_A

and ϕ_B are $\mathcal{O}_S$-linear surjective maps from $\mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}} \mathcal{O}_S$ to t_A and t_B such that:

- t_A and t_B are projective of rank $n-1$
- the kernel of ϕ_A is mapped into the kernel of ϕ_B under the map on $\mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}^n$ defined by the $A \oplus B \ni a+b \mapsto a+pb$
- the kernel of ϕ_B is mapped into the kernel of ϕ_A under the map on $\mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}^n$ defined by $a+b \mapsto pa+b$

Observe that M_σ^{loc} is naturally a subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{\mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{\mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}}$, let us write $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ for the coordinates of the first factor and $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ for the coordinates of the second factor. This should mean that t_A (t_B) is the quotient of $\mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}} \mathcal{O}_S$ by the $\mathcal{O}_S$ module generated by the element $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ (resp. $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$). It is easy to see that the open subset of M_σ^{loc} where one of the induced maps $\ker(\phi_A) \to \ker(\phi_B)$ or $\ker(\phi_B) \to \ker(\phi_A)$ is an isomorphism, is actually smooth. The rest is covered by the Zariski open sets $\{s_\nu t_\mu \neq 0\}$ where $\nu \leq k < \mu$. On this set M_σ^{loc} has the equations:

$$t_1 = \frac{s_1}{s_\nu} t_\nu, \dots, t_k = \frac{s_k}{s_\nu} t_\nu$$
$$s_{k+1} = \frac{t_{k+1}}{t_\mu} s_\mu, \dots, s_n = \frac{t_n}{t_\mu} s_\mu$$
$$\frac{s_\mu}{s_\nu} \frac{t_\nu}{t_\mu} = p$$

This is because the above equations are equivalent to:

$$(t_1, \dots, t_k, pt_{k+1}, \dots, pt_n) = \frac{t_\nu}{s_\nu}(s_1, \dots, s_n)$$

and

$$(ps_1, \dots, ps_k, s_{k+1}, \dots, s_n) = \frac{s_\mu}{t_\mu}(t_1, \dots, t_n).$$

So this affine chart is the spectrum of the factor ring of the polynomial $\mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}$-algebra in the $n+1$ variables

$$\frac{s_1}{s_\nu}, \dots, \frac{s_{\nu-1}}{s_\nu}, \frac{s_\mu}{s_\nu}, \frac{s_{\nu+1}}{s_\nu}, \dots, \frac{s_k}{s_\nu}, \frac{t_{k+1}}{t_\mu}, \dots, \frac{t_{\mu-1}}{t_\mu}, \frac{t_\nu}{t_\mu}, \frac{t_{\mu+1}}{t_\mu}, \dots, \frac{t_n}{t_\mu}$$

by the ideal generated by the single element $\frac{s_\mu}{s_\nu}\frac{t_\nu}{t_\mu} - p$, and is therefore flat over $\mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}$. Therefore the requested lifts do exist. □

Lemma 4.11. *Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p, and let $M = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}} M_\sigma$ be a $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded Dieudonné module over k, such that*

$$\dim_k M_{\sigma+1}/\phi(M_\sigma) \leq 1$$

holds. Consider a $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded Dieudonné submodule $N_\sigma \subset M_\sigma$ such that the lengths of the $W(k)$-modules M_σ/N_σ are finite and independent of σ. Then at least one of the following two assertions holds:

(i) *$pM_\sigma \subset N_\sigma$ for all σ*
(ii) *N_σ is contained in at least one other $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded Dieudonné submodule $K_\sigma \subset M_\sigma$, such that the lengths of K_σ/N_σ and M_σ/K_σ are non-zero and independent of σ.*

Proof. Notice that $\mathrm{length}_{W(k)}(M_\sigma/N_\sigma) = \mathrm{length}_{W(k)}(M_{\sigma+1}/N_{\sigma+1}) < \infty$ implies $\mathrm{length}_{W(k)}(N_{\sigma+1}/\phi(N_\sigma)) = \mathrm{length}_{W(k)}(M_{\sigma+1}/\phi(M_\sigma)) \leq 1$. Suppose that (i) does not hold, consider the $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded Dieudonné submodule $\overline{N}_\sigma = N_\sigma + pM_\sigma$, observe that the numbers $\mathrm{length}_{W(k)}(M_\sigma/\overline{N}_\sigma) = h_\sigma$ may depend on σ, but let us assume without loss of generality that they attain their minimum for $\sigma = 0$. In view of the note above it is enough to look for $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded subcrystals $K_\sigma \supset \overline{N}_\sigma$: Starting from $\overline{N}_r = \overline{N}_0 = K_0$ we proceed by downward induction. Since $\mathrm{length}_{W(k)}(M_\sigma/(M_\sigma \cap \phi^{-1}(K_{\sigma+1})) \leq h_0$ while $\mathrm{length}_{W(k)}(M_\sigma/\overline{N}_\sigma) \geq h_0$ we are allowed to choose some $W(k)$-submodule K_σ sandwiched between $\overline{N}_\sigma$ and $M_\sigma \cap \phi^{-1}(K_{\sigma+1})$ and satisfying $\mathrm{length}_{W(k)}(M_\sigma/K_\sigma) = h_0$. □

5. ENDOMORPHISMS

In this chapter we study the relationship between the endomorphism algebra of $Y_\xi^{(1)}$ and the endomorphism algebra of $Y_\xi^{(k)}$. In the special case of a μ-ordinary point ξ this subject was touched upon in [6, section 6], but here we want to be more general. However we continue to assume that ξ is a point with values in the algebraic closure $\mathbb{F}^{ac}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{p^r}$. Our aim is to construct a canonical $*$-preserving map of L-algebras:

$$op_\xi^{(k)} : \mathrm{sym}_L^k(\mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(1)})) \to \mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(k)}), \tag{33}$$

where the left hand side acquires an involutive L-algebra structure through the natural embedding into $\mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(1)})^{\otimes_L k}$. Our tools are theorem 4.10 and lifts into characteristic 0. Observe that passing to the $\mathfrak{r}$-adic or crystalline homology theories gives maps:

$$\mathrm{sym}_{L_\mathfrak{r}}^k(\mathrm{End}_{L_\mathfrak{r}}^0(T_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}}^{(1)})) \to \mathrm{End}_{L_\mathfrak{r}}^0(T_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}}^{(k)}) \tag{34}$$

$$\mathrm{sym}_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}^k(\mathrm{End}_{K(\mathbb{F}^{ac})[F^r]}^0(P_{\xi,0}^{(1)})) \to \mathrm{End}_{K(\mathbb{F}^{ac})[F^r]}^0(P_{\xi,0}^{(k)}) \tag{35}$$

here we write $T^{(k)}_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}} = T_{\mathfrak{r}} Y_\xi^{(0)\,\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}}} 1-k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}}} \bigwedge^k_{\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}}} T_{\mathfrak{r}} Y_\xi^{(1)}$ and use the convention that a tensor

$$f = \sum_\nu f_{\nu,1} \otimes_Q \cdots \otimes_Q f_{\nu,k} \in \operatorname{End}_Q(V)^{\otimes_Q k},$$

where V is some Q-vector space, acts on $V \otimes_Q \cdots \otimes_Q V$ by mapping an element of the form $x_1 \otimes_Q \cdots \otimes_Q x_k$ to the element determined by the formula

$$\sum_\nu f_{\nu,1}(x_1) \otimes_Q \cdots \otimes_Q f_{\nu,k}(x_k) \in V \otimes_Q \cdots \otimes_Q V.$$

If the tensor $f \in \operatorname{End}_Q(V)^{\otimes_Q k}$ lies in $\operatorname{sym}^k_Q(\operatorname{End}_Q(V))$ i.e. if it satisfies

$$f = \sum_\nu f_{\nu,\pi(1)} \otimes_Q \cdots \otimes_Q f_{\nu,\pi(k)}$$

for all permutations $\pi \in S_k$, then it is easy to see it preserves all the S_k-eigenspaces of $V \otimes_Q \cdots \otimes_Q V$, and in particular we get a map

$$\operatorname{sym}^k_Q(\operatorname{End}_Q(V)) \to \operatorname{End}(\bigwedge_Q^k V).$$

Using these conventions we can state:

Proposition 5.1. *Let ξ be as above. Then the algebra maps*

$$op_\xi^{(k)}[\mathfrak{r}^\infty] : \operatorname{sym}^k_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}}(\operatorname{End}^0_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}}(Y_\xi^{(1)}[\mathfrak{r}^\infty])) \to \operatorname{End}^0_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}}(Y_\xi^{(k)}[\mathfrak{r}^\infty]), \tag{36}$$

and

$$op_\xi^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}] : \operatorname{sym}^k_{L_{\mathfrak{q}^*}}(\operatorname{End}^0_{L_{\mathfrak{q}^*}}(Y_\xi^{(1)}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}])) \to \operatorname{End}^0_{L_{\mathfrak{q}^*}}(Y_\xi^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]), \tag{37}$$

which are obtained from (34) *and* (35)*, send the subalgebra* $\operatorname{sym}^k_L \operatorname{End}^0_L(Y_\xi^{(1)})$ *into* $\operatorname{End}^0_L(Y_\xi^{(k)})$*, moreover the mapping so induced does not depend on* $\mathfrak{r}$ *or* $\mathfrak{q}^*$*.*

Proof. Let us start with the observation that the restrictions of $op_\xi^{(k)}[\mathfrak{r}^\infty]$ (resp. $op_\xi^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]$) to the algebras $\operatorname{End}^0_L(Y_\xi^{(1)}) \otimes_L Q$ define maps:

$$\operatorname{sym}^k_L(\operatorname{End}^0_L(Y_\xi^{(1)})) \otimes_L Q \to \operatorname{End}^0_L(Y_\xi^{(k)}) \otimes_L Q,$$

for $Q \in \{L_{\mathfrak{r}}, L_{\mathfrak{q}^*}\}$. One can see this as follows: Pick some large finite field $k \subset \mathbb{F}^{ac}$ over which ξ is defined, and to which all endomorphisms of all $Y_\xi^{(k)}$'s descend. Over this field $T^{(k)}_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}}$ acquires a $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}^{ac}/k)$-operation (and the $P^{(k)}_{\xi,\sigma}$'s over $\mathbb{F}^{ac}$ descend to displays over k), moreover the maps $m^{(k)}_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}} : T^{(k)}_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}} \to T_{\mathfrak{r}} Y_\xi^{(k)}$ are preserved by the $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}^{ac}/k)$-operation (resp. $m^{(k)}_{\xi,\mathcal{BT}}$ descends to $W(k)$). By Tate's theorem (cf.

[36]) we deduce that $op_\xi^{(k)}[\mathfrak{r}^\infty]$ sends elements in $\mathrm{sym}_L^k(\mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(1)}))$, being $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}^{ac}/k)$-invariant, at least into $\mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(k)}) \otimes_L L_\mathfrak{r}$, and the same holds for $op_\xi^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]$.

In order to complete the proof of the proposition it suffices to consider the elements $f \otimes_L \cdots \otimes_L f$, this is due to

$$\sum_{\pi \in S_k} f_{\pi(1)} \otimes_L \cdots \otimes_L f_{\pi(k)} = \sum_{Z \subset \{1,\dots,k\}} (-1)^{|Z|-k} (\sum_{\nu \in Z} f_\nu) \otimes_L \cdots \otimes_L (\sum_{\nu \in Z} f_\nu).$$

Let us write $q : \mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(1)}) \otimes_L Q \to \mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(k)}) \otimes_L Q$ for the composition of $f \mapsto f \otimes_L \cdots \otimes_L f$ with one of $op_\xi^{(k)}[\mathfrak{r}^\infty]$ or $op_\xi^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]$. This is a polynomial map between two affine Q-spaces both of which have a L-form. We claim that the L-rationality of q can be checked on any Zariski-dense subset S in $\mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(1)})$, regarded as affine space over L: Indeed if $q(S) \subset \mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(k)})$, then $\sigma(q)|_S = q|_S$ for all L-linear embeddings $\sigma : Q \to Q^{ac}$, hence $\sigma(q) = q$ for all σ and q has all its coefficients in L.

Finally observe that theorem 4.10 implies that $q(f) \in \mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(k)})$, whenever $f \in S = \{f \in \mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(1)})^\times | ff^* = 1\}$. However, S is Zariski dense in $\mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(1)})$: One verifies this by checking it is in fact the group of L^+-rational points of some connected reductive algebraic group, whose L-points are $\mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(1)})^\times$. Accordingly, the density follows from [4, corollary 18.3], as $\mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(1)})^\times$ is clearly dense in $\mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(1)})$.

The independence of $\mathfrak{r}$ and $\mathfrak{q}^*$ follows analogously. □

5.1. **Isoclinal Points.** We need to set up a further Hodge structure V of type $\{(-1,0),(0,-1)\}$ with $\mathcal{O}_L$-operation. Pick some purely imaginary element $v \in L^\times$. Let the underlying torsion-free $\mathcal{O}_L$-module of V be $\mathcal{O}_L$ itself, and let the Hodge structure be given by the $\mathbb{R}$-linear homomorphism:

$$h : \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{End}_L(L_\mathbb{R}); i \mapsto \frac{v}{\sqrt{-v^2}}. \tag{38}$$

Let us write $X = V_\mathbb{R}/\mathcal{O}_L$ for the corresponding CM type abelian variety and let us write $\Phi(x) = \mathrm{tr}(x|_{V^{-1,0}})$ for the corresponding CM trace. Let us assume that

(T.2) $\mathrm{Card}(\{\sigma | \tau^\sigma \circ \iota \in |\Phi|\}) = a := \frac{w}{n}$, where w is the integer $\mathrm{Card}(|\Phi^{(n)}|-|\Phi^{(0)}|)=\mathrm{Card}(\Omega)$. A Hodge structure (V,h) with this property clearly exists if and only if w is divisible by n. Observe that the non-degenerate $*$-skew-Hermitian pairing which is given by

$$\psi : V\times V\to\mathbb{Q}; (x,y)\mapsto \mathrm{tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(vxy^*), \tag{39}$$

endows X with a homogeneous polarization $\mathbb{Q}_{>0}\lambda$ of which the Rosati-involution stabilizes $L\subset\mathrm{End}^0(X)$, this is because $\psi(x,h(i)y)$ is positive definite on $V_{\mathbb{R}}$. Accordingly, the Weil pairing $TX\times TX\to 2i\pi\mathbb{Q}$ is a scalar multiple of ψ, as is the $\mathfrak{r}^+$-adic one on $T_{\mathfrak{r}^+}\overline{X}=\mathcal{O}_{L^+_{\mathfrak{r}^+}}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L^+}}TX$, where $\overline{X}/\mathbb{F}^{ac}$ is the special fibre of a good model of X over $\mathcal{O}_{E^{ac}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. We say that a point ξ is isoclinal if $Y^{(1)}_\xi$ is isogenous to $\overline{X}^{\times n}$, as an abelian variety with L-operation up to isogeny. This is actually equivalent to saying that the p-divisible group $Y^{(1)}_\xi[\mathfrak{q}^\infty]$ is isoclinal, as follows for example by specializing the result [35, Corollary 6.29] to our concrete situation.

Throughout the rest of this chapter we fix such a ξ, and we also bear in mind that the L-space $B=\mathrm{Hom}^0_L(\overline{X},Y^{(1)}_\xi)$ is equipped with a positive definite sesquilinear pairing: If an element g of B is given one can consider its dual $g^*=\lambda^{-1}\circ g^t\circ\lambda^{(1)}\in\mathrm{Hom}^0_L(Y^{(1)}_\xi,\overline{X})=B^*$, where $B^*=\mathrm{Hom}_L(B,L)$ is the dual L-vector space. Now set:

$$(f,g)=g^*\circ f\in\mathrm{Hom}^0_L(\overline{X},\overline{X})=L, \tag{40}$$

for $f,g\in B$. It is easy to see that the crystalline and $\mathfrak{r}$-adic homology theories can be recovered from B by:

$$B\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L}T_{\mathfrak{r}}\overline{X}\cong\mathbb{Q}\otimes T_{\mathfrak{r}}Y^{(1)}_\xi \tag{41}$$

$$B\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L}P_\sigma\cong\mathbb{Q}\otimes P^{(1)}_{\xi,\sigma}, \tag{42}$$

where P_σ is the graded display of $\overline{X}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]$. In fact this means that $P^{(1)}_{\xi,\sigma}$ has skeleton isomorphic to:

$$I^{(1)}\cong B\otimes_{L,\iota\circ *}H, \tag{43}$$

as $H=\{x\in\mathbb{Q}\otimes P_0|\phi^r(x)=p^a x\}$ is the skeleton of P_σ. If we pass to the exterior powers we get from (41) the isomorphism:

$$\bigwedge_L^k B\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L}(T^{(0)\,\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}}}1-k}_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}}}T_{\mathfrak{r}}\overline{X}^{\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}}}k})\cong\mathbb{Q}\otimes T^{(k)}_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}}, \tag{44}$$

giving rise to the pleasant formula:

$$\mathrm{End}^0_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}}(T^{(k)}_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}}) \cong \mathrm{End}_L(\bigwedge_L^k B) \otimes_L L_{\mathfrak{r}}. \tag{45}$$

And analogously (43) implies:

$$\mathrm{End}^0_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}(I^{(k)}) \cong \mathrm{End}_L(\bigwedge_L^k B) \otimes_{L,\iota\circ *} K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}), \tag{46}$$

where $I^{(k)}$ is the skeleton of $P^{(k)}_{\xi,\sigma}$. This consideration sets up "natural" L-forms of the above algebras. It is trivial to see that in the $k \leq 1$-case this L-form is nothing else than $\mathrm{End}_L(Y^{(k)}_\xi)$. That pertains to the $k \geq 2$-case:

Lemma 5.2. *The images of* $\mathrm{End}^0_L(Y^{(k)}_\xi)$ *in* $\mathrm{End}^0_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}(I^{(k)})$ *and in* $\mathrm{End}^0_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}}(T^{(k)}_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}})$ *are equal to* $\mathrm{End}_L(\bigwedge_L^k B)$ *embedded therein by means of the isomorphisms* (46) *and* (45). *This sets up one and only one isomorphism* $\mathrm{End}_L(\bigwedge_L^k B) \cong \mathrm{End}^0_L(Y^{(k)}_\xi)$.

Proof. The maps $op^{(k)}_\xi[\mathfrak{r}^\infty]$ and $op^{(k)}_\xi[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]$ that were introduced in (36) and (37) preserve certainly the L-structures which the isomorphism (45) and (46) exhibit on their source and target. Appealing to proposition 5.1 we infer that on the one hand the image of $op^{(k)}_\xi$ is contained in $\mathrm{End}_L(\bigwedge_L^k B)$. On the other hand that image is a L-form of say $\mathrm{End}^0_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}(I^{(k)})$, as its dimension agrees with the dimension of the image of $op^{(k)}_\xi[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]$. We get

$$\mathrm{End}^0_L(Y^{(k)}_\xi) = op^{(k)}_\xi \, \mathrm{sym}^k_L(\mathrm{End}^0_L(Y^{(1)}_\xi)) = \mathrm{End}_L(\bigwedge_L^k B)$$

for free. □

5.2. **Lifts in characteristic** p**.** Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with uniformizer ω, and perfect residue field. Lemma 5.2 makes the following definition possible:

Definition 5.3. *Let* G^+ *be an algebraic subgroup of* $\mathrm{U}(B/L)$. *A lift* η *over* R *of* ξ *is said to have a mock* G^+*-structure if the (injective!) reduction-* (mod ω)*-map identifies* $\mathrm{End}^0_L(Y^{(k)}_\eta)$ *with the subalgebra of* $\mathrm{End}^0_L(Y^{(k)}_\xi)$, *that corresponds to* $\mathrm{End}_G(\bigwedge_L^k B) \subset \mathrm{End}_L(\bigwedge_L^k B)$, *for all* $k \leq \min\{n, p-1\}$.

From now on we will always assume that our lifts are $R = \mathbb{F}^{ac}[[t]]$-valued ones.

Remark 5.4. Over the perfect field $\mathbb{F}^{ac}$ the notion of a display is just another synonym for "unipotent Dieudonné module" or "$W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})$-window". More generally, Zink constructs an equivalence between the category of A-windows and the category of displays over $R = A/pA$, for an arbitrary frame A, cf. [38, Theorem1.6]. Let us explain the dictionary in the context we need, namely the $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded one over $A = W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})[[t]]$. Starting with a graded $W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})[[t]]$-window $(\tilde{M}_\sigma, \tilde{M}_{\sigma,1}, \tilde{\phi})$ with graded normal decomposition $\tilde{M}_\sigma = \tilde{L}_\sigma \oplus \tilde{T}_\sigma$, extension of scalars yields modules

$$P_\sigma = W(\mathbb{F}^{ac}[[t]]) \otimes_{\varkappa, W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})[[t]]} \tilde{M}_\sigma \tag{47}$$

$$Q_\sigma = W(\mathbb{F}^{ac}[[t]]) \otimes_{\varkappa, W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})[[t]]} \tilde{L}_\sigma \oplus I_{\mathbb{F}^{ac}[[t]]} \otimes_{\varkappa, W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})[[t]]} \tilde{T}_\sigma, \tag{48}$$

where $\varkappa$ was explained in (6). To define ^{F}-linear operators F and V^{-1} on them one proceeds as:

$$\begin{aligned} F(\alpha \otimes_{\varkappa, W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})[[t]]} x) &= {}^F\alpha \otimes_{\varkappa, W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})[[t]]} \tilde{\phi}(x) \\ V^{-1}(\alpha \otimes_{\varkappa, W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})[[t]]} l) &= {}^F\alpha \otimes_{\varkappa, W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})[[t]]} \tilde{\phi}_1(l) \\ V^{-1}({}^V\alpha \otimes_{\varkappa, W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})[[t]]} t) &= \alpha \otimes_{\varkappa, W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})[[t]]} \tilde{\phi}(t) \end{aligned}$$

This is an unambiguous definition as worked out in [38, section 1], and the result is a graded display $(P_\sigma, Q_\sigma, F, V^{-1})$ over $\mathbb{F}^{ac}[[t]]$.

If η is understood, then we write $\tilde{M}_\sigma^{(k)}$ for the $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded $W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})[[t]]$-window that corresponds to the display $P_{\eta,\sigma}^{(k)}$ by the above, and we write $M_\sigma^{(k)} = P_{\xi,\sigma}^{(k)}$ for the special fibre. It is straightforward to see that we have a canonical isomorphism:

$$\tilde{M}_\sigma^{(0)^{\otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})[[t]]} 1-k}} \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})[[t]]} \bigwedge_{W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})[[t]]}^{k} \tilde{M}_\sigma^{(1)} \cong \tilde{M}_\sigma^{(k)}. \tag{49}$$

In particular the isomorphism $m_{\eta,\mathcal{BT}}^{(k)}$ in part (ii) of theorem 4.8 translates into an isomorphism between $\tilde{M}_\sigma^{(k)}$ and the window that corresponds to the p-divisible formal group $Y_\eta^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]$ by [38, Theorem 4]. Let us also sum up gadgets from subsection 2.3: We trivialize $\tilde{M}_\sigma^{(k)}$ over the ring extension $K(\mathbb{F}^{ac})\{\{t\}\}$, by using the skeleton $I^{(k)}$ we have:

$$\tilde{M}_0^{(k)} \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})[[t]]} K(\mathbb{F}^{ac})\{\{t\}\} \cong I^{(k)} \otimes_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} K(\mathbb{F}^{ac})\{\{t\}\},$$

with corresponding

$$\theta^{(k)} \in (\mathrm{End}_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}(I^{(k)}) \otimes_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} \mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{F}^{ac}))^\times.$$

as constructed in 2.3. According to (46) we may think of these elements as sitting in:

$$(\mathrm{End}_L(\bigwedge_L^k B) \otimes_{L,\iota\circ *} \mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{F}^{ac}))^\times,$$

indeed we have $\theta^{(k)}(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k) = \theta^{(1)}(x_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{(1)}(x_k)$.

Lemma 5.5. *Let η be a lift of ξ, and let $\theta^{(1)} \in (\mathrm{End}_L(B) \otimes_{L,\iota\circ *} \mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{F}^{ac}))^\times$ be the corresponding Dwork descent datum. Let G^+ be the smallest L^+-subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(B/L)$ containing $\theta^{(1)}$. Then η has a mock G^+-structure.*

Proof. Observe firstly, that the image of the map

$$\mathrm{End}^0_L(Y_\xi^{(k)}) \to \mathrm{End}_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}(I^{(k)})$$

(coming from $m^{(k)}_{\xi,\mathcal{BT}}$) is $\mathrm{End}_L(\bigwedge_L^k B)$. Moreover, the preimage of some $f \in \mathrm{End}_L(\bigwedge_L^k B)$ induces a quasi-endomorphism on the (isogeny class of the) p-divisible group $Y_\eta^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]$ if and only if it preserves the $W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})[[t]][\frac{1}{p}]$-lattice $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \tilde{M}_0^{(k)}$ within $I^{(k)} \otimes_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} K(\mathbb{F}^{ac})\{\{t\}\}$. But by lemma 2.10 one can apply descent theory to recover it as the module:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Q} \otimes \tilde{M}_0^{(k)} = \{&x \in I^{(k)} \otimes_{K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} K(\mathbb{F}^{ac})\{\{t\}\}| \\ &\theta^{(k)}(x \otimes_{K(\mathbb{F}^{ac})\{\{t\}\},q_2} 1_{\mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{F}^{ac})}) = x \otimes_{K(\mathbb{F}^{ac})\{\{t\}\},q_1} 1_{\mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{F}^{ac})}\},\end{aligned}$$

where the maps $q_1, q_2 : K(\mathbb{F}^{ac})\{\{t\}\} \to \mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{F}^{ac})$ are as in (10) and (11). Hence $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \tilde{M}_0^{(k)}$ is preserved if and only if f commutes with $\theta^{(k)}$.

Observe secondly that f^* induces a quasi-endomorphism on the (isogeny class of the) p-divisible group $Y_\eta^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]$ if and only if actually f induces an endomorphism on the (isogeny class of the) dual p-divisible group $Y_\eta^{(k)}[\mathfrak{q}^\infty]$. By the Serre-Tate theorem we find that the preimage of f lies in the subalgebra $\mathrm{End}^0_L(Y_\eta^{(k)})$ if and only if both f and f^* commute with $\theta^{(k)}$.

By the criterion of lemma A.1, we have what we wanted. □

6. Proof of Main Theorem

6.1. **Choice of** sym-**Structure.** Consider our non-zero imaginary element v in our CM field L, and our primes $\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}^*, \mathfrak{q}^+$ and $p \neq 2$ of L, L^+, and $\mathbb{Q}$ as in definition 1.1. Write E for the smallest subfield of $\mathbb{C}$ that contains all Galois conjugates of L, and fix a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of E above p with residue field, say $\mathbb{F}_{p^r}$. Fix an isomorphism $\iota : L_\mathfrak{q} \to K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$, and write a for whichever is the smaller of $\mathrm{Card}(\{\sigma | \Im(\tau^\sigma \circ \iota(v)) > 0\})$ and

$\mathrm{Card}(\{\sigma|\Im(\tau^\sigma\circ\iota(v))<0\})$. Let (X,λ) be a polarized abelian variety with complex multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_L$, such that the CM type $|\Phi|$, satisfies (T.2), and such that the Weil-pairing is equal to (39) up to rational scalars.

Now let the data consisting of our n-dimensional L-vector space B, positive definite sesquilinear pairing $(.,..)$ and unitary representation $\rho^+: G^+\hookrightarrow \mathrm{U}(B/L)$ satisfy the v-$\mathfrak{q}$-flexibility conditions of definition 1.1. Recall the element $\rho(u)$ coming up in condition (X.2) and write it as a direct sum of cyclic unipotents of ranks, say $b_1+1,\dots,b_c+1$. The assumption (X.2) on B implies $\max\{b_i|i=1,\dots,c\}\leq a$ so that the triple $z=2$, r and a fulfills the requirements of subsection 2.2.1. In what follows our ground field is an algebraic closure $\mathbb{F}^{ac}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{p^r}$: We can choose an example of a sym-structure $(N_\sigma,N_{i,\sigma},\zeta_\sigma)$ on an isoclinal $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded Dieudonné module M_σ of integral graded slope a, so that moreover:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}^{ac}} N_\sigma/N_{\sigma,1}=\begin{cases}1 & \sigma\in\Sigma\\ 2 & \sigma\notin\Sigma\end{cases},\qquad \dim_{\mathbb{F}^{ac}} M_\sigma/M_{\sigma,1}=\begin{cases}n-1 & \sigma\in\Omega\\ n & \sigma\notin\Omega\end{cases}.$$

Furthermore, the cardinality of Σ is $z=2$, and the cardinality of Ω is $w=an<r$. Finally we invoke the skeletons I and J of M_σ and N_σ, and the representation

$$\pi:\mathrm{SL}(J/K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}))\to\mathrm{GL}(I/K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})),\tag{50}$$

as in part (ii) of lemma 2.11.

6.2. **Choice of Shimura Variety.** Our first step is to build the integral Shimura varieties $\mathcal{M}^\delta$: To this end we introduce the CM types $|\Phi^{(0)}|$ and $|\Phi^{(n)}|$ by means of the equations (21) and (22). In order to complete the list of input data for our kind of PEL moduli problems, we have to say what the $*$-skew-Hermitian modules $(V^{(1)},\psi^{(1)})$ and $(V^{(0)},\psi^{(0)})$ are like. We prefer to deal with the sesquilinear forms $\frac{1}{v}\Psi^{(1)}$ and $\frac{1}{v}\Psi^{(0)}$ where $\Psi^{(1)},\Psi^{(0)}$ are as in (12). To ease notation we denote these forms by $(.,..)$, this will not cause confusion with the form on B that was also denoted by $(.,..)$. For each inert place of L^+ we have a local requirement:

(L.1) For a real embedding $\iota^+: L^+\to\mathbb{R}$ the signature of the form $(.,..)$ on $V^{(1)}\otimes_{L^+,\iota^+}\mathbb{R}$ is:

$$=\begin{cases}(n,0) & \text{if } \iota\in|\Phi^{(0)}|\cap|\Phi^{(n)}|\\ (n-1,1) & \text{if } \iota\in|\Phi^{(0)}|-|\Phi^{(n)}|\\ (1,n-1) & \text{if } \iota\in|\Phi^{(n)}|-|\Phi^{(0)}|\\ (0,n) & \text{if } \iota\notin|\Phi^{(0)}|\cup|\Phi^{(n)}|\end{cases}$$

where ι denotes the unique element in $|\Phi|$ with $\iota|_{L^+} = \iota^+$.

(L.2) For an inert prime $\mathfrak{r}^+$ of L^+, there exists an isometry between $B \otimes_{L^+} L^+_{\mathfrak{r}^+}$ and $V^{(1)} \otimes_{L^+} L^+_{\mathfrak{r}^+}$, i.e. an $L \otimes_{L^+} L^+_{\mathfrak{r}^+}$-linear map preserving the forms $(.,..)$.

Let us check that $V^{(1)}$ exists. By the local-global principle, all we have to do is to prove that the number of infinite places at which the condition (L.1) results in a negative discriminant is even. If n is even this is clear because the number of these places is w in that case. If n is odd we have to compute the cardinality of $|\Phi^{(n)}| - |\Phi| \pmod 2$. To check the parity note that $|\Phi^{(n)}|$ differs from $|\Phi^{(0)}|$ at w real places and that $|\Phi^{(0)}|$ differs from $|\Phi|$ at a places and that $w = an \equiv a \pmod 2$. Finally we choose any $V^{(0)}$ such that the signature of the form $(.,..)$ on $V^{(0)} \otimes_{L^+,\iota^+} \mathbb{R}$ is

$$= \begin{cases} (n,0) & \text{if } \iota \in |\Phi^{(0)}| \\ (0,n) & \text{if } \iota \notin |\Phi^{(0)}| \end{cases},$$

where $\iota \in |\Phi|$ extends the real embedding $\iota^+ : L^+ \to \mathbb{R}$, as above. Now we have $\mathcal{M}^\delta$, $\mathcal{M}^\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{M}^\delta \times_{\mathcal{O}_E \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, and $\overline{M}^\delta = \mathcal{M}^\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} \times_{\mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \mathbb{F}_{p^r}$ at our disposal. Observe that the above CM types $|\Phi^{(0)}|$, $|\Phi^{(n)}|$ and $|\Phi|$ satisfy the condition (T.1) of section 3.2, and condition (T.2) of section 5.1. Hence we are allowed to use the maps $g^{(k)} : \mathcal{M}^{(0\times 1)}_{\mathfrak{p}} \to \mathcal{M}^{(k)}$ of theorem 4.8, and the whole machinery of chapter 5. In particular we retain the conventions about $\overline{X}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}] = \mathcal{BT}(\bigoplus_\sigma P_\sigma)$, H, etc.

6.3. **Choice of Point.** The second step is to pick a carefully chosen $\xi \in \overline{M}^{(0\times 1)}(\mathbb{F}^{ac})$. We start with the isogeny class $B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} X$. It carries a L-action $\iota^{(1)}$ that is stabilized by the Rosati involution coming from the natural polarization $\lambda^{(1)} = (.,..) \otimes_L \lambda$. We also assign to it a level structure $\overline{\eta}^{(1)} = \eta^{(1)} K^{(1)p}$, where

$$\eta^{(1)} : \mathbb{A}^{p,\infty} \otimes V^{(1)} \to \mathbb{A}^{p,\infty} \otimes B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} TX$$

is any choice of L-linear symplectic similitude, it exists due to (L.2). In order to constitute a point in the isogeny class $(B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_{>0}\lambda^{(1)}, \iota^{(1)}, \overline{\eta}^{(1)})$ we have to fix a specific p-divisible group with $\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{q}^*}}$-action in the isogeny class $B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \overline{X}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]$ (use the self-duality to get rid of $B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \overline{X}[\mathfrak{q}^\infty]$).

We proceed by bringing the results of chapter 2 into play, let us therefore pick a homomorphism

$$\pi' : \mathrm{SL}(J/K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})) \to G \times_{L,\iota\circ *} K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}) (= G^+ \times_{L^+,\iota\circ *} K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}))$$

with properties as granted by lemma A.2, i.e.

- π' does not factor through any proper L^+-subgroup of G^+.
- u is conjugated to an element of the form $\pi'(v)$.

If we base change ρ to the field $K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$ and compose with π' we obtain a representation $\rho\circ\pi' : \mathrm{SL}(J/K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})) \to \mathrm{GL}(B/L)\times_{L,\iota\circ *}K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$ which is abstractly isomorphic to (I,π). Eventually we fix an isomorphism:

$$\epsilon_0 : (I,\pi) \overset{\cong}{\to} (B\otimes_{L,\iota\circ *} H, \rho\circ\pi')$$

of $\mathrm{SL}(J/K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}))$-representations. This gives rise to an isogeny of graded displays:

$$\epsilon_\sigma : \mathbb{Q}\otimes M_\sigma \to B\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} P_\sigma$$

observe that the displays in question have the same slope. This provides us with the requested p-divisible group

$$\mathcal{BT}(\epsilon) : \mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathcal{BT}(\bigoplus_\sigma M_\sigma) \overset{\cong}{\to} B\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L}\overline{X}[\mathfrak{q}^{*\infty}]$$

and hence with a $\mathbb{F}^{ac}$-point on $\overline{M}^{(1)}$. Let finally

$$\xi : \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{F}^{ac} \to \overline{M}^{(0\times 1)}$$

be an arbitrary lifting to the catalyst covering Shimura variety. Let us sum up what we have:

(M.1) The $\mathbb{F}^{ac}$-valued point ξ is isoclinal and gives rise to the vector space $B = \mathrm{Hom}^0_L(\overline{X}, Y^{(1)}_\xi)$ over L. This is equipped with a positive definite sesquilinear form $(.,..)$, as introduced in (40).

(M.2) The positive definite vector space in (M.1) is equipped with a unitary representation $\rho^+ : G^+ \to \mathrm{U}(B/L)$.

(M.3) The $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$-graded $W(k)$-window $M^{(k)}_\sigma = P^{(k)}_{\xi,\sigma}$ is equipped with a formal sym-structure, i.e. there is an isomorphism of isocrystals with grading:

$$\zeta_\sigma : \mathbb{Q}\otimes M^{(1)}_\sigma \to \mathbb{Q}\otimes\bigoplus_{i=1}^{c} N_{i,\sigma}\otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})}\mathrm{sym}^{b_i}_{W(\mathbb{F}^{ac})} N_\sigma$$

with properties as in definition 2.3.

(M.4) The representation π on the $K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$-vector space $B\otimes_{L,\iota\circ *} H \cong I^{(1)}$ (cf. (43)) which is induced by the map ζ_0 of (M.3) allows a factorization of the form $\rho\circ\pi'$. Here ρ comes from the representation in (M.2).

(M.5) No proper L^+-algebraic subgroup of G^+ has the property stated in (M.4).

One easily derives the following conclusion:

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(0\times 1)}(\mathbb{F}^{ac})$ be a point with the additional structure (M.1) to (M.5). Let η be a lift such that the (according to* [38, Theorem 4]*) induced window $\tilde{M}_\sigma^{(1)}$ is a sufficient deformation (in the sense of lemma 2.7) of the* sym*-structure that is given on $M_\sigma = P_{\xi,\sigma}^{(1)}$. Then η has a mock G^+-structure.*
In particular, lifts with mock G^+-structure do exist under the assumptions at the beginning of this chapter.

Proof. Let H^+ be a subgroup containing $\theta^{(1)}$. Then lemma 2.11 implies that $H \times_{L,\iota\circ *} K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$ contains the group $\pi(\mathrm{SL}(J/K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}))$. However (M.5) implies $H^+ = G^+$ then. □

6.4. **Choice of Curve.** For the rest of this chapter we deal with our main theorem:

Proof of theorem 1.2. We begin with the special case $B = B'$. Lemma 6.1 allows us to pick a lift η with mock G^+-structure. The function field of the schematic image of η possesses some finite extension $F \subset \mathbb{F}^{ac}((t))$ to which all endomorphisms of all of the $Y_\eta^{(k)}$'s descend. Let us denote the corresponding F-valued point by η_0. Notice that the rigidity of endomorphisms implies that $Y_{\eta_0}^{(k)}$ and $Y_{\eta_0}^{(k)} \times_F F^{sep}$ have the same endomorphism algebras.

Let Z be the normalization of $\mathcal{M}^{(0\times 1)}$ in F. Consider a point $\chi \in Z$ with residue field K, and let us fix the corresponding (strictly) henselian local rings $\mathcal{O}_{Z,\chi}^{sh}$, and $\mathcal{O}_{Z,\chi}^{h}$. This gives rise to an isomorphism of $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{sep}/K)$ with a certain subquotient $\mathrm{Gal}(F_\chi^{nr}/F_\chi)$ of $\mathrm{Gal}(F^{sep}/F)$, because Z is normal with function field F. Here, F_χ^{nr}/F_χ is the extension of the fraction fields of $\mathcal{O}_{Z,\chi}^{sh}$, and $\mathcal{O}_{Z,\chi}^{h}$. Let $H_{\mathfrak{r}}(\chi)$ (resp. $H_{\mathfrak{r}}(\eta_0)$) be the $L_{\mathfrak{r}}$-algebraic groups arising as the Zariski closure of the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{sep}/K)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Gal}(F^{sep}/F)$) on $T_{\mathfrak{r}}Y_\chi^{(1)} \times_K K^{sep}$ (resp. $T_{\mathfrak{r}}Y_{\eta_0}^{(1)} \times_F F^{sep}$). Observe also that the left hand side specialization map in the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} T_{\mathfrak{r}}Y_\chi^{(1)} \times_K K^{sep} & T_{\mathfrak{r}}Y_{\eta_0}^{(1)} \times_F F^{sep} \arrow[l, "\cong_{\mathcal{O}_{Z,\chi}^{sh}}"'] \arrow[r, "\cong_{\mathbb{F}^{ac}[[t]]}"] & T_{\mathfrak{r}}Y_\xi^{(1)}, \end{tikzcd}$$

(as in section 4.4) turns the $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{sep}/K)$-action into the $\mathrm{Gal}(F_\chi^{nr}/F_\chi)$-one. The group $H_{\mathfrak{r}}(\eta_0)$ commutes with $\mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_{\eta_0}^{(k)})$, which coincides with the preimage of $\mathrm{End}_G(\bigwedge_L^k B)$ under the natural map

$$\mathrm{End}_L^0(Y_\xi^{(k)}) \to \mathrm{End}_{L_{\mathfrak{r}}}^0(T_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}}^{(k)})$$

(coming from $m_{\xi,\mathfrak{r}}^{(k)}$). According to part (i) of lemma B.1 we obtain natural inclusions:

$$H_{\mathfrak{r}}(\chi)^{\circ} \hookrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{r}}(\eta_0)^{\circ} \hookrightarrow G \times_L L_{\mathfrak{r}} \cdot \text{torus}.$$

If we apply the theorem of Mori-Zarhin (cf. [30, Chapitre XII, Théorème 2.5]) and use part (ii) of lemma B.1 we get

$$G \times_L L_{\mathfrak{r}} \subset H_{\mathfrak{r}}(\eta_0).$$

By a Bertini argument (cf. [19, Theorem 6.3/Remarque 6.3.18]) there exist one-dimensional points χ such that $H_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(\chi)$ and $H_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(\eta_0)$ agree, for some fixed $\mathfrak{r}_0$.

Together with $G \times_L L_{\mathfrak{r}_0} \subset H_{\mathfrak{r}_0}(\eta_0)$ this tells us that $\operatorname{End}_L^0(Y_\chi^{(1)} \times_K K^{sep})$ can be no larger than $\operatorname{End}_G(B)$. We can repeat the argument to get

$$G \times_L L_{\mathfrak{r}} \subset H_{\mathfrak{r}}(\chi)$$

as well. Finally Serre's letter to Ribet yields a Galois extension K°/K with canonical diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Gal}(K^{sep}/K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H_{\mathfrak{r}}(\chi)(L_{\mathfrak{r}}) \arrow[d] \\
\operatorname{Gal}(K^{\circ}/K) \arrow[r, "\cong"] & H_{\mathfrak{r}}(\chi)/H_{\mathfrak{r}}(\chi)^{\circ}(L_{\mathfrak{r}}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

that commute simultaneously for all $\mathfrak{r}$ (cf. [27, Proposition 1.1]). Set S to be the normalization of Z in K°, and we are done.

If $B' \neq B$ one just uses that the projector $B \oplus B^{\perp} \mapsto B$ is in $\operatorname{End}_L^0(Y_\eta^{(1)}) = \operatorname{End}_L^0(Y_\chi^{(1)})$. □

The following corollary is noteworthy:

Corollary 6.2. *Let $G/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ be a connected semisimple group, and write Γ for the automorphism group of its root datum (over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{ac}$). Let $\rho : G \to \operatorname{GL}(C/\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ be a faithful, finite dimensional, linear representation, and $p \notin \{2, \ell\}$ be a prime. Then there exists a polarized abelian scheme (Y, λ) of dimension smaller than or equal to*

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Card}(\Gamma)^2((\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} C)^3 - (\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} C)^2)\\ &+ \operatorname{Card}(\Gamma)((1 + \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} G)(\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} C)^2 - \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} G \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} C)\end{aligned}$$

over a projective and smooth pointed $\mathbb{F}_p^{ac}$-curve $S \overset{\xi}{\leftarrow} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_p^{ac}$, together with an embedding $f : C \hookrightarrow V_\ell Y_\xi$, such that:

(i) *the image of f is $\pi_1(S, \xi)$-invariant and totally isotropic with respect to the ℓ-adic Weil pairing,*

(ii) *the image of the* $\pi_1(S,\xi)$*-operation on* C *(pulled back by means of* f*) is a compact open subgroup of* $\rho(G(\mathbb{Q}_\ell))$.

Proof. We use theorem 1.2, lemma B.3 and follow the ideas of [3], which in the case at hand is nothing but observing that $[G,G]=G$ □

Appendix A. Lemmas for finding mock structures

Lemma A.1. *Let* $(B,(.,..),\rho^+)$ *be a faithful unitary representation of the* L^+*-group* G^+ *with respect to a quadratic extension* L/L^+*. Let* R *be a* L*-algebra and let* $\theta\in G^+(R)\subset \mathrm{GL}_R(B\otimes_L R)$ *be a* R*-valued point which is not contained in any strictly smaller* L^+*-group* $H^+\subset G^+$*. Then one has:*

$$\mathrm{End}_G(B)=\{f\in \mathrm{End}_L(B)|f \textit{ and } f^* \textit{ commute with } \theta\}$$

Proof. Write C for the right hand side algebra and write C' for the commutant. Both are subalgebras of $\mathrm{End}_L(B)$ which are closed under $*$. The inclusion $\mathrm{End}_G(B)\subset C$ is clear. For the converse consider the L^+-algebraic group H^+ described by the functor $Q\mapsto\{\gamma\in(C'\otimes_{L^+}Q)^\times|\gamma\gamma^*=1\}$. As $\theta\in H^+(R)$, we have $G^+\subset H^+$. This shows $C\subset \mathrm{End}_H(B)\subset \mathrm{End}_G(B)$. □

Lemma A.2. *Let* G *be a connected semisimple* k*-group, where* k *is a number field, and let* k_ν *be the completion at a place. Assume there is a unipotent element* $u\in G(k_\nu)$ *that is not killed by any of the projections onto the* k*-simple factors. Then there exists a homomorphism* $\pi:\mathrm{SL}_2\times k_\nu\to G\times_k k_\nu$ *which does not factor through any strictly smaller* k*-rational subgroup* $H\times_k k_\nu$ *of* $G\times_k k_\nu$*, and which maps the element* $v=\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix}$ *into the conjugacy class of* u*.*

Proof. Let $G_1,\dots,G_n$ be the almost k-simple normal k-subgroups of G. Write $u=u_1\dots u_n$ with $u_i\in G_i(k_\nu)$. By the Jacobson-Morozov theorem [18, Theorem 3] we may pick homomorphisms $\pi_i:\mathrm{SL}_2\times k_\nu\to G_i\times_k k_\nu$ that send v to u_i. Consider $\pi=\prod_i\pi_i$. This particular choice of π implies that $\{g\in G(k_\nu)|\pi(\mathrm{SL}_2(k_\nu))\subset gH(k_\nu)g^{-1}\}$ is nowhere dense, whenever H is a proper k-subgroup of G. By the Baire property we deduce that there exists a g_0 such that $\pi(\mathrm{SL}_2(k_\nu))\not\subset g_0H(k_\nu)g_0^{-1}$ for all such groups H, as there are only countably many. Consequently the homomorphism $g_0^{-1}\pi g_0$ has the required property. □

Appendix B. Lemmas for finding monodromy groups

Lemma B.1. *Let* $\rho:G\to\mathrm{GL}(V/k)$ *be a faithful, finite dimensional, linear representation of a connected semisimple* k*-group* G *where* k *is* *a field of characteristic* 0*. Assume that* ρ *contains the adjoint representation on* $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{Lie} G$ *and the trivial one. Assume, moreover, that* $H \subset \mathrm{GL}(V/k)$ *is a connected subgroup which commutes with every element in* $\mathrm{End}_G(V) \cup \mathrm{End}_G(\bigwedge_k^2 V)$*. Then the following holds:*

(i) *H is contained in the product of $\rho(G)$ with the centre of* $\mathrm{End}_G(V)^\times$*.*
(ii) *If H is reductive and* $\mathrm{End}_H(V) = \mathrm{End}_G(V)$*, then* $\rho(G) \subset H$*.*

Proof. By our assumption the representation can be written in the form $V = U \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus W$, where we write U for the trivial one-dimensional representation. Note that all of $U \otimes_k \mathfrak{g}$, $U \otimes_k W$, $\bigwedge_k^2 \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_k W$ are G-invariant subspaces of $\bigwedge_k^2 V$. Also, observe that the Lie multiplication

$$\bigwedge_k^2 \mathfrak{g} \to U \otimes_k \mathfrak{g}, \tag{51}$$

as well as the map

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes_k W \to U \otimes_k W, \tag{52}$$

the derived action on the subspace W, gives rise to G-invariant elements in $\mathrm{Hom}_k(\bigwedge_k^2 \mathfrak{g}, U \otimes_k \mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{g} \otimes_k W, U \otimes_k W)$ which are subspaces of $\mathrm{End}_k(\bigwedge_k^2 V)$ in a natural way.

To ease notation we now assume that H contains the scalars, we are allowed to do this as scalars act trivially on both spaces $\mathrm{End}_k(V)$ and $\mathrm{End}_k(\bigwedge_k^2 V)$. In order to prove the first assertion we can assume $\rho(G) \subset H$ too. Now every element h in $H(k^{ac})$ commutes with the projections onto U, $\mathfrak{g}$, and W and hence induces maps h_U, $h_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and h_W on these spaces. The map h_U is just multiplication by a scalar which we can adjust to be one. Therefore (51) implies that the map $h_{\mathfrak{g}} = h_U^{-1} h_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$, which due to the connectedness of H has to be an inner automorphism. Adjusting by an element in $\rho(G)$ reduces us further to $h_{\mathfrak{g}} = 1$. Finally (52) forces h_W to be $\mathfrak{g}$-linear, hence G-linear. Such an element lies in $\mathrm{End}_G(W)$, in fact it lies in its centre, because all of H commutes with$\mathrm{End}_G(V)$. Thus we have shown more specifically that H is contained in $T\rho(G)$, where $T \subset \mathrm{GL}(V/k)$ is the torus of all elements that act as a scalar on each of the G-isotypic summands of W, along with $U \oplus \mathfrak{g}$.

Now we prove the second assertion. Let $\mathfrak{h}_1$ be the Lie algebra of $\rho^{-1}(TH)$. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{h}_1$, regarded as a subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$, is invariant under T and $\rho^{-1}(TH)$, and therefore it is invariant under the whole of H. The reductiveness of H tells us that there exists a H-invariant complement, say $\mathfrak{h}_2$. Utilizing $\mathrm{End}_G(V) = \mathrm{End}_H(V)$, shows that $\mathfrak{h}_1$ and $\mathfrak{h}_2$ are ideals in $\mathfrak{g}$, just consider the projectors. In particular $[\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2] \subset \mathfrak{h}_1 \cap \mathfrak{h}_2 = 0$. Once again, this means that every subspace in $\mathfrak{h}_2$, being H-invariant is also G-invariant, which is absurd unless $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{g}$. □

Remark B.2. The preceding result is certainly not the best one possible. For $G = \mathrm{SL}_2 \times k$, for example one can show that the only faithful representations which fail the above assertions are the ones of the formsym$^0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{sym}^0 \oplus \mathrm{sym}^3$. For $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2 \times k$ only sym^2 fails.

Lemma B.3. *Let H^+/M^+ be a connected semisimple group, where M^+ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$. Let C be a free M-module of finite rank, where M is a semisimple M^+-algebra of rank two, and let $(C, (.,..)_C, \sigma^+)$ be a unitary representation of H^+. Let $p \notin \{2, \ell\}$ be a prime, and r an integer satisfying*

$$r \geq [M^+ : \mathbb{Q}_\ell]$$

$$r > (\mathrm{Card}(\Gamma)\frac{\dim_{M^+} C}{2} + \dim H^+ + 1) \max\{\frac{\dim_{M^+} C}{2} - 1, 2\},$$

where Γ is the automorphism group of the root datum of H^+ (over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{ac}$). Then there exists:

(i) *an isomorphism of quadratic extensions $L \otimes_{L^+} L^+_{\mathfrak{r}^+}/L^+_{\mathfrak{r}^+} \cong M/M^+$, where $[L^+ : \mathbb{Q}] = r$ and $\mathfrak{r}^+$ is a prime divisor of ℓ.*

(ii) *an algebraic group G^+/L^+ such that $G^+ \times_{L^+} M^+$ is the universal cover of H^+.*

(iii) *a unitary G^+-representation $(B, (.,..)_B, \rho^+)$ of dimension less than or equal to $\mathrm{Card}(\Gamma)\frac{\dim_{M^+} C}{2}$, and such that $L \oplus \mathrm{Lie}\, G \oplus B$ is v-$\mathfrak{q}$-flexible, for a choice of an imaginary element v and a prime $\mathfrak{q}|p$ of L,*

(iv) *an isometric embedding of unitary $G^+ \times_{L^+} M^+$-representations $(C, (.,..)_C, \sigma^+) \hookrightarrow (B \otimes_L M, (.,..)_B, \rho^+ \times_{L^+} M^+)$.*

Proof. Choose a number field L^+ of degree r, together with isomorphisms:

$$L^+_\nu \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \nu|\infty \\ K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}) & \nu = \mathfrak{q}^+ \\ M^+ & \nu = \mathfrak{r}^+ \end{cases},$$

where $\mathfrak{q}^+|p$ and $\mathfrak{r}^+|\ell$ are fixed primes of L^+. Now write $\tilde{H}^+$ for the simply connected cover of H^+, and write $\prod_{i=1}^n Res_{M_i/M^+} H_i$ for the canonical factorization of $\tilde{H}^+$ into Weil restrictions of absolutely almost simple groups H_i/M_i. Pick further totally real field extensions $L_1, \ldots, L_n$ of L^+, together with isomorphisms $M_i \cong L_i \otimes_{L^+} L^+_{\mathfrak{r}^+}$ which restrict to the previously chosen one on M^+. In each of these choose a prime $\mathfrak{q}_i$ over $\mathfrak{q}^+$. By [5, Theorem B] there exists a group G_i/L_i such that:

$$G_i \times_{L_i} L_{i\nu} \cong \begin{cases} \text{anisotropic form} & \nu|\infty \\ \text{split form} & \nu = \mathfrak{q}_i \\ H_i & \nu = \mathfrak{r}_i \end{cases},$$

where $\mathfrak{r}_i$ is the unique prime of L_i over $\mathfrak{r}^+$. Now put $\prod_{i=1}^n Res_{L_i/L^+} G_i = G^+$.

The next step is to embed σ^+ into a unitary representation of G^+. To this end we pick a quadratic extension L of L^+ such that the previously chosen isomorphisms extend to:

$$L \otimes_{L^+} L^+_\nu \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \nu|\infty \\ K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}) \oplus K(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}) & \nu = \mathfrak{q}^+ \\ M & \nu = \mathfrak{r}^+ \end{cases}.$$

Observe that we demand the prime $\mathfrak{q}^+$ to be split in L while $\mathfrak{r}^+$ may or may not be split, depending on whether $M = M^+ \oplus M^+$ or not. We also choose a homomorphism $\iota : M \to \mathbb{Q}_\ell^{ac}$ (which in the $M = M^+ \oplus M^+$-case is not injective). Now let $L' \subset \mathbb{Q}_\ell^{ac}$ be a Galois extension of L over which the base change $G' = G^+ \times_{L^+} L'$ becomes split. In fact we could take for L' the field which trivializes the $\mathrm{Gal}(L^{ac}/L)$-operation on $\Phi(T, G)$, the set of roots relative to some chosen maximal torus $T \subset G = G^+ \times_{L^+} L$. This gives the crude estimate $[L' : L] \leq \mathrm{Card}(\Gamma)$, where Γ is the subgroup of elements in $\mathrm{GL}_\mathbb{Z}(T^*)$ which preserve $\Phi(T, G)$. Observe that the highest weights theory gives a natural L'-structure C' on the representation $C^{ac} = C \otimes_{M,\iota} \mathbb{Q}_\ell^{ac}$. Regarding C' as a L-vector space gives us a $[L' : L]\frac{\dim_{M^+} C}{2}$-dimensional representation, say (B, ρ), of G. It is clear that there exists an embedding $f : (C, \sigma) \to (B \otimes_L M, \rho \times_L M)$ of $G \times_L M$-representations.

Finally we wish to give ρ a pairing: Let Q be the L^+-vector space of G^+-equivariant sesquilinear forms on B. For each place $\nu|\infty$ let Q_ν° be the set of positive definite $G^+ \times_{L^+} L_\nu^+$-equivariant forms on $L_\nu^+ \otimes_{L^+} B$. This is a non-empty open set in $L_\nu^+ \otimes_{L^+} Q$, as is the subset $Q^\circ_{\mathfrak{r}^+} \subset L^+_{\mathfrak{r}^+} \otimes_{L^+} Q$ of forms for which there is an embedding $f : (C, \sigma) \to (B \otimes_L M, \rho \times_L M)$ with $(f(x), f(y))_B = (x, y)_C$. One sees easily that there exists an element of Q lying in all of these finitely many sets Q_ν°.

Now we can check that the unitary representation $L \oplus \mathrm{Lie}\, G \oplus B$ thus obtained is a flexible one: Choose nontrivial unipotent elements u_i in the longest root-space of $G_i(L_{i\mathfrak{q}_i})$, and let $u = u_1 \dots u_n \in G^+(L^+_{\mathfrak{q}^+})$. It is then clear that (X.2) holds with $a = \max\{\frac{\dim_{M^+} C}{2} - 1, 2\}$, moreover (X.1) follows from $(\mathrm{Card}(\Gamma)^{\frac{\dim_{M^+} C}{2}} + \dim H^+ + 1) < \frac{r}{a}$. $\square$

References

[1] Y. André, *Mumford-Tate groups of mixed Hodge structures and the theorem of the fixed part*, Comp. Math. 82(1992), p.1-24

[2] D. Blasius, *A p-adic property of Hodge classes on Abelian Varieties*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 55(1994) part 2, p.293-308

[3] F.A. Bogomolov, *Sur l'algebricité des représentations ℓ-adiques* C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. A290(1980), p.701-703

[4] A. Borel, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Benjamin 1969

[5] A. Borel, G. Harder, *Existence of discrete cocompact subgroups of reductive groups over local fields*, J. reine angew. Math. 298(1978), p.53-64

[6] O. Bültel, *Embeddings of the complex ball into Siegel space*, Asian J. Math. 7(2003), p.199-218

[7] P. Deligne, *Travaux de Shimura*, Séminaire Bourbaki Exposés 382-399, Lecture Notes in Math. 244, Springer 1971, p.123-165

[8] P. Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge II*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 40(1972), p.5-58

[9] P. Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil II*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 52(1980), p.138-252

[10] P. Deligne, *Hodge cycles on abelian varieties*, Hodge Cycles, Motives, and Shimura varieties, Lecture Notes in Math. 900, Springer 1982, p.9-100

[11] G. Faltings, *Crystalline cohomology and p-adic Galois representations*, Algebraic Analysis, Geometry, and Number Theory, John Hopkins University Press 1990, p.25-79

[12] J.-M. Fontaine, *Cohomologie de De Rham, cohomologie crystalline et representations p-adiques*, Algebraic Geometry Proceedings, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1016, Springer 1983, p.86-108

[13] J.-M. Fontaine, G. Laffaille, *Construction de Représentations p-adiques*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. 15(1982) p.547-608

[14] J.-M. Fontaine, W. Messing, *p-adic periods and p-adic étale cohomology*, Current Trends in Arithmetical Algebraic Geometry, Contemporary Mathematics Volume 67(1987) p.179-207

[15] A. Grothendieck, *Un Theoreme sur les Homomorphismes de Schemas Abeliens*, Invent. Math. 2(1966) p.59-78

[16] A. Grothendieck, *Modèles de Néron et monodromie*, Groupes de Monodromie en Géométrie Algébrique, Exposé IX, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 288, Springer 1972, p.313-523

[17] A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné, *Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 8(1961)

[18] N. Jacobson, *Completely reducible Lie algebras of linear transformations*, Proceedings of the AMS 2(1951), p.105-113

[19] J.-P. Jouanolou, *Théoremes de Bertini et Applications*, Progress in Mathematics Volume 42, Birhäuser 1983

[20] N. Katz, *Travaux de Dwork*, Séminaire Bourbaki Exposés 400-417, Lecture Notes in Math. 317, Springer 1973, p.167-200

[21] N. Katz, *Slope filtrations of F-crystals*, Journées de Géométrie Algébrique de Rennes, Astérisque 63(1979), p.113-164

[22] N. Katz, *Exponential Sums and Differential Equations*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 124, Princeton University Press 1990

[23] N. Katz, *Notes on G_2, determinants, and equidistribution*

[24] R. Kottwitz, *Points on some Shimura varieties over finite fields*, Journal of the AMS 5(1992), p.373-444

[25] R. Kottwitz, M. Rapoport, *On the Existence of F-crystals*, Comment. Math. Helv. 78(2003), p.153-184

[26] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Addison-Wesley 1984

[27] M. Larsen, R. Pink, *Abelian varieties, ℓ-adic representations, and ℓ-independence*, Math. Ann. 302(1995) p.561-579

[28] B. Mazur, W. Messing, *Universal Extensions and one-dimensional Crystalline Cohomology* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 370, Springer 1974

[29] W. Messing, *The Crystals Associated to Barsotti-Tate Groups: with Applications to Abelian Schemes* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 264, Springer 1972

[30] L. Moret-Bailly, *Pinceaux de Variétés abéliennes*, Astérisque 129(1985)

[31] Y. Morita, *On potential good reduction of abelian varieties*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sekt. I A Math. 22(1975), no 3, p.437-447

[32] A. Ogus, *A p-adic analogue of the Chowla-Selberg formula*, p-adic Analysis Proceedings Trento, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1454, Springer 1990, p.319-341

[33] F. Oort, M. van der Put, *A construction of an abelian variety with a given endomorphism algebra*, Comp. Math. 67(1988), p.103-120

[34] M. Rapoport, M. Richartz, *On the classification and specialization of F-isocrystals with additional structure*, Comp. Math. 103(1996), p.153-181

[35] M. Rapoport, T. Zink, *Period Spaces for p-divisible Groups*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 141, Princeton University Press 1996

[36] J. Tate, *Endomorphisms of abelian varieties over finite fields*, Invent. Math. 2(1966), p.134-144

[37] T. Wedhorn, *Ordinariness in good reductions of Shimura varieties of PEL-type* Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. quatrième série 32 (1999), p.575-618

[38] T. Zink, *Windows for Displays of p-divisible Groups*, Moduli of abelian varieties, Progress in Mathematics 195, Birkhäuser Verlag 2001, p.491-518

[39] T. Zink, *The display of a formal p-divisible Group*, Cohomologies p-adiques et Applications Arithmétiques (I), Astérisque 278(2002), p.127-248